The interplay between m6A modification and non-coding RNA in cancer stemness modulation: mechanisms, signaling pathways, and clinical implications
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Abstract

Cancer stemness, mainly consisting of chemo-resistance, radio-resistance, tumorigenesis, metastasis, tumor self-renewal, cancer metabolism reprogramming, and tumor immuno-microenvironment remodeling, play crucial roles in the cancer progression process and has become the hotspot of cancer research field in recent years. Nowadays, the exact molecular mechanisms of cancer stemness have not been fully understood. Extensive studies have recently implicated that non-coding RNA (ncRNA) plays vital roles in modulating cancer stemness. Notably, N6-methyladenosine (m6A) modification is of crucial importance for RNAs (including ncRNAs) to exert their biological functions, including RNA splicing, stability, translation, degradation, and export, and so on. Emerging evidence has revealed that m6A modification can govern the expressions and functions of ncRNAs, consequently controlling cancer stemness properties. However, the interaction mechanisms between ncRNAs and m6A modification in cancer stemness modulation are rarely investigated. In this review, we elucidate the recent findings on the relationships of m6A modification, ncRNAs, and cancer stemness. We also focus on some key signaling pathways such as Wnt/β-catenin signaling, MAPK signaling, Hippo signaling, and JAK/STAT3 signaling to illustrate the underlying interplay mechanisms between m6A modification and ncRNAs in cancer stemness. In particular, we briefly highlight the clinical potential of ncRNAs and m6A modifiers as promising biomarkers and therapeutic targets for indicating cancer stemness properties and improving the diagnostic precision for a wide variety of cancers.
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1. Introduction

N6-methyladenosine (m6A), the most prevalent modification in eukaryotic mRNAs, regulates RNA stability, splicing, degradation, translation, and export [1]. The functions of m6A modification are governed by three homologous factors including “writers”, “readers” and “erasers”. m6A “writers” could catalyze the m6A modification of adenosine on RNA, which mainly including methyltransferase-like 3 protein (METTL3), methyltransferase-like 14 protein (METTL14), and WT1 associated protein (WTAP). m6A “writers” also contain RNA-binding motif protein15/15B (RBM15/15B), methyltransferase-like 16 (METTL16), zinc finger CCCH-type containing 13 (ZC3H13), and Vir-like m6A methyltransferase associated (VIRMA, also known KIAA1429). m6A “erasers” mainly include FTO and ALKBH5. These proteins can remove the methylation from RNA, which means m6A modification is dynamic and reversible. m6A “readers” are selective RNA-binding proteins that can recognize m6A, which mainly include the YT521-B homology (YTH) domain-containing protein family (including YTHDFs like YTHDF1/2/3, and YTHDCs like YTHDC1/2). As a nuclear m6A “reader”, YTHDC1 is related to mRNA splicing and nuclear export [2, 3]. YTHDC2 can positively regulate translation elongation through the interaction with RNA helicase [4]. The functions of YTHDFs mainly depend on YTHDF1/2. YTHDF1 is linked to translation and metabolism [5, 6].
while YTHDF2 is a well-studied m6A “reader” protein and influences mRNA stability and accelerates mRNA degradation [7]. Insulin-like growth factor-2 mRNA-binding proteins (IGF2BPs including IGF2BP1/2/3) are another category of “reader”, they could promote the stability and storage of their target mRNAs to affect gene expression [8]. In addition, the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (HNRNP) family (including HNRNPA2B1, HNRNPC and HNRNPG), NF-κB-associated protein (NKAP) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 (eIF3) also belong to m6A “reader” [9] (Fig.1). It is reported that m6A modification is enriched in the consensus sequence RRACH (where R: A or G and H: A, C, or U) and in 3′ untranslated regions (3′-UTRs), stop codons, as well as, internal long exons [10, 11].

Non-coding RNA usually lacks the potential to encode proteins, which contains microRNA (miRNA), long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), circular RNA (circRNA), small nuclear RNA, ribosomal RNA, and transfer RNA, and so on. In this review, we will mainly focus on the miRNA, lncRNA, and circRNA, which could mediate cellular processes including transcription, chromatin remodeling, post-transcriptional modifications, and signaling transduction [12]. miRNA is a class of non-coding single-stranded RNAs with a length of 21–25 nucleotides. It regulates gene expression at the post-transcriptional level by forming the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), and resulting in either translational inhibition or mRNA degradation [13-15]. lncRNA is a sort of RNA which contains more than 200 nucleotides in length, lacking of functional coding capacity. It has reported that lncRNA can regulate gene expression both in cis and in trans [16]. circRNA is a sort of single-stranded closed circular RNA that lacks
of 5′-3′ ends and poly(A) tails [17]. The functions of circRNA mainly include sequestering miRNAs or proteins, regulating transcription, interfering splicing, and translating polypeptides [18].

Cancer stem cells are the important source of cancer stemness, they have the ability to self-renew, differentiate, and proliferate. Cancer stem cells should enable the tumor cells to self-renew for generating new cells [19]. And as we knew, the self-renewal ability of stem cells hierarchies are plastic, which involved a rigidly choreographed flow, and may be a possible difficulty for the identification and eradication of cancer stem cells [20]. Cancer stemness is likely to be one of the most crucial factors for cancer recurrence, metastasis, and drug resistance. Researches showed that the behaviors of cancer stem cells such as recurrence, relapse, metastasis, and resistance to therapeutic drugs are relative related to cancer progression [21], thus targeting cancer stemness is a very promising direction for cancer treatment.

Nowadays various therapeutic targets on cancer stemness have been explored. These targets include targeting the tumor microenvironment, cell surface markers, and signaling pathways; and so on. They are related to cancer stemness and cancer progression [22]. A lot of cancer stem cells have well-established cell surface markers and the expression of stem cell specific surface markers have a connection with the increased resistance to treatment in cancers or be related to promoting cancer progression. For example, in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), CD13 was a marker of cancer stemness and was related to chemo-resistance and self-renewal [23]. Similarly, CD44 promoted sorafenib resistance and tumorigenicity in HCC [24]. It was showed
that CD163 expressed highly in CD133-positive glioma stem cells, and contributed to gliomagenesis. Downregulation of CD163 expression decreased the expression of glioma stem-like cells (GSC) markers, such as CD133 and NANOG [25]. CD9 was reported to have high migration potential and was positive associated with the resistance to chemotherapy drugs [26]. In glioblastoma multiforme cells, CD133 was highly expressed and associated with tumorigenesis and drug resistance [27]. In addition, epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) was reported to promote colorectal cancer (CRC) metastasis and recurrence [28]. Also, Nestin expression was related to the metastasis of breast cancer progression [29]. Octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4), a key stemness transcription factor, was reported to be connected with tumorigenesis and resistance to chemotherapy [30, 31]. These markers involved in cancer stemness, and may be potential therapeutic targets in many cancers.

