Berberine alleviates lipid metabolism disorders via inhibition of mitochondrial complex I in gut and liver
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Abstract

To investigate whether lipid-lowering effect of berberine (BBR) was related to mitochondrial complex I, rotenone was used parallel in this study. Our results suggested that both BBR and rotenone reversed high-fat diet (HFD) induced obesity, hepatic steatosis, hyperlipidemia and insulin resistance in mice. Lipid metabolism related proteins (SCD1, FABP1, CD36 and CPT1A) induced by HFD were attenuated with BBR treatment in liver. BBR promoted fecal lipid excretion, which may be resulted from the reduction in intestinal SCD1 and CD36. Moreover, BBR inhibited mitochondrial complex I-dependent oxygen consumption and ATP synthesis of liver and gut, with no impact on activities of complex II, III and IV. It also ameliorated mitochondrial swelling and facilitated mitochondrial fusion. BBR reduced the abundance and diversity of gut microbiome. However, no change in metabolism of the recipient mice was observed after fecal microbiota transplantation from the BBR treated mice. In primary hepatocytes, BBR and AMPK activator A769662 normalized oleic acid-induced lipid deposition. Oleic acid downregulated AMPK pathway while BBR and A769662 activated it. However, oxygen consumption was decreased by BBR and increased by A769662. Collectively, these findings indicated that BBR regulated lipid metabolism via inhibition of complex I in gut and liver, independently of intestinal bacteria.
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Introduction

Obesity is one of the most prevalent public health issues worldwide due to sedentary lifestyle and high-calorie diet, leading to hepatic steatosis, cardiovascular disease and diabetes with increasing morbidities [1, 2]. Nevertheless, current drugs have limited effect on obesity and its related metabolic dysregulation [3-5]. Berberine (BBR), an isoquinoline alkaloid, has been reported to improve glucose metabolism [6-8] as well as enhance insulin sensitivity [9, 10] in previous studies including ours. In addition, decreased body weight, cholesterol and triglyceride levels were also observed with BBR treatment [11, 12], which makes it a potential candidate for the treatment of obesity and lipid metabolism disorders. However, the underlying mechanism still remains elusive.

Mitochondrion is a cell organelle responsible for aerobic metabolism such as tricarboxylic acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). Our previous research and some other studies have revealed that liver mitochondrial function enhanced in obese and diabetic mice [13-15], while BBR was able to reduce oxygen consumption of hepatocytes, myotubes and adipocytes in vitro as well as enhance glucose consumption via inducing glycolysis [16-18]. And several other lines of evidence also confirmed that BBR inhibited complex I function [19-21]. Meanwhile, we found that the mitochondrial complex I inhibitor rotenone (ROT) improved glucose homeostasis similarly as BBR [22]. Nevertheless, previous studies mainly focused on the beneficial effect of complex I inhibition by BBR on glucose metabolism, the relationship between mitochondrial complex I function and lipid metabolism is yet poorly understood.

By β-oxidation, an aerobic biological process, fatty acids are broken into acetyl-CoA in mitochondria [23]. Our previous study demonstrated that ROT inhibited OXPHOS of complex I and reduced the ratio of NAD+/NADH [22]. NADH is a product of β-oxidation, therefore, we speculated that ROT and BBR may slow the rate of β-oxidation. However, several groups reported that BBR enhanced fatty acid oxidation by activating adenosine 5'-monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway [24, 25]. Thus, whether BBR increases or decreases fatty acid oxidation needs further clarification. If BBR inhibits it, how BBR suppresses lipid deposition is an interesting issue to explore.
It's known that intestinal microbiota has a profound impact on lipid metabolism as well [26], and BBR has been reported to improve dyslipidemia by modulating microbiota structural and diversity of high-fat-diet (HFD) mice [27, 28]. Whereas without complete respiratory enzyme system, most intestinal bacteria are obligate anaerobes, may not respond to the inhibition of complex I. Whether the lipid-lowering effect of BBR attributing to mitochondrial complex I inhibition or intestinal microbiota alteration remains unclear. In addition to gut bacteria, some literatures showed that the gut itself played an important role in metabolism [29, 30]. Nevertheless, up to now, little attention has been paid to the action of BBR on intestinal mitochondria.

