

Research Paper

International Journal of Biological Sciences 2013; 9(3):237-245. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.5549

Garlic Oil Attenuated Nitrosodiethylamine-Induced Hepatocarcinogenesis by Modulating the Metabolic Activation and Detoxification Enzymes

Cui-Li Zhang, Tao Zeng, Xiu-Lan Zhao, Ke-Qin Xie[⊠]

Institute of Toxicology, School of Public Health, Shandong University, 44 Wenhua West Road, Shandong, Jinan 250012, P.R. China.

🖂 Corresponding author: Tel: +86-531-8838-2132; Fax: +86-531-8838-2129; E-mail: keqinx@sdu.edu.cn.

© Ivyspring International Publisher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). Reproduction is permitted for personal, noncommercial use, provided that the article is in whole, unmodified, and properly cited.

Received: 2012.11.15; Accepted: 2013.02.06; Published: 2013.02.20

Abstract

Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) is a potent carcinogen widely existing in the environment. Our previous study has demonstrated that garlic oil (GO) could prevent NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in rats, but the underlying mechanisms are not fully understood. It has been well documented that the metabolic activation may play important roles in NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. Therefore, we designed the current study to explore the potential mechanisms by investigating the changes of hepatic phase I enzymes (including cytochrome P450 enzyme (CYP) 2EI, CYPIA2 and CYPIAI) and phase II enzymes (including glutathione S transferases (GSTs) and UDP- Glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs)) by using enzymatic methods, real-time PCR, and western blotting analysis. We found that NDEA treatment resulted in significant decreases of the activities of CYP2EI, CYPIA2, GST alpha, GST mu, UGTs and increases of the activities of CYPIAI and GST pi. Furthermore, the mRNA and protein levels of CYP2EI, CYP1A2, GST alpha, GST mu and UGT1A6 in the liver of NDEA-treated rats were significantly decreased compared with those of the control group rats, while the mRNA and protein levels of CYPIAI and GST pi were dramatically increased. Interestingly, all these adverse effects induced by NDEA were simultaneously and significantly suppressed by GO co-treatment. These data suggest that the protective effects of GO against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis might be, at least partially, attributed to the modulation of phase I and phase II enzymes.

Key words: Garlic oil; Nitrosodiethylamine; Cytochrome P450 enzyme; Glutathione S transferase; UDP-glucuronosyltransferase.

Introduction

N-nitroso compounds are well-known hepatic carcinogens [1-2]. These compounds are considered to be critical environmental factors influencing cancer risk in humans, as they widely exist in the environment, in certain occupational settings, in food stuffs such as meat products and milk, as well as an endogenous formation in the human body from dietary components [3]. A variety of studies have demonstrated that N-nitroso compounds could cause a wide range of tumors in many animal species [4-6]. Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) is one of the most potent chemical hepatocarcinogens of this class, which can induce a variety of liver lesions in rodents. NDEA exposure occurs through diet, as it is detectable in edible vegetable oil, alcoholic drinks, steamed and fried fish [7].

It has been well recognized that NDEA undergoes redox reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) to produce reactive alkylating agents, leading to the alkylation of DNA, which may ultimately result in oncogenesis [8-9]. NDEA is primarily metabolized to a-hydroxy derivative (ethyl-acet-oxyethyl-nitrosamine) by CYPs, especially CYP2E1. The intermediate could either be conjugated by phase I enzymes to produce an ethyl-diazonium ion, which can react with nucleophilic sites of DNA to generate adducts [10]. The formation of DNA adducts is recognized as the initial step in NDEA-induced carcinogenesis. Accordingly, blocking the formation of DNA adducts would be the first line of defense against NDEA-induced carcinogenesis. Furthermore, some phase II enzymes, such as glutathione S trans-UDP-glucuronosyltransferases ferases (GSTs), (UGTs), NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase-1 (NQO1), could inactivate chemical carcinogens to less toxic or inactive metabolites through reduction of DNA adducts formation [11]. Therefore, compounds with phase II enzymes inducing effects could theoretically block NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis.