So, in this review, we mainly focused on the functional roles of m6A modification and ncRNA in cancer stemness, illustrated the interaction between ncRNA and m6A modification in cancer stemness modulation through some key signaling pathways, and highlighted the clinical potential of ncRNA and m6A modifiers as promising biomarkers and therapeutic targets for indicating cancer stemness properties and treatments, so as to provide novel insights and avenues for finding therapeutic targets for cancer.

2. The relationship of m6A modification and cancer stemness

The properties of cancer stemness mainly consist of chemo-resistance, radio-
resistance, tumorigenesis, metastasis, tumor self-renewal capacity, cancer metabolism reprogramming, and tumor immuno-microenvironment remodeling, and so on. Recent studies demonstrated that m6A modification played a significant role in each field different aspects of cancer stemness properties (Fig. 2).

The resistance of chemotherapy and radiotherapy

It is well known that tumor burden, growth kinetics, heterogeneity, physical barriers, microenvironment, undruggable cancer drivers, and therapeutic pressures mainly determine drug resistance [32]. Nowadays the relationship between m6A and chemo-resistance has been extensively studied [33]. It was showed that m6A modification could enhance the resistance of drug treatment and lead to poor prognosis for cancers including osteosarcoma, colon cancer, and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and so on. The studies also showed that the alteration of m6A methylation was closely related to cancer stemness, which could increase the acquired chemo-resistance in osteosarcoma cells [34]. METTL3 was relative related to the acquisition of resistance to anticancer drugs and irradiation [35]. Through upregulating LGR5, METTL3 participated in regulating stemness and chemosensitivity of colon cancer [36]. METTL3 promoted a preferential pre-mRNA splicing and could promote drug resistance of colon cancer cells via increasing the expression of p53 R273H mutant protein [37]. In another research, METTL3 was reported to enhance the translation of YAP mRNA by recruiting eIF3b and YTHDF1/3 to the translation initiation site. And downregulation of METTL3 expression could enhance cisplatin sensitivity in NSCLC
These findings suggested that m6A modifiers acted as oncogenes and m6A modification was an adverse factor for drug treatment in cancer, and increasing of m6A modification level enhanced the resistance of drug treatment. However, decreasing m6A modification level could also enhance the resistance of drug treatment. For example, FTO regulated the cervical squamous cell carcinoma and played an important role in enhancing the chemo-resistance through regulating the expression of β-catenin by reducing m6A modification levels in mRNA transcripts and increasing the activity of excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCC1) [39]. FTO upregulation and m6A hypomethylation increased TKI tolerance in leukemia treatment, through enhancing the mRNA stability of proliferation/survival transcripts containing m6A modification [40].

m6A modifiers could also acted as tumor suppressor genes in cancer development process. For instance, ALKBH5 could sensitize pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine through inactivating Wnt signaling by decreasing the level of m6A for Wnt inhibitory factor 1 (WIF-1) mRNA [41]. In current studies, m6A modification showed its “double-edged sword” function in cancer based on the m6A modifiers and m6A level. m6A modifiers could both acted as oncogenes and suppressor genes, moreover, the upregulation and downregulation the level of m6A modification could both enhance the resistance of chemotherapy. However exact reasons are still not clear and worthy to conduct further research. Similarly, m6A modification also has effects on radio-resistance of cancers. For example, METTL3 silenced GSC so as to enhance sensitivity to γ-irradiation through SOX2-dependent DNA repair [42]. The research about m6A
and radio-resistance was far from enough, thus it might be a new research direction in the future for linking m6A modification and radio-resistance in cancer development.

**Tumorigenesis**

Tumorigenesis is one of the most important traits of cancer stemness. Recent researches demonstrated that m6A modification could lead to tumor progression as well as tumor suppression. m6A modification suppressed tumorigenesis by altering mRNA behavior and changing the expression and activity of oncoprotein. For example, knockdown of METTL3 or METTL14, could not only dramatically promote GSC growth, self-renewal, and tumorigenesis, but also lead to a substantial increase of GSC-initiated tumor progression in transplanted mouse brains [43]. ALKBH5 was reported to inhibit pancreatic cancer tumorigenesis by decreasing WIF-1 RNA methylation through mediating Wnt signaling [41].

However, on the other hand, m6A modification on target loci could also promote tumor formation [44], which means that m6A modification also becomes a factor to stimulating tumor formation. For instance, ALKBH5 maintained GSC tumorigenicity by sustaining FOXM1 expression [45]. FTO mediating PKM2 demethylation so as to promote the tumorigenesis and development of liver cancer [41, 46]. Another study demonstrated that FTO could promote melanoma tumorigenicity both in human and mice [47]. EBV epi-transcriptome reprogramming by METTL14 was closely related to viral-associated tumorigenesis [48]. As showed above, m6A modification influenced tumorigenesis through m6A “writers” and “erasers”, and these m6A modifiers showed
a “double-edged sword” function in cancer tumorigenesis. Actually, m6A readers also played an important role in tumorigenesis. For example, YTHDF1 promoted the translation of eIF3C by binding to m6A-modified eIF3C mRNA and increased the general translational output, so as to facilitating tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer [49]. As is showed above, the exact function of m6A modifier (“writer”, “eraser” and “reader”) in cancer tumorigenesis was different from each other (including promoting tumorigenesis and inhibit tumorigenesis), and the m6A modification level may be worthy to concerning. Further studies need to be carried out to find new strategies and treatments for preventing cancer progression.

Metastasis and EMT

Metastasis makes the greatest contributions to the deaths of cancer patients. It is a kind of tumor cell movement and involves the adaptation and colonization of the cancer cells in the distant organ by invading the lymphatic vessels, blood vessels or body cavity [50]. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a transition between epithelial and mesenchymal states, which allows cells to adopt the ability of migratory and invasive, has recently been identified as a crucial driver in tumor metastasis because of the significant similarity between cell plasticity in embryonic development and tumor progression [51].

As the most abundant modification in eukaryotes mRNA, m6A modifier was found to act as both oncogene and suppressor gene in cancer metastasis and the EMT process. And most researches indicated that m6A modifiers could act as oncogenes to
promote cancer metastasis. For instance, YTHDF1 promoted the metastasis of HCC cell through the m6A-YTHDF1-EGFR axis [52]. In osteosarcoma, low expression of FTO and METTL14 as well as high expression of METTL3 and YTHDF3 were associated with cancer metastasis and could be used as biomarkers to predict poor prognosis [53]. Similarly, in liver cancer, YTHDF2 modulated the m6A methylation of OCT4 mRNA and promoted the metastasis of liver cancer stem cells [54]. Furthermore, m6A modification in mRNA initiated by METTL3 directly promoted YAP translation and increased YAP activity by regulating the MALAT1-miR-1914-3p-YAP axis to induce NSCLC drug resistance and metastasis [55]. METTL3-mediated m6A modification was critical for EMT and metastasis of gastric cancer (GC). Zinc finger MYM-type containing 1 (ZMYM1) was identified to be the m6A modification target of METTL3, and it facilitated the EMT programing and metastasis in GC through recruiting the CtBP/LSD1/CoREST complex to mediate the repression of E-cadherin promoter activity [56].