To address above issues, we used ROT as a positive control to investigate the effects and mechanism of BBR on lipid metabolism disorders. The study indicated that BBR and ROT reduced body weight and hepatic lipid deposition of obese mice through decreasing mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR), ATP synthesis and intestinal lipid absorption. Additionally, the metabolic improvement was independent of alteration of gut microbiome and activation of AMPK pathway by BBR.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

All male C57BL/6J (Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute of Nanjing University, N000013) at 5 weeks of age were housed with constant temperature (22 ± 2°C) and humidity (40-60%) as well as 12 h light/dark cycle. The mice had free access to diet and water except for indicated fasting conditions. Forty mice were randomly divided into four groups and fed with normal chow diet (NCD), HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT (n = 10), respectively. NCD and HFD were both purchased from Trophic Animal Feed High-tech Co., Ltd. (China), in which 10% and 60.9% of calories were from fat, respectively. BBR (A600129, Sangon, Shanghai, China) and ROT (R8875, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) were blended into the HFD at 1.4 g/kg and 0.075 g/kg, respectively. The diet was stored in a -20°C freezer until usage. The cages were changed twice every week, while the food was changed every three days. VO₂, VCO₂, RER, ambulatory
activity, total activity and heat were accessed by Oxymax indirect calorimetry system (Oxymax, Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH). Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (GE PIXImus1, Madison, WI) was used to measure body composition. After 5 months of feeding, animals were sacrificed with 1% sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (10 µl/g) and tissues were collected with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Meanwhile, liver tissues were put in 4% paraformaldehyde to perform HE and oil red staining.

**Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) and insulin tolerance test (ITT)**

IPGTT was performed with intraperitoneal injection of glucose (2 g/kg) after overnight fasting. Blood glucose was determined at 0, 15, 30, 60 and 120 min after glucose injection. ITT was carried out by intraperitoneal injection of regular insulin (0.75 unit/kg; Novo Nordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark) after a 6 h fasting. Blood glucose was measured at 0, 15, 30, 60 and 120 min after insulin injection.

**Oxygen consumption of tissue mitochondria**

Intact liver and gut mitochondria were isolated as previously described [31]. Mitochondrial protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). A clark-type oxygen electrode (Strathkelvin Instruments, Motherwell, UK) was used to assess mitochondrial OCR. The tests were carried out in oxygen electrode gimish (50 mmol/L Mops, 100 mmol/L KCl, 5.0 mmol/L KH2PO4, 1.0 mmol/L EGTA, 1 mg/ml defatted BSA, pH 7.4). Glutamate (20 mmol/L) + malate (5 mmol/L), ROT (7.5 µmol/L) + succinate (20 mmol/L) and ROT (7.5 µmol/L) + TMPD-Asc (1 mmol/L -10 mmol/L) were added as respiratory substrates for mitochondrial complex I, II and IV, respectively. After mitochondria and 0.1 mmol/L ADP being added, State 3 respiration started when related substrates and 0.2 mmol/L ADP being injected. Subsequently, we assessed OXPHOS capacity and electron transport chain (ETC) capacity with adding 2 mmol/L ADP and 0.2 mmol/L DNP (uncoupler), respectively. The data of OCRs were shown as nM atoms of O2/minute/mg of mitochondrial protein.
Carnitine acyl transferase activity

Carnitine acyl transferase activity was assessed by OCR using a clark-type oxygen electrode, which was prepared as mentioned above. We used carnitine + palmitoyl CoA to assess carnitine acyl transferase (CPT) 1+2 activity and palmitoyl carnitine to measure CPT2 activity alone. After mitochondria and 0.1 mmol/L ADP being injected, state 3 respiration was initiated when adding substrates and 0.2 mmol/L ADP. OXPHOS capacity was measured by 2 mmol/L ADP, mimicking the maximum extent of fatty acyl CoA being transported.

Citrate III activity

The activity of cytochrome c reductase (complex III) was measured by the reduction of cytochrome c as previously described with a little modification[32]. Briefly, the enzyme assay was carried out in buffer complemented with 50 mmol/L KPi, 50 μmol/L EDTA, 0.1% BSA, 10 μg/mL antmycin A, 0.1 mmol/L decylubichinone and 60 μmol/L cytochrome c. The reaction was started with the administration of 50 mg liver or intestine tissues and the reduction of cytochrome c was evaluated at a wavelength of 550 nm.

Citrate synthase and β-ketothiolase activities

Activities of citrate synthase (CS) and β-ketothiolase were assessed as previously described with a little modification[33]. Mitochondria were pretreated with 5% cholate (pH 7) before adding to the reagent cocktail.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Liver tissues were collected after mice being sacrificed immediately and divided into sections of 1 mm × 1 mm × 1 mm approximately, which were fixed with glutaraldehyde and osmic acid, dehydrated with ethanol and embedded with ethoxyline resin. And then we observed
mitochondrial ultrastructural morphology and structure by TEM. Mitochondrial density, length (the most extended dimension of mitochondria) and area were analyzed in 5 microscopic vision fields (13500×) by Image J.