Garlic has been used as a folk medicine as well as a flavor enhancer since ancient time in many countries [12]. The anti-cancer effects of garlic have been proposed since ancient times [13-14]. In view of the epidemiological studies conducted in the last 30 years, there is convincing evidence that consumption of garlic can reduce the risk of colorectal, stomach, lung and esophageal cancers [15]. Garlic oil (GO), usually prepared by steam distillation, is one of the commercial garlic products, and has been demonstrated to prevent many chemicals-induced liver injury [16-18]. Chemical analysis reveals that GO contains more than 30 organosulfur compounds (OSCs) [19], which are believed to be the major anti-cancer active constituents of garlic [20-23]. In our previous study, we have demonstrated that GO could effectively inhibit NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in male Wistar rats [24]. In that study, we found that GO counteracted NDEA-induced oxidative stress in rat liver evidenced by the decrease of the malondialdehyde (MDA, a wildly used oxidative stress biomarker) level and the increase of the antioxidant glutathione (GSH) level. Furthermore, GO also promoted the apoptosis of tumor cells by decreasing the mRNA and protein levels of Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and β -arrestin-2 and increasing the mRNA and protein levels of Bax and caspase-3 [24].

Considering the important roles of metabolic activation in the pathogenesis of NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis, it would be interesting to further investigate whether the protective effects of GO were associated with the modulations of phase I and phase II enzymes. Therefore, in the current study, the activities, mRNA and protein levels of phase I enzymes (including CYP2E1, CYP1A2 and CYP1A1) and phase II enzymes (including GSTs and UGTs) were investigated in order to better understand the underlying mechanisms for the protective effects of GO against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Materials

GO (drug grade) was purchased from Xuchang Yuanhua Biotechnology, Inc. (Xuchang, CN). Primary antibodies against CYP2E1, CYP1A2, CYP1A1, GST-alpha, GST-mu, GST-pi, UGT1A6 and β -actin were supplied by *Abcam* (Cambridge, UK). Western blotting detection reagents (ECL kits) were provided by Millipore Corp. (Bedford, MA, USA). BCATM protein assay kits were purchased from Pierce Biotechnology, Inc. (Rockford, IL, USA). All other chemicals and reagents used were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless indicated otherwise.

Animals and treatments

60 Male Wistar rats, weighing 120-140g, were provided by Laboratory Animal Center of Shandong University. Rats were housed individually with a standard laboratory diet and distilled water ad libitum. The animal room was maintained at 23±1 °C and 50% relative humidity with a 12 h (7:00-19:00) light/dark cycle. After 1 week of basal diet for acclimation, the animals were randomly divided into 4 groups, i.e. control group, NDEA group, and two GO co-treatment groups.

The rats in GO co-treatment groups were treated with GO (20 or 40 mg/kg.bw) by gavage for 21 weeks (5 times/week), while other animals received equal volume of corn oil. From the second week, the rats in NDEA and GO co-treatment groups were orally received NDEA (10 mg/kg.bw, 5 times/week), while the animals in control group were administered equal volume of saline. At the end of week 21, all animals were sacrificed by decapitation. The liver tissue was excised and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen before storing at -80°C. All of the experiments were conducted according to the guidelines of the Ethical Committee of School of Public Health, Shandong University, China.

Preparation of the liver microsomal and cytosolic fractions

The liver microsomal and cytosolic fractions were prepared as we previously described [25]. Briefly, the liver tissue was homogenized in 4 volumes of ice-cold TMS buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 6.4 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 M saccharose, pH 7.5). The homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min, and the su-

pernatants were further centrifuged at 105,000 g for 60 min. The obtained supernatant was considered as the cytosolic fraction. The microsomal pellet was re-suspended in the above buffer. The protein levels were quantified using BCATM protein assay kits. All the procedures were conducted at 4 °C.