However, some studies indicated that m6A modifiers could also act as tumor suppressor gene to inhibit metastasis. In NSCLC, ALKBH5 inhibited tumor growth and metastasis by regulating the expression and activity of YAP [57]. Additionally, METTL14-mediated m6A modification of SOX4 mRNA inhibited tumor metastasis in CRC [58]. As it showed above, m6A modifiers exert “double-edged sword” functions on metastasis, and the different function may be related to the different target gene or signaling pathways.
Tumor self-renewal

The characteristic of self-renewal capacity is important for the driving force of tumorigenesis and metastasis. Recent researches indicated that m6A modification played an essential role in the self-renewal feature of cancer stemness.

The depletion of the METTL3 expression decreased ALDH activity and sphere-forming ability through a novel METTL3-AFF4-SOX2/MYC signaling axis, therefore inhibiting the self-renewal of bladder cancer stem cells [59]. It was reported that knockdown of METTL3 or METTL14 could elevate CD44 expression and induce changes in m6A enrichment site of mRNA, which altered ADAM19 mRNA expression level with critical biological functions, so as to promote GSC growth and self-renewal [43]. Additionally, FTO was verified to be a tumor suppressor and inhibited the stemness features of ovarian cancer. FTO could block cAMP signaling and inhibit ovarian cancer stem cell self-renewal. Depletion of FTO in ovarian cancer cells increased m6A modification, which induced ovarian cancer cell proliferation as spheres and promoted cancer stemness phenotype [60]. Based on these findings, it was clear that m6A modification showed its “double sword” function by regulating different signaling axis in the regulation of tumor self-renewal. Thus, targeting m6A modified tumor self-renewal related signaling axis could be a novel avenue for cancer treatment.

Cancer metabolism reprogramming

Through reprogramming nutrient acquisition and metabolic pathways, tumor could meet the bioenergy, biosynthesis, and redox requirements [61]. The metabolism
reprogramming activities are crucial characteristics of cancer development. It has been well known that cancer metabolism reprogramming involves glucose, fatty acid, and amino acid metabolism [62]. There are no universal mechanisms to be used by all types of cancer cells to reprogram cancer metabolism. However, it seems to be several common mechanisms in glucose metabolism. For example, cancer cells can modulate and hijack several steps in glycolysis and glucose uptake to fulfill their anabolic demands [63]. Some evidence showed that m6A modification may be closely related to metabolism reprogramming, which made it possible to find a novel insight to target on cancer metabolism.

The study indicated that MTHFD2 was involved in one-carbon metabolism, which linked m6A to the metabolic state of tumor cells in renal cell carcinoma patients, and led to a poor prognosis and induced late-stage disease. MTHFD2 regulated the m6A methylation levels of hypoxia-inducible factor-2 (HIF-2α) mRNA and enhanced HIF-2α-dependent metabolic reprogramming by regulating the epitopes’ transcriptional landscape, which suggested that m6A methylation could promote aerobic glycolysis in a HIF-2α-dependent manner [64]. This study showed some connections about m6A modification and glucose metabolism. However, the researches of m6A modification in cancer metabolism reprogramming (such as fatty acid, and amino acid metabolism) are very rare, so there are a lot of work for us to excavate more regulating details in this filed.

Tumor immuno-microenvironment remodeling
Immune microenvironment remodeling could directly or indirectly affect the development of the tumor. The mechanisms mainly include promoting tumor angiogenesis, establishing an appropriate tumor microenvironment to promote tumor progression, regulating the functions of cancer stem cells, and screening selecting the tumor cells which are adapted to the microenvironment. At a large glance, tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) contains infiltrated-excluded, infiltrated-inflamed, and infiltrated-TLS infiltrated-Tertiary Lymphoid Structure, and each category has its own unique characteristics. Recent studies indicated that m6A modification has a close relationship with tumor immune-microenvironment remodeling. For example, in GC, the m6A modification plays a nonnegligible role in the formation of TIME diversity and complexity. Low m6A modification could increase mutation burden and immunity activation, which showed an inflamed tumor microenvironment phenotype and had a good survival rate. Whereas high m6A modification linked with a non-inflamed and immune-exclusion tumor microenvironment phenotype. This phenotype lacked of effective immune infiltration and exhibited a poorer survival rate for stroma [65]. Moreover, research suggested that the deletion of m6A demethylase ALKBH5 could sensitize tumors to cancer immunotherapy. The mechanism was that ALKBH5 modulated Mct4/Slc16a3 expression, regulated lactate content of the tumor microenvironment, and adjusted the composition of tumor-infiltrating Treg cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells [66]. It was reported that m6A regulators such as ALKBH5 and YTHDF1 had a closely relation to the immune microenvironment remodeling of gliomas [67]. Moreover, study revealed that the deletion of ALKBH5
decreased the infiltration of CD8+T cells, which linked m6A modification to the immune microenvironment of pancreatic cancer [68]. Taken together, these findings uncovered a new direction of the function of RNA methylation in the tumor immunomicroenvironment and proved that m6A modification could be potential therapeutic targets in anticancer immunotherapy.

3. The relationship of ncRNA and cancer stemness

With the development of ncRNAs research, accumulating evidence suggested that ncRNAs were closely related to cancer biological behaviors. Importantly, many ncRNAs played a crucial role in regulating cancer stemness (Fig. 2).

miRNA

miRNAs dysregulation could break out the balance of cell signaling and growth processes, which provide a mechanism for the role of miRNAs in cancer process. Numerous studies have indicated that miRNA was associated with cancer stemness. In breast cancer, overexpression of miR-204-5p inhibited the proliferation and migration capacity of breast cancer cells. In addition, miR-204-5p overexpression altered the metabolic properties and suppressed the metastasis of breast cancer through regulating PI3K/Akt signaling pathway [69]. In breast cancer, miR-105 was associated with metabolic reprogramming. When in a sufficient nutrients state, miR-105-reprogrammed cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs), enhanced glucose and glutamine metabolism and offered energy to adjacent cancer cells. When nutrition was insufficient
or there were much metabolic by-products accumulated, these CAFs could convert metabolic wastes, such as lactic acid and ammonium, into energy-rich metabolites [70]. In breast cancer, miR-22 promoted aggressive metastatic capacity, and miR-22 could also silence antimetastatic miR-200, so as to inhibit the miR-200 promoter demethylation to exert the metastatic potential. In another word, miRNA-antagonism regulated breast cancer stemness through chromatin remodeling [71]. Moreover, targeting miR-34a-NOTCH1 axis could reduce breast cancer stemness and chemoresistance [72]. miR-206/TWF1/MKL1-SRF/IL-11 signaling pathway played an important role in breast cancer initiation and progression. Targeting MKL1/IL-11 pathway, miR-206 could suppress breast cancer stemness and metastasis [73]. Furthermore, in bladder cancer, downregulation of miR-34a resulted in GOLPH3 overexpression, and ectopic expression of miR-34a decreased the stem cell properties of chemo-resistant urothelial bladder cancer cells. Thus, targeting miR-34a-GOLPH3 axis could reduce bladder cancer stemness especially in drug resistance context [74]. In colon cancer, miR-3120-5p was highly expressed in the population of CD133+ and LGR5+ stem cells. Through targeting Axin2, miR-3120-5p promoted stemness and invasiveness of colon cancer [75]. In HCC, a huge number of studies have convinced that dysregulated miRNAs took part in the carcinogenesis and aggressiveness of HCC. For instance, miR-4319 repressed cell proliferation, accelerated apoptosis, inhibited EMT and prevented cancer stemness by targeting FOXQ1 [76]. In ovarian carcinoma, myeloid-derived suppressor cells triggered the expression of miR-101, so as to repressing the expression of co-repressor gene C-terminal binding protein-2 (CtBP2).
Consequently, miR-101 was related to increasing metastatic capacities and promoting tumorigenesis and cancer stemness [77]. By shedding light on cancer stemness-related miRNA at the molecular level, it might provide a novel avenue for targeting cancer stemness (including cell proliferation and migration, altering metabolic state, chemo-resistance, and metastasis in breast cancer, bladder cancer and HCC) and improving the efficacy of cancer treatment.