Isolation and treatment of mouse primary hepatocytes

Primary hepatocytes were isolated from male C57BL/6J mice of 7-10 weeks by a previously described two-step perfusion method with minor modifications [34-36]. We seeded primary hepatocytes on geltin-coated 6- or 12-well plates in DMEM (low glucose) supplemented with 10% fetus bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). Trypan blue was used to make sure that cell viability was higher than 80% before plating. Primary hepatocytes were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2 at 37°C. After 4-6 h adherence, cells were treated with 0.8 mmol/L oleic acid (OA) to induce lipid accumulation, supplemented with 2 μmol/L, 4 μmol/L BBR or 1 μmol/L, 2 μmol/L ROT at the same time in serum-free DMEM for 24 h. When exploring the role of AMPK pathway in the effect of BBR, we incubated primary hepatocytes with 10 μmol/L compound C (CC) or 20 μmol/L A769662 (A76) to block or activate AMPK activity. Lipid droplets of primary hepatocytes were monitored by oil red O staining. We also measured intracellular triglyceride using Triglyceride Quantification Colorimetric/Fluorometric Kit (BioVision, Milpitas, CA). ATP contents of primary hepatocytes were assessed by Luminescent ATP Detection Assay Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, MA).

LDH cytotoxicity assay

After OA, BBR and ROT treatment for 24 h, supernatant of primary hepatocytes was collected to measure cytotoxicity with LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China), which was calculated by the following equation: living cells (%) = 100 - (OD490\text{treated} - OD490\text{blank}) / (OD490\text{maximum enzyme activity} - OD490\text{blank}) × 100%.
**Oxygen consumption of primary hepatocytes**

Primary hepatocytes were seeded into XFe-24 cell plates. After the cells were incubated with different treatment for 24 h, we removed the medium and washed the cells twice. Then Seahorse assay medium was added to plate and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C without CO₂. Next, oligomycin, FCCP, antimycin and rotenone were pretreated into reagent delivery ports of A, B, and C, respectively. Then oxygen consumption rates (OCR) were measured by Seahorse XFe-24 analyser (Agilent technologies, USA). The basal respiration was calculated by the baseline of OCR and maximal respiration was assessed by OCR after FCCP injection.

**Faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT)**

Six-week-old male donor mice were fed with HFD, HFD+BBR, or HFD+ROT (n = 5) for 4 months. After 8 weeks of feeding, stools were collected daily for the subsequent 2 months under a laminar flow hood in sterile condition. Stools (100-150 mg) from donor mice of each diet group were pooled and resuspended in 3 ml of sterile saline. The solution was vigorously mixed for 30 s. After standing for 5 minutes, 300 µl supernatant of the solution was collected and orally administered into each recipient. All recipients were fed with HFD. FMT was repeated twice a week for consecutive 8 weeks. Meanwhile, feces excreted by donor mice were also dropped to the recipients’ cages because mice were coprophilous.

**In vivo biochemical analyses**

After being fed with NCD, HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT for 24 weeks, the mice were food deprived for 12 h, and then they received euthanasia to collect plasma by cardiac puncture. Plasma triglyceride (BioVision, Milpitas, CA), cholesterol (Wako, Osaka, Japan), alanine aminotransferase (ALT, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), creatinine, urea, HDL-C and LDL-C (Kehua, Shanghai, China) were measured by colorimetric assay kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The fecal lipid analysis was carried out as described previously [37] by ICAS Testing Center.
(Shanghai, China). In brief, dried fecal samples of each groups were collected and lipids were extracted by 2:1 chloroform-methanol. The dried lipid extracts were resuspended in 1% Triton X-100 dissolved in chloroform and evaporated overnight. After finally suspended in water, the lipid contents were measured.

**In vitro lipid assays**

After primary hepatocytes being treated with OA, OA+BBR and OA+ROT for 24 h, we collected the supernatant of each group and assessed the non-esterified free fatty acids (Wako, Osaka, Japan). And the results were normalized to the NEFA amounts of OA-treated blank well (cell free) to evaluate the fatty acid uptake with a colorimetric assay.

For cellular triglyceride assay, we incubated primary hepatocytes with blank, OA, OA+BBR or OA+ROT for 24 h, followed by the lysing the cells in 5 % NP-40. The samples were heated to 95°C for 5 min and cool down to room temperature. After being centrifuged, the collected supernatant was used to determine the triglyceride level (BioVision, Milpitas, CA).

**mtDNA assay**

DNA of the liver tissues was extracted with DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total DNA (25 ng) was used as a template in RT-PCR. The mtDNA primers used for PCR were listed as follows: MTND1 (Forward, CTAACAATTTATCTTCCTAGGAC; Reverse, GATGTATAAGTTGATCGTAACGG); MTRNR1 (Forward, AGGAGCTGGTTCTATAATCGATAAA; Reverse, GATGGCGGTATATAGGCTGAA). All above mtDNA levels were normalized to the nuclear RBM15 (RNA-binding motif protein 15) gene (Forward, GGACACTTTTCTTGGGCAAC; Reverse, AGTTTGCCCTGTGAGACAT).

**16S rDNA gene sequencing**
Total DNA was extracted from the feces by QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Strasse, Germany). The microbial 16S rRNA gene V5-V6 region of the samples was amplified with forward primer 786F (5′-GATTAGATACCCTGGTAGT-3′) and the reverse primer 1079R (5′-TCACGACACGAGCTGACGAC-3′) by PCR and Illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to construct the DNA library. Sequencing was carried out by an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). To check on the quality of raw data, Fast-QC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) was utilized for quality control. Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME v.1.9.3, https://qiime.org/) was used to identify and remove chimeric sequences.