Phase I and phase II enzymes activities assay

The activity of CYP2E1 in liver microsomes was measured with aniline as the substrate [25]. The activities of CYP1A2 and CYP1A1 in liver microsomes were determined by measurement of the dealkylation of methoxyresorufin and ethoxyresorufin using Hispectrophotometer tachi fluorescence (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan) [26]. The activities of cytosolic GST alpha, GST mu and GST pi were determined using the substrates of cumene hydroperoxide (CuOOH), 2, 4-dichloro-1-nitrobenzene (DCNB) and ethacrynic acid, respectively [27]. The activities of cytosolic UGTs were determined with p-nitrophenol (PNP) as the substrate [28].

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from the rat liver using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA pellet was dissolved in DEPC water. The concentration and integrity of total RNA was measured using NANO DROP 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo, USA) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Germany). Complementary DNA was synthesized using the RevertAidTM First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, UK) according to the manufacture's protocol.

Real-time PCR analysis

The levels of gene expression in rat liver were quantified by real-time PCR. The primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) (**Table 1**). All PCR reactions were performed using Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas), and were carried out under the following conditions using Mastercycler ep realplex 4 (Eppendorf, Westbury, NY, USA): initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C and 30 s at 72°C. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Differences in gene expression between groups were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct (cycle time, Ct) method [29], which were normalized against glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and expressed as relative mRNA levels compared with controls.

Western blotting analysis

The liver tissue was homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF] and 1% cocktail protease inhibitors (Sigma)). The homogenate was centrifuged at 14, 000 g for 15 min to obtain the whole protein extract [30]. The protein samples (20-100 μ g) were separated on 10% or 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA), immunoblotted with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by the incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. The signals were detected by ECL detection reagents. The relative optical densities of the bands were quantified using Kodak Imaging Program and Image-Pro Plus software.

Statistical analysis

SPSS13.0 was used for the statistical analysis. All data were expressed as mean \pm SD, and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, followed with LSD for the multiple comparisons. *P* < 0.05 was considered significant.

Gene symbol	Forward primer	Reverse primer
CYP2E1	CCT TTC CCT CTT CCC ATC C	AAC CTC CGC ACA TCC TTC C
CYP1A1	GGG AGG TTA CTG GTT CTG G	ATG AGG CTG TCT GTG ATG TC
CYP1A2	CAT CTT TGG AGC TGG ATT TG	CCA TTC AGT GAG GTG TCC
GST-alpha1/2	CCA CCT GCT GGA ACT TCT CCT CTA T	AGG CTG CTG ATT CTG CTC TTG AAG G
GST-mu	GGC GAC GCT CCC GAC TAT GAC AGA A	AAT CCG CTC CTC CTC TGT CTC TCC A
GST-pi	TTG AGG CAC CTG GGT CGC TCT TTA G	GGT TCT GGG ACA GCA GGG TCT CAA A
UGT1A1	GCCATGCAGCCTGGATTT	CTCTTGGGCACGTAGGACAAC
UGT1A6	CCGCTATCGCTCCTTTGG	CTGTACTCTCTTAGAGGAGCCATCAG
GAPDH	GAT GGT GAA GGT CGG TGT G	ATG AAG GGG TCG TTG ATG G

Table 1. Sequences of primers used for the real-time PCR analysis.

Results

Effects of GO and NDEA on the activities, mRNA and protein levels of phase I enzymes

The changes of the activities, mRNA and protein levels of the CYP2E1, CYP1A2 and CYP1A1 were presented in Table 2 and Figure 1.