**lncRNA**

The dysregulated expression of lncRNA in cancer could regulate cancer stemness such as self-renewal, cancer metabolism reprogramming, and tumor progression. For example, in NSCLC, lncRNA played an important role in cell cycle, proliferation, immune responses, and metastasis. Knockdown of LINC-ITGB1 could suppress cancer stem cell sphere formation and inhibit the expressions of stemness-associated genes, so as to reduce the invasive and migratory potential of NSCLC [78]. In CRC, the p53-R273H mutation could enhance cancer stemness and chemo-resistance through regulating specific lncRNAs such as lnc273-31 and lnc273-34 [79]. Also, lncRNA LNMICC could recruit the nuclear factor NPM1 to fatty acid binding protein (FABP5), so as to reprograming fatty acid metabolism and further promoting metastasis [80].

Current evidence revealed that lncRNA regulated cancer stemness via forming different axis or signaling pathways. For example, LINC-PINT regulated laryngeal carcinoma stemness through miR-425-5p/PTCH1/SHH axis [81]. In breast cancer, through targeting the miR-30a/Nanog axis, lncRNA FEZF1-AS1 promoted breast
cancer stemness and tumorigenesis [82]. In addition, LINC00511 could promote proliferation, sphere-formation ability, and elevate some stemness markers expression such as OCT4, Nanog, and SOX2 in breast cancer. Besides, LINC00511 promoted tumor growth by inducing the miR-185-3p/E2F1/Nanog axis [83]. IncRNA small nucleolar RNA host gene 20 (SNHG20) was verified to be an oncogene in several cancers. Knockdown of SNHG20 could suppress cell proliferation, increase cell apoptosis, and impair stemness properties, therefore preventing cancer progression. In detail, through activating PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, IncRNA SNHG20 promoted tumorigenesis and cancer stemness in glioblastoma [84]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that upregulation of IncRNA LUCAT1 promoted breast cancer stem cell proliferation, while LUCAT1 downregulation inhibited the self-renewal of breast cancer stem cells. Further studies revealed that LUCAT1 increased stem-like properties of breast cancer stem cell, and IncRNA LUCAT1/miR-5582-3p/TCF7L2 axis modulated breast cancer stemness via Wnt/β-catenin pathway [85]. So, focused on some axis or targeted on the signaling pathways might provide some novel insights to cancer stemness therapy.

circRNA
circRNA has great pre-clinical diagnostic and therapeutic potentials in cancers. It was reported that circRNA was closely related to cancer stemness in the aspects of proliferation, migration, metastasis, and chemo-resistance. The well-known regulatory mechanism of circRNA in cancers was acting as a miRNA sponge and competing for
mRNA-binding sites on miRNAs. For example, in breast cancer, circRNA_100876 promoted cancer metastasis and increased cancer proliferative capacity by modulating microRNA-361-3p expression in a miRNA sponge way [86]. In addition, hsa_circ_0025202 acted as a miRNA sponge for miR-182-5p and suppressed breast cancer growth by regulating the expression and activity of FOXO3a [87]. In cervical cancer, hsa_circRNA_101996 served as a sponge of miR-8075, which targeted TPX2 and inhibited cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion [88]. circSLC8A1 acted as a miRNA sponge of miR-130b/miR-494 and regulated the expression of their target gene PTEN, so as to suppressing the progression of bladder cancer [89]. Besides acting as a miRNA sponge, recent researches revealed novel mechanisms of circRNA in cancer stemness regulation. For instance, in HCC, circZKSCAN1 was reported to suppress cancer stemness through regulating the function of the RBP fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP). And the downstream target gene of FMRP was cell cycle and apoptosis regulator 1 (CCAR1). CCAR1 could enhance cancer stemness through Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [90]. However, inhibition of circRNA_069718 could reduce the expression levels of Wnt/β-catenin pathway-related genes such as β-catenin, c-myc, and cyclin D1, consequently reducing triple-negative breast cancer cells proliferation and invasion ability [91]. Furthermore, the Hippo-YAP signaling pathway was identified to be activated by circPPP1R12A-73aa. And this signaling pathway could promote the growth and metastasis of colon cancer [92]. Overall, these evidences indicated that circRNAs played an essential role in cancer stemness modulation. However, the complexity structure and function of circRNA make it
difficult to fully understand its role in cancer stemness. So, more mechanism researches of circRNA are required.

4. The interplay between ncRNA and m6A modification

As the most abundant mRNA modification in mammals, m6A modification was closely related to the functions of ncRNA, and the interplay mechanisms between ncRNA and m6A modification were illustrated as follows (Fig. 3).

miRNA and m6A modification

The interplay between miRNA and m6A modification has recently been observed. On the one hand, m6A modification participated in cancer progression regulation by affecting miRNA biogenesis or stability. Mechanism research demonstrated that m6A modification promoted miRNA biogenesis mainly through the interactions between m6A and DGCR8 [93] (Fig. 3a). For example, in bladder cancer, METTL3 accelerated the maturation of pri-miR221/222 in an m6A-dependent manner [94]. m6A modification in pri-miRNA allowed DGCR8 to bind specific substrates and further promoted miRNA maturation. Moreover, the maturation of miRNA resulted in the reduction of PTEN, which led to cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth [93]. Additionally, in HCC, METTL14 could also regulate the maturation of miRNA. Mechanistically, METTL14 promoted pri-miR-126 processing by recognizing DGCR8 and binding DGCR8 to pri-miRNAs, and thereby suppressing the metastasis of HCC [95]. It was reported that the RNA-binding protein HNRNPA2B1 also had a strong
interaction with pri-miRNA. In NSCLC, HNRNPA2B1 bound to m6A modification site in pri-miRNA transcripts, so as to interact with the miRNA microprocessor complex protein DGCR8, thus promoting pri-miR-106b processing [96]. In glioblastoma, HNRNPC, an m6A “reader”, bound to pri-miR-21 to promote the maturation of miR-21. Silencing of HNRNPC reduced miR-21 expression and suppressed the AKT-p70S6K pathway and inhibited cancer invasion [97]. Another mechanism about m6A modification regulating miRNA biogenesis was to increasing the splicing of Dicer for pre-miRNA. For example, in NSCLC, METTL3 regulated the Dicer cleavage of pre-miR-143-3p through the miR-143-3p/VASH1 axis and played an essential role in cancer metastasis [98]. Additionally, m6A “eraser” also involved in miRNA processing. There were some positive correlations between m6A “eraser” and miRNA expression level. For instance, knockdown of FTO could upregulate the m6A modification level, and further resulted in the downregulation of some mature miRNAs such as miR-7-5p and miR-22-3p [99]. The detailed mechanism of this regulation was worthwhile to do further studies (Table 1).