Sequences were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% similarity and aligned against the Greengenes reference database. The Chao1 index and Shannon index were carried out to evaluated the species richness and diversity.

**Western blot**

Liver tissues and primary hepatocytes were collected and lysed in RIPA buffer containing PMSF and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail for 10 min on ice. After determining protein concentrations, we boiled the lysates and subjected it to SDS-PAGE. Subsequently, proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes, which were blocked with 5% defatted milk for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies and then incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Immunoreactive signals were detected with ECL reagent (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Image J was used to quantify the Western bands.

Antibodies against SCD1 (2794), FABP1 (13368), CS (14309), COX IV (11967), AMPKα (5832), p-AMPKα (Thr172, 2535), ACC (3662), p-ACC (Ser79, 3661), Tublin (2148), GAPDH (5174), β-actin (3700), anti-mouse (7076) and anti-rabbit (7074) secondary antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Antibodies to CPT1A (ab128568), ACADL (ab196655), FADS1 (ab126706), mt-ND3 (ab192306), CD36 (ab133625) and
GRIM19 (ab110240) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Antibody against Ndufs4 (WH0004724M1) was from Sigma. Antibody to mt-ND2 (LS-C498022) was acquired from Lifespan (Seatle, WA).

Statistical analysis

Data were shown as means ± SEM. Statistical significance of differences was determined by one-way or two-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons tests. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and the statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS 20.0.

Results

BBR prevented obesity and insulin resistance in HFD mice

Mice were fed with NCD, HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT, respectively. From the 6th week to the 20th week, the body weights of the HFD group were much higher than those of the other groups (Figure. 1A and B), while the fat mass and fat mass/body weight of the HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT groups were in low levels similar as NCD group (Figure. 1C and D). No significant difference in lean mass was observed among the groups (Figure. 1E). BBR and ROT significantly decreased the serum cholesterol, triglycerides fasting blood glucose (FBG) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) which increased markedly with HFD (Figure. 1F and G, Table S1).

Notably, IPGTT showed that HFD impaired glucose tolerance of the mice while BBR and ROT reversed these changes (Figure. 1H and I). BBR also significantly decreased glucose levels and AUC area in ITT (Figure. 1J and K). Food intake of the four groups was similar (Figure. 1L), indicating that BBR and ROT reduced body weight of the obese mice without affecting calorie intake. In addition, HFD decreased respiratory exchange rate (RER) and increased the heat of mice compared with NCD, and no influence of BBR or ROT was observed on the effects of HFD (Figure. 1M and N).
Figure 1. BBR reduced body weight and improved glucose and lipid metabolism of HFD mice. 

(A) Body weight of the mice fed with NCD, HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT for 20 weeks \((n = 10)\). (B-F) The gross morphology (B), fat content (C), fat content/body weight (D) and lean body mass (E) of the mice by a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry \((n = 4)\). (F-K) Blood lipids (F), fasting blood glucose (G), IPGTT curve (H) and AUC (I), ITT curve (J) and AUC (K) \((F, G: n = 6; H-K: n = 10)\). (L-N) Food intake (L), RER (M) and heat (N) assessed by metabolic cages \((n = 8)\). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *\(P < 0.05\).

**BBR reduced lipid deposition in hepatocytes**

As shown in Figure. 2A and B, fatty liver induced by HFD were restored by BBR and ROT. Concurrently, HE and oil red O staining showed that BBR and ROT markedly reduced the lipid deposition in the liver of obese mice (Figure. 2C). *In vitro*, with 0.8 mmol/L OA to induce the
steatosis of primary hepatocytes, LDH release test was utilized to determine the drug concentration and no cytotoxicity was observed in BBR (1 μmol/L, 2 μmol/L, 4 μmol/L) or ROT (0.5 μmol/L, 1 μmol/L, 2 μmol/L) treated mouse primary hepatocytes (Figure 2D). Therefore, we used 4 μmol/L BBR and 2 μmol/L ROT for the following experiments. Oil red staining revealed a reduction in lipid droplets of hepatocytes in HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT groups. In accordance, BBR or ROT administration for 24 h significantly decreased triglyceride content in OA treated hepatocytes. Whereas, no differences were observed between OA+BBR and OA+BBR+ROT groups, which indicated that the addition of ROT could not exert more pronounced lipid-lowering effect on the basis of BBR treatment (Figure 2E and F). Together, these data proved that BBR and ROT relieved lipid accumulation in hepatocyte steatosis.
**Figure 2.** BBR alleviated lipid deposition in hepatocytes both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. (A-C) Lipid deposition of the mice was assessed by gross morphology (A), liver weight (B), HE staining and oil red staining (C) of liver tissue (*n* = 10). (D) Drug concentration screening of primary hepatocytes by cytotoxicity. (E-F) Oil red staining (E) and intracellular triglyceride content (F) of the primary hepatocytes being incubated with blank, OA, OA+BBR, OA+ROT or OA+BBR+ROT for 24 h (*n* = 4). E: OA: 0.8 mmol/L, BBR: 4 μmol/L, ROT: 2 μmol/L. Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *P* < 0.05.