As shown in Table 2, NDEA treatment resulted in the dramatic reduction of the CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 activities, and the significant increase of the CYP1A1 activity. Compared with those of the control group, the activities of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 in rats of NDEA group were decreased by 72% and 89%, respectively, while the activity of CYP1A1 was increased by 66% (P<0.01) (Table 2). The changes of mRNA and protein levels of the above three phase I enzymes were paralleled with those of the activities (Figure 1). Interestingly, all these adverse effects induced by NDEA were significantly suppressed by GO co-treatment. The protein level of CYP1A1 in rats of NDEA group was about 50 fold of the control value, which was only about 30 and 9.5 fold of the control value in rats treated with 20 and 40 mg/kg.bw GO, respectively. GO co-treatment also significantly inhibited NDEA-induced decreases of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 protein levels. Similarly, GO co-treatment also significantly inhibited the increases of the activities and mRNA levels of CYP1A1, as well as the decreases of the activities and mRNA levels of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2.

Groups	CYP2E1 (nmol/min/mg proteins)	CYP1A1 (µmol/min/mg proteins)	CYP1A2 (µmol/min/mg proteins)
Control	57.79±17.48	0.59±0.09	2.51±0.68
NDEA	16.03±5.32**	0.98±0.19**	0.27±0.08**
NDEA+GO (low)	32.49±8.77*##	0.72±0.22*#	1.10±0.28*##
NDEA+GO (high)	56.19±12.74##	0.67±0.14##	1.34±0.26##

Compared with control group, *P<0.05, **P<0.01; compared with NDEA group, #P<0.05, ##P<0.01.



Figure 1. Effects of GO and NDEA on the mRNA and protein levels of hepatic CYP2E1, CYP1A1 and CYP1A2. (A) The mRNA levels were quantified by real-time PCR analysis with GAPDH as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. (B) The protein levels were quantified by western blotting method with β -actin as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, compared with control group; #*P*<0.05, ##*P*<0.01, compared with NDEA group.

Effects of GO and NDEA on the activities, mRNA and protein levels of different GSTs in rat liver

GSTs constitute a superfamily of ubiquitous, multifunctional enzymes which play a key role in cellular detoxification, protecting macromolecules from attack by reactive electrophiles, including environmental carcinogens, reactive oxygen species and chemotherapeutic agents. The important anticarcinogenic roles of GSTs have been well documented [11, 31]. Among the seven identified classes of GSTs, GST alpha, GST mu and GST-pi are the three mostly investigated isoforms. Therefore, the activities, mRNA and protein levels of these three GST isoforms were investigated.

The activities of different isoforms of GSTs in different groups were presented in **Table 3**. As shown in **Table 3**, the activities of GST alpha and GST mu in the rat liver of NDEA group were slightly but significantly decreased compared with those of the control group rats, while the activity of GST pi was obviously increased (about 3.08 fold) (P<0.01). However, GO co-treatment significantly inhibited the increase of GST pi activity, and the decreases of GST alpha and GST mu activities (P<0.01).

The changes of the mRNA and protein levels of GSTs were similar to those of the activities (**Figure 2**).

Compared with those of control group rats, the mRNA levels of GST alpha and GST mu in the liver of NDEA group rats were decreased by 37% and 24%, respectively, while the mRNA level of GST pi was increased by 170% (P<0.01). NDEA treatment also reduced the protein levels of GST alpha and GST mu in the liver of rats. The GST pi protein could not be detected in control group rat liver, but was abundantly expressed in the liver of NDEA group rats. However, all these adverse effects induced by NDEA were simultaneously attenuated and even abrogated in GO co-treated rats liver.

Table 3. Effects of GO and NDEA on hepatic GSTs activities.

Groups	GST alpha (nmol NADPH/min/mg protein)	GST mu (pmol/min/ mg protein)	GST pi (nmol/min/mg protein)
Control	21.11±2.18	21.43±4.49	3.44±0.68
NDEA	13.36±2.23**	15.84±1.34*	10.61±2.47**
NDEA+GO (low)	19.78±3.28##	27.86±5.98##	6.12±1.13*##
NDEA+GO (high)	21.09±4.29##	25.75±2.83##	5.31±1.44##

Compared with control group, **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01; compared with NDEA group, **P*<0.05, ##*P*<0.01.