On the other hand, miRNA could modulate m6A abundance on their target transcripts. For instance, miRNA could regulate m6A modification levels through modulating the binding of METTL3 and mRNAs which containing miRNA targeting sites [100]. Moreover, miRNA could regulate the expression levels of m6A modifiers so as to significantly influence the whole process of m6A modification. For example, miR-33a inhibited the expression of METTL3 by targeting its mRNA and suppressed cell proliferation in NSCLC [101]. In addition, miR-600 was reported to inhibit the
expression of METTL3 and prevent NSCLC progression by reversing the biological functions of METTL3 [102]. In breast cancer, hepatitis B X-interacting protein (HBXIP) upregulated METTL3 by suppressing let-7g. Moreover, METTL3 could increase the expression of HBXIP, thus forming a positive feedback loop of HBXIP/let-7g/METTL3/HBXIP to accelerate cancer cell proliferation [103]. Additionally, miR-145 inhibited YTHDF2 expression by targeting the 3'-UTR of YTHDF2 mRNA and suppressed cell proliferation in HCC [104]. In CRC, low expression level of miRNA-1266 promoted cancer occurrence and progression by directly targeting FTO, therefore linking m6A modification and miRNA regulation in cancer development together [105] (Table 2).

In conclusion, the interplay between m6A modification and miRNA has been noticed. On the one hand, m6A modification influenced the biogenesis and stability of miRNA through (1) the interactions between m6A and DGCR8, (2) the interactions between m6A and RNA-binding protein HNRNPA2B1, (3) increasing the splicing of Dicer for pre-miRNA. On the other hand, miRNA could modulate m6A abundance on their target transcripts and miRNA could regulate the expression levels of m6A modifiers through targeting at the 3’UTR of m6A modifiers.

**IncRNA and m6A modification**

It was reported that m6A modification was also common in lncRNA. And the interactions between lncRNA and m6A modification have been extensively investigated. For example, the m6A switch played an important role in this interaction (Fig.3b). m6A modification affected lncRNA-protein interactions in the biological
process by altering RNA structure. m6A could change the local structure in mRNA and
lncRNA so as to facilitate their binding to HNRNPC, and consequently, m6A
modification was responsible for pre-mRNA processing. Besides, METTL3 and
METTL14 enhanced the binding of lncRNA MALAT1 and HNRNPC to promote gene
expression [106]. Moreover, m6A participated in the lncRNA-mediated ceRNA model
(Fig.3c). Specially, the study showed that METTL3/YTHDF3 complex could increase
the stability of MALAT1, and promote the translation of YAP mRNA through the
MALAT1-miR-1914-3p axis. MALAT1 functioned as a ceRNA and sponged miR-
1914-3p to promote the invasion and metastasis of NSCLC [107]. Furthermore, m6A
modification was involved in the lncRNA-miRNA interactions. It was reported that
m6A modification of LINC1281 mediated a ceRNA model to regulate mouse
embryonic stem cells differentiation. Interestingly, down-regulation of METTL3 could
abolish the binding of let-7 to LINC1281, thus this RNA-RNA interaction was
associated with m6A modification [108]. However, the underlying mechanism of this
interaction needed further investigation. Additionally, knockdown of METTL3 could
impair XIST-mediated gene silencing, which was another regulatory model of m6A
modification in lncRNA [109] (Fig.3d) (Table 1).

Meanwhile, lncRNA could also regulate the expressions and functions of m6A
modification molecules. In cervical cancer, lncRNA GAS5-AS1 was proved to increase
the stability of GAS5 by promoting the ALKBH5-dependent m6A demethylation [110].
In liver cancer, the lncRNA GATA3-AS, acted as a guide to facilitate KIAA1429-
mediated m6A modification, and thereby promoting the cancer growth and metastasis
Furthermore, in GC, lncRNA LINC00470 promoted METTL3-mediated m6A methylation so as to inhibiting the expression of PTEN, which contributed to the development of GC [112] (Table 2).

The interaction between m6A modification and lncRNA involved two aspects. m6A modification affected RNA-protein interactions, participated in the lncRNA-mediated ceRNA model, and involved in the lncRNA-miRNA interactions. Moreover, lncRNA could also regulate the expressions and functions of m6A modification by modulating the m6A modifiers.

**circRNA and m6A modification**

m6A modification was also a popular phenomenon in circRNA. Despite sharing the same “readers” and “writers” of m6A modification in both circRNAs and mRNAs, the m6A modification of circRNAs often derived from exons which have no methylation in mRNAs, whereas mRNAs that are methylated on the same exons that compose m6A modification, circRNAs become less stable when recognized by reader YTHDF2 [113]. Accumulating evidence suggested that m6A modification could impact lots of biological functions of circRNA, such as promoting cytoplasmic export of circRNA, driving translation, and mediating degradation and so on. For example, m6A modification of circNSUN2 modulated its cytoplasmic export (Fig.3e). The mechanism was that YTHDC1 recognized this circNSUN2 and then formed a circNSUN2/IGF2BP2/HMGA2 RNA-protein ternary complex in the cytoplasm. In addition, this m6A modified circNSUN2 could enhance the stability of HMGA2 mRNA, which promoted CRC metastasis and progression [114]. Moreover, m6A modification...
could promote the cap-independent protein translation of some circRNAs through internal ribosomal entry sites (IRESs). This kind of m6A-driven translation required initiation factor eIF4G2 and m6A “reader” YTHDF3, which largely increased the knowledge of the human transcriptome [115] (Fig.3f). Recently, a subset of circRNAs modified by m6A could be recognized by YTHDF2 and the levels of circRNAs were selectively downregulated via the HRSP12-RNase P/MRP endoribonuclease complex, which might serve as a new mechanism for m6A modification mediated degradation of circRNAs [116] (Fig.3g) (Table 1).

As is showed above, m6A modification could impact the functions of circRNA. These functions include promoting cytoplasmic export, driving translation, and mediating degradation. However, the influence about circRNA on m6A modification was not reported up to date, which may be a novel research direction in the future.