**BBR suppressed fatty acid uptake, lipid synthesis and fatty acid oxidation in liver**

The effects of BBR on the protein levels of lipid metabolic pathways were examined by Western blot. As shown in Figure. 3A-C, HFD significantly induced the expression of key enzymes in hepatic lipid synthesis (SCD1), fatty acid uptake (FABP1) and fatty acid oxidation (CD36 and CPT1A) whereas BBR and ROT reversed these over-expressed protein levels. Meanwhile, no significant difference among the four groups was observed in the other key enzymes of lipid metabolism, e.g. FADS1 and ACADL, indicating that BBR and ROT did not alter the protein levels which were not affected by HFD.

OCR of fatty acid oxidation in liver mitochondria with carnitine and fatty acyl-CoA as substrates was measured to reflect the activity of CPT1+2, key enzymes of fatty acyl-CoA transport. CPT2 activity alone was tested with acyl-carnitine being used as a substrate for OCR. BBR and ROT significantly inhibited the activities of CPT1 and 2 (Figure. 3D and E). Furthermore, BBR and ROT decreased the activity of β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, a subunit of mitochondrial trifunctional protein in charge of β-oxidation of long-chain fatty acids (Figure. 3F).

To investigate the activity of BBR in fatty acid uptake, we examined the reduction of OA in the culture medium of primary hepatocytes treated with OA+BBR or ROT. The results indicated that the two drugs significantly suppressed fatty acid consumption (Figure. 3G).
Figure 3. BBR inhibited lipogenesis, fatty acid uptake and fatty acid oxidation of liver tissues. (A-C) The protein levels of representative key-enzymes in lipid pathways of liver tissues, such as SCD1 and FADS1 for lipogenesis (A), FABP1 and CD36 for fatty acid uptake (B), as well as CPT1A and ACADL for fatty acid oxidation (C). Relative signal strength was quantified for each band ($n = 3$). (D-F) Mouse liver mitochondria of carnitine + palmitoyl CoA dependent OCR indicating CPT1+2 activities (D), palmitoyl carnitine dependent OCR indicating CPT2 activity (E), and $\beta$-ketothiolase activity indicating $\beta$-oxidation activity (F) ($n = 6$). (G) Fatty acid consumption in the primary hepatocytes after
the cells being incubated with blank, OA, OA+BBR and OA+ROT for 24 h (n = 4). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05.

**BBR inhibited liver mitochondrial complex I-dependent OCR and ATP synthesis**

To evaluate the effect of BBR on mitochondrial function, OXPHOS of the liver mitochondria extracted from the four groups was determined in the presence of different complex substrates. HFD notably stimulated the OCRs of state3, OXPHOS capacity and ETC capacity in complex I, showing no significant impacts on complex II and IV activities. BBR completely reversed the overactivation of complex I function of HFD group and had minimal effect on complex II and IV (Figure. 4A-C). Specifically, compared with HFD group, oral administration of BBR decreased complex I dependent OCR of state3, OXPHOS capacity and ETC capacity by 56.5%, 81.3% and 75.8%, respectively. Additionally, the activity of cytochrome c reductase was carried out to assess complex III activity and there were no apparent differences among the groups (Figure. 4D). Moreover, ATP content in the primary hepatocytes of the OA+BBR and OA+ROT groups decreased by 43.6% and 55.8% compared with OA group, respectively (Figure. 4E). These data indicated that the inhibition of complex I activity resulted in reduced intracellular ATP content.
Figure 4. BBR inhibited mitochondrial complex I dependent OCR and ATP synthesis. (A-C) Complex I dependent OCR (A), complex II dependent OCR (B), complex IV dependent OCR (C) of mouse liver mitochondria (n = 3). (D) The activity of cytochrome c reductase (complex III) of liver tissues (n = 3). (E) ATP content of primary hepatocytes being incubated with blank, OA, OA+BBR and OA+ROT for 24 h (n = 8). OA: 0.8 mmol/L, BBR: 4 μmol/L, ROT: 2 μmol/L. Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05.