Figure 2. Effects of GO and NDEA on the mRNA and protein levels of GST alpha, GST mu and GST pi. (A) The mRNA levels were quantified by real-time PCR analysis with GAPDH as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. (B) The protein levels were quantified by western blotting method with β -actin as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, compared with control group; **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, compared with NDEA group.



Figure 3. Effects of GO and NDEA on the activity, the mRNA and protein level of UGTs in liver. (A) The activity of hepatic UGTs. (B) The mRNA level was quantified by real-time PCR analysis with GAPDH as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. (C) The protein levels were quantified by western blotting method with β -actin as an internal control, and expressed as % of control. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, compared with control group; #*P*<0.05, ##*P*<0.01, compared with NDEA group.

Effects of GO and NDEA on the activities, mRNA and protein levels of UGTs in rat liver

UGTs are the other major detoxifying enzymes for many carcinogens. The effects of GO and NDEA on the activity, mRNA and protein levels of UGTs are shown in Figure 3. NDEA treatment resulted in significant decrease of the UGTs activity, which was significantly inhibited by GO co-treatment. As UGT1A1 and UGT1A6 are the major members of the rat UGTs, the mRNA levels of UGT1A1 and UGT1A6 were detected by real-time PCR. Compared with the control group, the mRNA level of UGT1A6 was decreased by 35% (P<0.01), while no significant alteration of the mRNA level of UGT1A1 was observed. Therefore, we only examined the protein level of UGT1A6, and found that GO also suppressed NDEA-induced the decrease of the protein level of UGT1A6.

Discussion

NDEA is a potent carcinogenic compound widely existing in the environment. Our previous study has demonstrated that GO could effectively prevent NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis via modulation of the antioxidant capacity and promotion of the apoptosis [24]. In the current study, we examined the changes of the activities, mRNA and protein levels of phase I enzymes (including CYP2E1, CYP 1A2, and CYP 1A1) and phase II enzymes (including GSTs and UGTs) for a better understanding of the protective effects of GO against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogeneis. We found that GO significantly suppressed NDEA-induced the decreases of the protein levels of CYP2E1, CYP1A2, GST alpha, GST mu, UGT1A6, as well as the increases of the protein levels of CYP1A1 and GST pi. The changes of the activities and mRNA levels of the above enzymes were paralleled well with those of the protein levels. These data suggested that the modulation of hepatic phase I and II enzymes by GO might also contribute to its protection against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis.

It has been well documented that NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis requires metabolic activation by some forms of CYP450s, especially CYP2E1. The critical role of CYP2E1 in the pathogenesis of NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis has been demonstrated by using Cyp2e1-null mice [32]. In addition to CYP2E1, CYP1A2 was also reported to be participated in the metabolic activation of NDEA [33]. Thus, it is plausible that agents with CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 inhibitory characteristics might possess ability to suppress NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis by reducing its bioactivation. Our previous study showed that GO could inhibit the activities and protein levels of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 in normal mice liver [25], therefore, it could be speculated that GO may also modulate the metabolic activation of NDEA [34-35]. In the current study, NDEA treatment was found to dramatically reduce the activities, mRNA and protein levels of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2. In a recently published in vitro study, the CYP2E1 mRNA levels were decreased when rat hepatocytes were treated with non-cytotoxic concentrations of NDEA $(0.21-21 \ \mu g/ml)$, while decreased mRNA levels of CYP2E1 was observed when hepatocytes were treated with higher dose of NDEA (100 μ g/ml) [36]. Therefore, it may be speculated that low dose of NDEA might induce the expression of CYP2E1, while high dose of NDEA could inhibit expression of CYP2E1. Interestingly, GO co-treatment significantly suppressed the decreases of the activities, mRNA and protein levels of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 induced by NDEA treatment. CYP1A1 is a key participant in the bioactivation of numerous procarcinogenic substances such as carcinogenic polycyclic hydrocarbons and aromatic amines. Although CYP1A1 is primarily expressed in the liver, the hepatic level of CYP1A1 is very low in normal liver [37]. However, the hepatic expression of CYP1A1 could be induced by NDEA treatment [35, 38]. Inconsistent with these previous studies, the hepatic CYP1A1 activity, mRNA and protein levels in NDEA-treated rats were sharply increased compared with the control group. However, GO co-treatment significantly suppressed the NDEA-induced the activation of CYP1A1. These data indicated that GO could modulate phase I enzymes involved in NDEA metabolic activation.