5. The relationship of ncRNA modified by m6A and cancer stemness

As indicated above, we summarized the relationship among m6A modification, ncRNA, and cancer stemness. However, whether there were any connections between m6A modified ncRNA and cancer stemness remained unclear. So, in this part, we illustrated the relationship of ncRNA modified by m6A and cancer stemness.

m6A modification in miRNA played a crucial role in cancer stemness. In bladder cancer, METTL3 promoted the maturation of miR-221/222 and accelerated cancer cell proliferation, growth, and stemness [94]. In CRC, METTL3 promoted the maturation of miR-1246 and downregulated suppressor gene SPRED2. SPRED2 was the downstream target of miR-1246, and downregulation of SPRED2 could reverse the
inhibition of MAPK pathway, thus promoting cancer metastasis and stemness [117].

There were also some evidences indicated that m6A modification in IncRNA and
circRNA exhibited a strong connection with cancer stemness. For example, in
nasopharyngeal carcinoma, IncRNA FAM225A could sponge miR-590-3p/miR-1275
to exert its biological functions. Through activating the FAK/PI3K/AKT signaling
pathway, m6A modification made the oncogenic IncRNA FAM225A more stable,
therefore promoting tumorigenesis, metastasis and stemness [118]. In CRC, m6A
modification accelerated the circNSUN2 exporting to the cytoplasm, and the
upregulation of circNSUN2 in the cytoplasm enhanced cancer invasion and stemness.
The detailed mechanism was that the circNSUN2/IGF2BP2/HMGA2 complex could
stabilize HMGA2, and HMGA2 mRNA was closely related to the invasion of CRC
cells [114]. In HCC, m6A modified circRNA-SORE sustained sorafenib resistance by
regulating Wnt/β-catenin signaling, circRNA-SORE acted as a miRNA sponge, and
sequestered miR-103a-2-5p and miR-660-3p, thereby competitively activating the
Wnt/β-catenin pathway to inducing sorafenib resistance and stemness [119]. To sum
up, it was obvious that m6A modified ncRNA played an essential role in the
modulation of cancer stemness, and the underlying mechanisms and clinical
significances of this regulation were worthwhile to conduct further investigations.

6. Key signaling pathways regulated by ncRNA and m6A modification in cancer
stemness

It was confirmed that METTL3 could methylate pri-miR-1246 to promote its
maturation. And the METTL3/miR-1246/SPRED2 axis played a significant role in CRC metastasis through regulating MAPK signaling pathway [117]. METTL14 inhibited CRC cell growth, migration and invasion via miR-375/Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1) pathway and miR-375/SP1 pathway [120]. miR-186 was a direct target of METTL3, and via Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, METTL3/miR-186 could promote the progression of hepatoblastoma [121]. As showed above, there were some evidences that ncRNAs modified by m6A played a significant role in cancer stemness modulation, however, the number of these researches were limited. To understand the key signaling pathways regulated by ncRNA and m6A modification in cancer stemness, some key molecules in these pathways might provide novel insights and avenues.

Numerous researches demonstrated that some key signaling pathways were involved in the regulation of cancer stemness through the interactions between ncRNA and m6A modification on the essential molecules of these pathways (Fig. 4).

**Wnt/β-catenin signaling**

Wnt signaling was a key driver of most types of cancer stemness properties. The core Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, could control stem cells, and contribute to cancers, such as CRC, HCC, and pancreas cancer and so on[122]. In addition, ncRNAs were verified to play a significant role in this signaling pathway. For example, miR-1246 could activate Wnt/β-catenin pathway by suppressing the expression of AXIN2
and glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) [123]. IncRNA NEAT1 activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway via DDX5 and fulfilled its oncogenic functions to promote CRC progression and metastasis [124]. LINC00210 activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling through interacting with CTNNBIP1 and blocking the combination between CTNNBIP1 and β-catenin, so as to promoting the self-renewal and propagation of liver cancer [125]. Inhibition of circRNA_069718 could reduce the expression level of β-catenin in the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [91]. Moreover, some key molecules in this signaling pathway such as GSK3β was associated with m6A modification. For instance, FTO was elevated in GSK3β-deficient mESCs. FTO could be phosphorylated by GSK3β in Wnt/β-catenin pathway. However, in GSK3β knockout mESCs, that process is impaired, which leads to the upregulation of FTO protein. And this result may provide a novel insight in the interplay between m6A modification and signaling pathway [126].

MAPK signaling

MAPK signaling pathway was also closely related to cancer stemness. And various ncRNAs participated in the modulation of this signaling pathway. Upregulation of miRNA-21 expression could suppress the MAPK signaling in CVB3-infected Hela cells [127]. miR-497 inhibited the expression of the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway and promoted the apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells [128]. IncRNA DRHC was reported to inhibit the tumorigenicity of HCC through modulating MEK/ERK activities in MAPK signaling [129, 130]. miR-125a-3p impaired p38/MAPK pathway and exhibited tumor-suppressive functions. In addition, circ-MAPK4 acted as a sponge of miR-125a-
3p and affected glioma proliferation and apoptosis by regulating p38/MAPK pathway [131]. In breast cancer, circ_0006528 could promote cancer growth and migration by promoting the expression of Raf1 in MAPK/ERK pathway [132]. MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 were two essential molecules in MAPK signaling pathway. It was reported that m6A modification had crucial effects on these molecules. For example, overexpression of YTHDF2 activated MEK and ERK in HCC cells and suppressed cancer cell proliferation. The mechanism was that YTHDF2 acted as a tumor suppressor and bound the m6A modification site of EGFR 3’-UTR, thus promoting the EGFR mRNA degradation [133]. Moreover, METTL3 depletion could increase the phosphorylation of ERK in MAPK signaling pathway and influence the whole activity and function of this pathway [134].

**Hippo signaling**

The role of Hippo signaling in cancer stemness has already been observed. In GC, miR-375 was revealed to regulate Hippo pathway through targeting the YAP1-TEAD4-CTGF axis. Further study suggested that overexpression of YAP1 could reduce the tumor suppressive effect of miR-375, and promote GC progression [135]. Besides, lncRNA UCA1 was reported to promote pancreatic cancer cell migration and invasion through Hippo pathway. Overexpression of UCA1 could inhibit the phosphorylation of YAP in the Hippo pathway and increase the YAP expression level [136]. Similarly, in GC cells, LINC00662 regulated GC cell proliferation via Hippo pathway. Knockdown of LINC00662 could suppress Hippo-YAP1 signaling pathway through sponging miR-
Another study indicated that circPPP1R12A-73aa could activate Hippo-YAP signaling pathway so as to promote colon cancer metastasis [92]. Meanwhile, m6A modification was also involved in the modulation of key molecule YAP in the Hippo signaling. YTHDF3 was a novel target of YAP, which could facilitate the degradation of m6A modified lncRNA GAS5, thus uncovering a negative functional loop of lncRNA GAS5-YAP-YTHDF3 axis, and identifying a novel mechanism for m6A induced decay of GAS5 on YAP signaling in the progression of colorectal cancer [138].