BBR stimulated liver mitochondrial fusion

The influence of BBR on liver mitochondrial morphology and structure was explored with TEM. The images showed that BBR and ROT increased the mitochondrial length and decreased the density (Figure. 5A-C). Meanwhile, HFD induced obviously mitochondrial swelling as evidenced by doubled mitochondrial area without alteration of mitochondrial length. BBR and ROT completely reversed the mitochondrial swelling by HFD (Figure. 5D). In addition, HFD remarkably increased the copy number of mtDNA, e.g. mt-ND1 and mt-RNR1, while BBR and ROT made them return to normal levels (Figure. 5E). Citrate synthase is a pace-making enzyme in the first step of the citric acid cycle. As illustrated in Fig. 5F, HFD significantly stimulated the activity of citrate synthase, which was back to normal level after BBR and ROT treatment. The above results suggested that BBR reduced the number of liver mitochondria and promoted their fusion. Moreover, no significant difference among the groups was observed on the protein levels of electron transport chain such as GRIM19, Ndufs4, mt-ND2, mt-ND3 and COXIV (Figure. 5G).
Figure 5. BBR and ROT promoted liver mitochondrial fusion. (A) Morphology and structure of mitochondria in liver with TEM. (B-D) The mitochondrial density (B), length (C) and area (D) by the statistical analyze of TEM (n =10). (E) Hepatic mt-DNA copies (n = 7). (F) Citrate synthase activity of liver mitochondria (n = 6). (G) The protein levels of electron transport chain genes (CS, GRIM19, Ndufs4, mt-ND2, mt-ND3 and COXIV) by Western blot and relative signal strength quantification (n =3). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05.

BBR alleviated lipid deposition independently of AMPK activity

We further investigated whether AMPK played a role in the regulation of BBR on lipid metabolism disorders by A769662 (A76) and compound C (CC), an agonist and an inhibitor of AMPK, respectively. In the primary hepatocytes, OA significantly inhibited phosphorylation of AMPK and ACC, while BBR and A76 induced it markedly and BBR+A76 group had the highest phosphorylation levels of AMPK and ACC. And CC decreased the protein expression of p-AMPK and p-ACC, which were increased by BBR+CC (Figure. 6A). Additionally, BBR and A76 alleviated the lipid droplets and triglyceride content of the hepatocytes increased by
OA, which were barely affected by CC. The concomitant application of BBR and A76 led to less lipid droplets and triglyceride content compared with the separate applications of the two drugs, possibly resulting from the synergic effect (Figure, 6B and C). These data suggested that AMPK activity might be associated with the hypolipidemic effects of BBR.

Next, we performed Seahorse to evaluate the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) of primary hepatocytes in real time. Compared with OA group, BBR treatment decreased basal and maximal respiration by 51.1% and 19.4%, respectively. A76 increased the maximal respiratory capacity with no impact on basal respiration. The OCRs of basal and maximal respiration in OA+BBR+A76 group were between the ones in OA+BBR and OA+BBR+A76 group (Figure, 6D-F). What’s more, increased ATP content was observed in primary hepatocytes incubated with OA+A76, which was reduced by OA+BBR+A76 administration (Figure, 6G).
Figure 6. The lipid-lowering effects of BBR were independent of AMPK activity. (A) Phosphorylation of AMPK and ACC in the primary hepatocytes after blank, OA, OA+BBR, OA+CC, OA+A76, OA+BBR+CC or OA+BBR+A76 treatment by Western blot and relative signal strength quantification \((n=3)\). (B-C) Intracellular triglyceride content (B) and oil red staining (C) of the hepatocytes incubated with different treatment \((n=5)\). (D) The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) determined by Seahorse XFe-24 in primary hepatocytes responding to oligomycin, FCCP, antimycin, and rotenone \((n=3)\). (E-F) OCR of basal and maximal respiration calculated from the real-time oxygen consumption curve presented in (D). (G) ATP content of primary hepatocytes treated with blank, OA, OA+BBR, OA+A76 and OA+BBR+A76 \((n=8)\). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *\(P < 0.05\).

**BBR reduced fat absorption via inhibiting intestinal mitochondrial complex I activity regardless of gut bacteria**

Collecting daily feces of each group for 2 weeks continuously, we found that the feces weight of HFD mice was 25.8% more than that of NCD. The feces weight as well as fecal lipid and energy excretion of BBR-fed mice exhibited an evident increase compared with obese mice (Figure. 7A-C). What’s more, HFD dramatically stimulated the intestinal mitochondrial complex I dependent OCR whereas BBR reduced the complex I dependent OCR by 49.4% (Figure. 7D). Neither HFD nor BBR had a remarkable impact on the OXPHOS of complex II and IV (Figure. 7E and F). In addition, no significant differences were observed among NCD, HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT groups in the activity of cytochrome c reductase (complex III) in intestinal tissues (Figure. 7G).

The effects of BBR on the key enzymes of intestinal lipid pathways were determined by Western blot. The results revealed that HFD markedly stimulated the expression of key enzymes in lipid synthesis (SCD1) and fatty acid uptake (CD36), which could be reversed by BBR and ROT. Meanwhile, HFD could not induce the protein level of FADS1 whereas BBR and ROT reduced its expression compared with HFD. Additionally, the protein expressions of other key enzymes of lipid metabolism, e.g. FABP1, CPT1A and ACADL were found no significant difference among four groups (Figure. 7H). These results indicated that BBR possibly increased
the intestinal lipid excretion by inhibiting the protein levels of SCD1 in lipogenesis and CD36 in fatty acid uptake with no influences on the key enzymes of fatty acid oxidation.