In addition to phase I enzymes, phase II enzymes could conjugate the toxic metabolites to nontoxic endogenous molecules, and thus also play important roles in cancer-protection by reducing the DNA adducts formation[11, 39]. Among these phase II enzymes, the important anticancer roles of the GSTs and UGTs superfamily have been well documented [40-41]. GSTs can catalyze the conjugation of toxic and carcinogenic electrophilic molecules with glutathione and thereby protect cellular macromolecules from damage. In recent years, it is generally considered that an important mechanism of cancer prevention is the induction of GSTs by dietary naturally-occurring anticarcinogens [42-43]. At present, seven distinct classes of cytosolic GSTs have been identified (alpha, mu, pi, sigma, theta, delta, and zeta) [44]. Among these GSTs, GST alpha, GST mu and GST-pi are the three mostly investigated isoforms. In the current study, we found that NDEA treatment significantly reduced the mRNA and protein levels of GST alpha and GST mu, which were significantly blocked by GO co-treatment. In contrast, the mRNA and protein levels of GST pi were dramatically increased in NDEA-treated rats, which have also been observed in other studies [31]. However, GO co-treatment also significantly inhibited the increase of GST pi protein levels. These data suggested that the induction of GST alpha and GST mu and the inhibition of GST pi by GO might be also involved in the protective effects of GO against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis.

The UGTs belong to a superfamily of membrane bound proteins, localized to the endoplasmic reticulum, which are responsible for glucuronidation of many endobiotics and xenobiotics. Glucuronidation reaction could render the hydrophobic substrates into more polar 'glucuronides', leading them easier for elimination via biliary or renal route [40]. Because UGTs facilitate the excretion of potentially carcinogenic compounds, activation of UGTs may reduce cancer risk [45]. UGTs consist of two multigene superfamilies, designated UGT1 and UGT2. As UGT1A1 and UGT1A6 are the major members of the rat UGTs, we firstly examined the activity of UGTs, and then the mRNA levels of UGT1A1 and UGT1A6. The results showed that the activity of UGTs and the mRNA level of UGT1A6 in rats of NDEA group were significantly decreased compared with those of control group. GO co-treatment significantly suppressed NDEA-induced decreases of UGTs activity and UGT1A6 mRNA and protein levels in rat liver. However, the mRNA level of UGT1A1 did not differ significantly among the four groups (P>0.05). These data suggested that the protection of GO against NDEA might be also related to the induction of UGT1A6.

Under normal circumstances, the protein level was related to the protein synthesis and degradation. The present study showed that the mRNA level of CYP2E1 in rats of NDEA group was reduced by 29% compared with those of the control group, while the protein level of CYP2E1 was decreased by 97%. Similar phenomenon was also observed for the CYP1A2. These data indicated that the declines of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 protein levels can not be completely attributed to the declines of the mRNA levels, and the post-translational degradation may play an important role in the decreases of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2 protein levels in NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. Previous studies have demonstrated that CYP1A2 and CYP2E1 could be degraded by the ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation system (UPS) [46-47]. Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), a typical hepatic toxicant, could induce the decrease of the protein level of CYP2E1 [48], which might be related to the induction of the post-translational degradation [49]. We speculated that NDEA treatment might also result in the activation of UPS, and accelerate the post-translational degradation of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2. GO co-treatment might suppress the activation of UPS, and thus inhibit the degradation of CYP2E1 and CYP1A2. The exact molecular mechanisms need to be further studied.