JAK/STAT3 signaling

JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway is one of the most promising pathways in cancer stemness research field, which bridges a linkage between ncRNA and m6A in tumorigenesis and metastasis. In NSCLC, miR-30e-5p suppressed tumorigenesis and metastasis by inhibiting USP22-mediated Sirt1/JAK/STAT3 signaling. Further study demonstrated that miR-30e-5p could inhibit Sirt1 expression and increase the expression of phosphorylated STAT3, so as to activate STAT3 activity [139]. In addition, in CRC, the upregulation of lncRNA ITIH4-AS1 induced the downregulation or depletion of RE1 silencing transcription factor (REST). The downregulation of REST further enhanced ITIH4-AS1 expression and promoted tumor proliferation and metastasis through JAK/STAT3 pathway [140]. Moreover, circDOCK1 was reported to promote the phosphorylation of JAK1 and STAT3 in JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway and accelerate thyroid carcinogenesis through the inhibition of miR-124 [141]. Recently, there were some evidences about m6A modification in regulating the key molecules in
JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway. For instance, loss of METTL3 interfered the expression of JAK2 and SOCS3, impaired self-renewal capacity, and triggered the differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells [142].

To sum up, cancer stemness could be regulated by some key signaling pathways, and the essential molecules in these signaling pathways could be associated with m6A modification and ncRNA modulation. The above evidence provided a novel bridge between the m6A modification and ncRNA in cancer stemness, especially in cancer invasion, metastasis, tumorigenesis, and self-renewal, and so on. That is to say, m6A modification regulated or interacted with some key molecules in cancer stemness related signaling pathways, and these pathways could also be influenced by ncRNA, which linked m6A modification, ncRNA, and cancer stemness together. However, the m6A modification interact with the key molecules in signaling pathways have not been well studied, and the exact mechanisms of this regulation still required further investigation.

7. The clinical potential of ncRNAs and m6A modifiers as promising biomarkers for indicating cancer stemness properties

Late detection was the main reason leading to the poor survival and prognosis of cancer patients. Highly specific and sensitive biomarkers might be a new direction for developing non-invasive cancer diagnosis markers for early detection. Nowadays, ncRNAs and m6A modifiers could act as promising biomarkers for indicating cancer
stemness and cancer progression (Fig. 5). For example, exosomal miRNAs served as new biomarkers for early and minimally invasive cancer diagnosis, because exosomes were usually stable and could exist in body fluids, such as blood, saliva, urine, latex, and cerebrospinal fluid [143]. Recently, circulating miRNA profiles were used as promising tools for liquid biopsy in cancer screening, and the 7-miRNA panel (7-miRNA panel: miR-6087, miR-6724-5p, miR-3960, miR-1343-5p, miR-1185-1-3p, miR-6831-5p and miR-4695-5p) were reported to act as non-invasive biomarkers for the early detection of bladder cancer [144]. Moreover, detecting the miRNA biomarkers in plasma had a potential diagnostic value for the screening of HCC. Research indicated that peripheral plasma miR-148a was convinced to be a promising biomarker to predict HCC occurrence and progression [145]. In addition, aberrant levels of IncRNAs could also determine the invasive and metastatic capacities of cancer cells, and IncRNA SNHG12 was a promising biomarker with clinical potential in many cancers including GC, NSCLC, and bladder cancer, and so on, because of its high stability and abundantly expression in multiple tumors [146]. Some exosome-derived IncRNAs were involved in the modulation of cancer stemness aspects such as chemotherapeutic drug resistance [147]. Additionally, exosomal IncRNAs derived from tumors were suitable candidates for non-invasive diagnosis. For instance, serum exosomal Inc-GNAQ-6:1 might be evaluated as a novel diagnostic marker for gastric cancer [148]. More interestingly, circulating IncRNA XLOC_009167 was stable in whole blood under different conditions, so IncRNA XLOC_009167 may have a better diagnostic potential than traditional biomarkers in the diagnose of NSCLC [149]. In addition, the expression of
circRNA_0001178 and circRNA_0000826 were different in CRC patients with and without liver metastasis, which indicated that these two circRNAs might act as different diagnostic biomarkers for liver metastasis in CRC [150]. Moreover, circ-KIAA1244 was reported to be detected in GC patients’ plasma exosomes, and low expression of plasma circ-KIAA1244 could inhibit lymphatic metastasis and increase overall survival rate, which indicated that circ-KIAA1244 might serve as a novel circulating biomarker for the detection of GC metastasis [151]. Furthermore, the expression of circ_0068669 was associated with the microvascular invasion and TNM stages, suggesting that it could act as a promising biomarker for the prediction of HCC metastasis [152]. In NSCLC, some evidence indicated that the plasma circFARSA could serve as a potential non-invasive biomarker for cancer invasion and metastasis [153].

Similarly, m6A modifiers were also reported to serve as biomarkers in cancer stemness, due to their significant regulatory roles in modulating gene expressions, during cancer initiation, metastasis and recurrence. Specifically, downregulation of METTL14 expression could facilitate tumor metastasis, and METTL14 was verified to be a potential prognostic biomarker for indicating the metastasis of CRC. Moreover, METTL14 also acted as an effective therapeutic target of CRC, which influenced the SOX4-mediated EMT process and PI3K/AKT signaling to inhibit CRC progression [58]. Besides, METTL3 was reported to be associated with poor prognosis and survival. Overexpression of METTL3 could promote cell proliferation, angiogenesis, glycolysis process and liver metastasis in GC [154]. Furthermore, the aberrant expressions of m6A “erasers” such as FTO and ALKBH1 both had distinct prognostic values in GC patients,
and these two “erasers” might be used as potential biomarkers for indicating GC progression and metastasis [155]. In addition, m6A “readers” such as YTHDF1 and HNRNPC also served as promising biomarkers in cancer stemness aspects. In HCC, YTHDF1 was reported to play an important role in regulating cell cycle progression and cancer metabolism reprogramming, suggesting that YTHDF1 might be a potential biomarker for the prognosis of HCC [156]. Additionally, HNRNPC participated in the malignant behavior such as metastasis and invasion of bladder cancer, and the expression of HNRNPC was dramatically associated with different clinicopathological variables of bladder cancer patients, which indicated it may be promising biomarker [157]. Recently, peripheral blood m6A modifier seems to be a potential noninvasive biomarker for the detecting and diagnosis of NSCLC, downregulating FTO and ALKBH5 in NSCLC had a great connection with tumor stage and metastasis [158].