To analyze the effects of BBR on gut bacteria, chao1 index and shannon index were used to represent community richness and community diversity, respectively. The data revealed that BBR decreased the richness and diversity of gut microbiome significantly (Figure 7I and J). Among 15 bacteria associated with short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) production, BBR increased the abundance of 4 bacteria (*Akkermansia, Bacteroides, Enterococcus* and *Ruminococcus*) and decreased the abundance of 6 bacteria (*Allobaculum, Anaerotruncus, Bifidobacterium, Christensenellaceae, Coprococcus* and *Sutterella*) (Figure 7K). In contrast, little effect of ROT on gut microbiome was observed.
Figure 7. BBR promoted lipid excretion by inhibiting intestinal complex I. (A-C) Daily fecal amount (A), lipid excretion (B) and fecal energy (C) of each group in seven consecutive days ($n = 3$). (D-F) The
gut mitochondria were extracted, and complex I dependent OCR (D), complex II dependent OCR (E) and complex IV dependent OCR (F) were measured \((n = 3)\). (G) The activity of cytochrome c reductase (complex III) of intestine tissues of NFD, HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT fed mice. (H) The protein levels of representative key-enzymes in lipid pathways of intestinal tissues, such as SCD1 and FADS1 for lipogenesis, FABP1 and CD36 for fatty acid uptake, as well as CPT1A and ACADL for fatty acid oxidation. Relative signal strength was quantified for each band \((n = 3)\). (I-K) Chao1 index (I), Shannon index of microbiota (J) and heat map (K) of sequencing 16S V5-V6 variable region of microbiota in each group \((n = 9-10)\). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. \(*P < 0.05.\)

To clarify the relationship between gut microbiome and the regulatory effects of BBR on metabolism, the feces of HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT groups were transplanted into C57 mice fed with HFD, respectively. No significant differences were found in body weight, food intake, blood glucose and lipids among the mice after different FMT (Figure. 8A, B and Table S2), indicating that FMT of BBR and ROT did not improve the metabolism of obese mice. Furthermore, intestinal OCR and the activity of cytochrome c reductase were similar in all FMT groups (Figure. 8C-F), which suggested that the inhibition of mitochondrial complex I. was necessary for the amelioration of lipid metabolism disorders by BBR.
Figure 8. BBR exerted lipid-lowering effects regardless of the changes in gut microbiome. (A and B) Body weight (A) and food intake (B) of the recipients after FMT of HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT \((n = 3)\). (C-E) Complex I dependent OCR (C), complex II dependent OCR (D) and complex IV dependent OCR (E) of gut mitochondria in the recipients after the FMT \((n = 3)\). (F) The activity of cytochrome c reductase (complex III) of intestinal tissues of the recipients after FMT of HFD, HFD+BBR and HFD+ROT \((n = 3)\). Data were expressed as means ± SEM. *\(P < 0.05\).

Discussion

This study showed that BBR was able to inhibit mitochondrial complex I-dependent OXPHOS function \textit{in vivo}. Nevertheless, whether oral administration of BBR could inhibit liver mitochondrial complex I remained questionable due to its low efficiency of gastrointestinal absorption. This study provided evidence that oral BBR was able to totally reverse the hyperfunction of liver complex I induced by HFD. In the primary hepatocytes, both BBR and ROT downregulated the increased ATP content stimulated by OA to normal levels. Above all, both \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro}, BBR completely normalized the energy balance under excessive nutrition.

In addition to mitochondrial function, we found that BBR reduced mtDNA amount and the activity of CS \textit{in vivo}, a rate-limiting enzyme of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, and promoted mitochondrial fusion. Furthermore, BBR ameliorated the mitochondrial swelling induced by HFD. Mitochondrion is a dynamically changing organelle that undergoes fusion and fission under external pressure and metabolism changes, integrating mtDNA to accommodate varying energy requirements [38, 39]. Generally, stimuli such as starvation and increased glycolysis could promote mitochondrial fusion to synthesize ATP more efficiently [40, 41]. ROT was reported to reduce mtDNA copies of PC12 cells in a dose-dependent manner [42] whereas a decrease of mtDNA copies was also observed in BBR treated K1735-M2 mouse melanoma cells [43]. Furthermore, Arnold et al. revealed that high concentration ROT increased the mitochondrial fusion of rat primary cortical neuronal cells [44]. Mitochondria were known to play a decisive role in apoptosis while mitochondrial fusion can increase tolerance to stress and
avoid apoptosis or necrosis [45]. Notably, effects of BBR on cell death vary depending on cell types and conditions. BBR was reported to promote apoptosis in tumor cells such as non-small-cell lung cancer cells, ovarian cancer cells and human malignant pleural mesothelioma NCI-H2452 cells [46-48]. In contrast, BBR significantly reduced the lipoapoptosis on β-cell induced by palmitate and autophagy on H9c2 myocytes caused by hypoxia [49-51]. LPS-induced cell death in U251 cells and t-BHP-induced apoptosis in PC12 cells were both attenuated by BBR in a dose-dependent manner [52, 53]. That suggested BBR could suppress cytotoxicity induced by different harmful factors. Our study revealed that BBR stimulated mitochondrial fusion, which may contribute to the cytoprotective action of BBR.