Nowadays, some m6A inhibitors are explored, which provide novel treatments for cancers, through targeting m6A regulators. Most inhibitors targeted at m6A “erasers”. For example, MO-I-500 was a FTO inhibitor, which inhibited the survival and colony formation of breast cancer cell [159]. R-2HG, which was known as a metabolic product of mutant IDH1/2, was defined as an inhibitor of FTO and inhibited leukemia progression [160]. FB23-2 (an inhibitor of FTO) suppressed proliferation and promoted the apoptosis of AML cell [161]. FTO-04 increased m^6^A modification levels in glioblastoma stem cells through inhibiting of FTO [162]. In addition, there are other FTO inhibitors, such as Meclofenamic acid (MA) were applied to cancer treatments [163]. Moreover, ALKBH5 inhibitors were also been developed. For example,
MV1035 was an inhibitor of ALKBH5, and it significantly reduced the migration and invasiveness of glioblastoma [164]. m6A inhibitors showed significant functions in various cancers, as they could take part in the development of cancer by inhibiting m6A modifiers. However, the inhibitors of m6A “writers” and “readers” have not been reported up to date, which will be a novel research direction in the future.

8. Conclusions and limitations

In summary, m6A (including “writer”, “reader”, and “eraser”) modification and ncRNA (miRNA, lncRNA, circRNA) are closely related to cancer stemness properties (chemo-resistance, radio-resistance, tumorigenesis, metastasis, tumor self-renewal capacity, cancer metabolism reprogramming, tumor immuno-microenvironment remodeling). And the interaction among m6A modification, ncRNA and cancer stemness were quite complex. The m6A modifiers could act as both oncogene and suppressor gene, ncRNAs could exert different functions among various cancers. In addition, the increasing and decreasing of m6A modification level could both be cancer-promoting factors, and the exact mechanisms still not really clear, and may be associated with different targets or signaling pathways. We illustrated the interplay between ncRNA and m6A modification in cancer stemness modulation and demonstrated that some key signaling pathways might act as bridges in this interplay. In addition, m6A modifiers and ncRNAs might serve as promising biomarkers for indicating cancer stemness properties, as they can offer an early and non-invasive way for cancer detection and provide novel insights for finding useful targets for cancer.
diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. Additionally, some m6A agents were explored and may be novel therapeutic targets for cancer stemness. However, there are no strong evidences showing whether ncRNAs could be potential therapeutic targets, which is worthy to conduct further studies. More importantly, although some researches indicated that ncRNAs could be potential biomarkers for cancer diagnosis, very few of them complete clinical trials, which means there are still a long way to go to verify their clinical values.
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Figure legends

Fig.1 m6A modification on RNA. m6A modification is dynamic and reversible. m6A “writers” (METTL3, METTL14, METTL16, WTAP, ZC3H13, KIAA1492) could catalyze the m6A modification of adenosine on RNA. m6A “erasers” mainly include FTO and ALKBH5. These proteins can remove the methylation from RNA. m6A “readers” (YTHDF3, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, HNRNPC, HNPNPA2B1, YTHDF1, IGF2BPs, NKAP, eIF3) are selective RNA-binding proteins that can recognize m6A modification. The functions of m6A modification on RNA mainly include splicing, translation, degradation, stability, nuclear export, and miRNA processing.

Fig.2 The network of m6A modification and ncRNA in regulating cancer stemness properties. Cancer stemness mainly contains chemo-resistance, radio-resistance, tumorigenesis, metastasis, tumor self-renewal, cancer metabolism reprogramming, and cancer immuno-microenvironment remodeling. m6A modification and ncRNA are both involved in each field of these cancer stemness aspects.

Fig.3 The interactions between m6A modification and ncRNA. (a) m6A “reader” HNRNPA2B1 binds to pri-miRNA and interacts with DROSHA/DGCR8 complex or increases Dicer splicing, so as to promote miRNA processing. (b) m6A modification changes lncRNA structure in order to expose the m6A binding site and recruit RNA binding proteins to exert their biological functions. (c) lncRNA acts as ceRNA and m6A modification increases the stability of lncRNA. (d) HNRNPU anchors XIST to the X
chromosome. XIST recruits m6A methylation complex and results in m6A methylation around. m6A “reader” YTHDC1 binds to XIST and promotes gene silencing. (e) YTHDC1 binds to circNSUN2 and promotes circNSUN2 exporting to cytoplasm. (f) circRNA translation is a cap-independent way involving YTHDF3, eIF4G2, and IRES. (g) m6A site could be recognized by YTHDF2, and m6A recruits HRSP12 to promote circRNA degradation through YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP axis.

Fig.4 Key signaling pathways regulated by ncRNA and m6A modifiers in cancer stemness. m6A modification can modulate some key molecules in cancer stemness related signaling pathways, and the expressions and functions of these molecules could also be regulated by ncRNA, thus linking m6A modification, ncRNA, and cancer stemness together.

Fig.5 ncRNA and m6A modifiers are promising biomarkers for indicating cancer stemness.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m6A modifiers</th>
<th>ncRNAs</th>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Cancer types /functions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>miR-221/222</td>
<td>Promote miRNA biogenesis</td>
<td>m6A allow DGCR8 to bind specific substates</td>
<td>Bladder cancer</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL14</td>
<td>miR-126</td>
<td>Promote miRNA biogenesis</td>
<td>m6A allow DGCR8 to bind specific substates</td>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPA2B</td>
<td>miR-106b</td>
<td>Promote miRNA biogenesis</td>
<td>m6A allow DGCR8 to bind specific substates</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPC</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Promote miRNA biogenesis</td>
<td>m6A allow DGCR8 to bind specific substates</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>pre-miR-143-3p</td>
<td>Promote miRNA biogenesis</td>
<td>Increase Dicer splicing of pre-miRNA</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL3/METTL14</td>
<td>MALAT1</td>
<td>Control lncRNA structure</td>
<td>m6A as a switch</td>
<td>Promote gene expression</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>MALAT1</td>
<td>lncRNA-mediated ceRNA model</td>
<td>Sponge miR-1914-3p</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>linc1281</td>
<td>RNA-RNA interaction</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Mouse embryonic stem cells differentiation</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>XIST</td>
<td>Promote XIST-mediated gene silencing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTHDC1</td>
<td>circNSUN2</td>
<td>Promote cytoplasmic export</td>
<td>Forming circNSUN2/IGF2BP2/HMGA2 protein ternary complex</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTHDF3</td>
<td>circRNA</td>
<td>Promote translation</td>
<td>Cap-independent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTHDF2</td>
<td>circRNA</td>
<td>Promote degradation</td>
<td>HRSP12-RNase P/MRP endoribonuclease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; CRC: Colorectal cancer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ncRNAs</th>
<th>m6A modifiers</th>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>Cancer types</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-33a</td>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>Inhibit METTL3 expression</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-600</td>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>Inhibit METTL3 expression</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRNA let-7g</td>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>Inhibit METTL3 expression</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linc00470</td>
<td>METTL3</td>
<td>Promote METTL3 mediated m6A</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>modification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IncRNA GATA3-AS</td>
<td>KIAA1429</td>
<td>Promote KIAA1429 mediated m6A</td>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>modification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1266</td>
<td>FTO</td>
<td>Inhibit FTO expression</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IncRNA GAS5-AS1</td>
<td>ALKBH5</td>
<td>Promote ALKBH5 expression</td>
<td>Cervical cancer</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CRC: Colorectal cancer; GC: Gastric cancer