This study found that BBR inhibited most key steps of hepatic lipid metabolism, including fatty acid uptake, β-oxidation and lipid synthesis. In addition, BBR could also suppress the key enzymes of intestinal fatty acid uptake and lipid synthesis, which were induced by HFD administration. The finding was contradictory to some previous reports, in which BBR promoted fatty acid oxidation in HFD and db/db mice [24, 25]. Different routes of administration and detection methods may be the possible reasons for the discrepancy. Those reports suggested that BBR enhanced fatty acid oxidation in the liver of obese animals which were administered intraperitoneally by the detection of gene expression at mRNA level. However, mRNA levels cannot represent the protein levels, which are mainly resulted from a post-transcriptional regulation [54]. In our study, instead of intraperitoneal administration, BBR was mixed into the diet, which was much closer to clinical practice and the β-oxidation dependent OCR was directly determined in isolated liver mitochondria. The results demonstrated that BBR reduced fatty acid oxidation in vivo. Our previous study showed that ROT reduced the ratio of NAD⁺/NADH via complex I inhibition [22]. NADH is a product of not only glucose metabolism, but also fatty acid oxidation. Thus, a decrease in the ratio of NAD⁺/NADH might result in the slowdown of fatty acid oxidation and glucose oxidation. In addition, since ATP was indispensable to synthesize lipid, inhibition of ATP production by BBR markedly reduced lipid synthesis. Reduced fatty acid oxidation and triglyceride synthesis further limited fatty acid uptake. Consequently, the functional inhibition of complex I blocked the whole lipid metabolism. In contrast to current understanding, this study suggested the
suppression of whole lipid metabolism pathways was able to alleviate hepatic steatosis and dyslipidemia.

No research has discussed the association between BBR and intestinal complex I inhibition in lipid metabolism. In our study, the increased fecal lipids of BBR and ROT groups implied less lipid absorption in the intestine, which may be due to a notable decrease in OCR of the intestinal mitochondrial complex I. The above results provide evidence that the intestine is another crucial target organ for lipid metabolism in addition to liver.

No cause-effect relationship was found between the change of gut microbiome and the improvement of lipid metabolism by BBR treatment, which was another important finding of this study. The lipid-lowering effects of BBR and ROT were very similar whereas the effects on gut microbiome were quite different. ROT had little influence on the diversity of gut microbiome, and showed the opposite trend to some bacteria closely related to metabolism compared with BBR. Most of the bacteria in intestinal tract were obligate anaerobic, lacking complete respiratory enzymes, which were the pharmaceutic target of ROT. That may explain why ROT showed little effect on gut microbiome. Thus, complex I inhibition, the common mechanism of BBR and ROT, rather than alteration of gut microbiome, was the real reason of the agents' lipid-lowering effects. Our FMT results further confirmed the hypothesis. FMT of BBR and ROT did not transfer the metabolic improvement to the recipients because FMT could not alter the recipients' mitochondrial function. Our results demonstrated that the action of BBR was not resulted from the alteration of gut microbiome.

When it comes to the safety of complex I inhibition, BBR is an over-the-counter drug for the treatment of bacterial diarrhea in China. In USA and other countries, BBR is usually sold as a dietary supplement. In addition, several clinical trials including ours proved that the most common adverse events of BBR were gastrointestinal discomfort [7, 55, 56] and its severe adverse effects have never been reported. ROT has not been used in human studies whereas our data showed that it did not exert a harmful effect on the mice as evidenced by normal food intake and hepatorenal function, which was consistent with BBR, indicating the moderate complex I inhibition might be in good safety for clinical application.
Conclusion

To sum up, these findings indicated that BBR and ROT inhibited the mitochondrial electron transport chain complex I of gut and liver, and led to the suppression of lipid metabolism including intestinal fatty acid uptake and lipogenesis, as well as hepatic fatty acid uptake, β-oxidation and lipid synthesis. Consequently, obesity, insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis were reversed in the dietary obese mice (Fig. 9). Considering the similar efficacy of BBR and ROT on metabolism, we suggest mitochondrial complex I as a promising drug target for obesity.

Figure 9. Schematic diagram depicting the role of mitochondrial complex I inhibition in the lipid-lowering effects of BBR. BBR downregulates the hyperfunction of mitochondrial complex I induced by energy excess. Its inhibitory effect on liver leads to the decrease of lipogenesis, fatty acid uptake and oxidation, while the increased lipid excretion, reduced lipogenesis and fatty acid uptake result from suppressed complex I activity in gut. These alterations by BBR ameliorate obesity, fatty liver and insulin resistance.
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