In summary, this study demonstrated that GO modulated phase I enzymes (including CYP2E1, CYP1A2 and CYP1A1) and phase II enzymes (including GSTs and UGTs) involved in NDEA metabolism, which might partially contribute to the protective effects of GO against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in rats.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Scientific and technological cooperation project of Shandong Province [2008GG2NS02012], Independent innovation fund of Shandong University [2012TS090] and Innovation fund for young scholar of Public Health School.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

References

- Tricker AR, Pfundstein B, Theobald E, et al. Mean daily intake of volatile N-nitrosamines from foods and beverages in West Germany in 1989-1990. Food Chem Toxicol, 1991. 29(11):729-32.
- Ferguson LR. Natural and man-made mutagens and carcinogens in the human diet. Mutat Res, 1999. 443(1-2):1-10.
- Bartsch H and Montesano R. Relevance of nitrosamines to human cancer. Carcinogenesis, 1984. 5(11):1381-93.
- Krajka-Kuzniak V, Szaefer H, Ignatowicz E, et al. Effect of Chokeberry (Aronia melanocarpa) juice on the metabolic activation and detoxication of carcinogenic N-nitrosodiethylamine in rat liver. J Agric Food Chem, 2009. 57(11):5071-7.
- Rajkapoor B, Jayakar B, Murugesh N, et al. Chemoprevention and cytotoxic effect of Bauhinia variegata against N-nitrosodiethylamine induced liver tumors and human cancer cell lines. J Ethnopharmacol, 2006. 104(3):407-9.
- Pinto LF, Moraes E, Albano RM, et al. Rat oesophageal cytochrome P450 (CYP) monooxygenase system: comparison to the liver and relevance in N-nitrosodiethylamine carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis, 2001. 22(11):1877-83.
- Fine DH, Ross R, Rounbehler DP, et al. Formation in vivo of volatile N-nitrosamines in man after ingestion of cooked bacon and spinach. Nature, 1977. 265(5596):753-5.
- Archer MC. Mechanisms of action of N-nitroso compounds. Cancer Surv, 1989. 8(2):241-50.
- 9. Nakae D, Kobayashi Y, Akai H, et al. Involvement of 8-hydroxyguanine formation in the initiation of rat liver carcinogenesis by low dose levels of N-nitrosodiethylamine. Cancer Res, 1997. 57(7):1281-7.
- Dragan YP, Hully JR, Nakamura J, et al. Biochemical events during initiation of rat hepatocarcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis, 1994. 15(7):1451-8.
- 11. Jana S and Mandlekar S. Role of phase II drug metabolizing enzymes in cancer chemoprevention. Curr Drug Metab, 2009. 10(6):595-616.
- Zeng T and Xie KQ. The Differential Modulation on Cytochrome P450 Enzymes by Garlic Components. Food Reviews International, 2010. 26(4):353-363.
- 13. Block E. The chemistry of garlic and onions. Sci Am, 1985. 252(3):114-9.

- Hartwell JL. Plants used against cancer. A survey. Lloydia, 1971. 34(4):386-425.
- Thomson M and Ali M. Garlic [Allium sativum]: a review of its potential use as an anti-cancer agent. Curr Cancer Drug Targets, 2003. 3(1):67-81.
- Zeng T, Zhang CL, Song FY, et al. Garlic oil alleviated ethanol-induced fat accumulation via modulation of SREBP-1, PPAR-alpha, and CYP2E1. Food Chem Toxicol, 2012. 50(3-4):485-91.
- Hassan HA, El-Agmy SM, Gaur RL, et al. In vivo evidence of hepatoand reno-protective effect of garlic oil against sodium nitrite-induced oxidative stress. Int J Biol Sci, 2009. 5(3):249-55.
- Liu HG and Xu LH. Garlic oil prevents tributyltin-induced oxidative damage in vivo and in vitro. J Food Prot, 2007. 70(3):716-21.
- Wu CC, Sheen LY, Chen HW, et al. Effects of organosulfur compounds from garlic oil on the antioxidation system in rat liver and red blood cells. Food Chem Toxicol, 2001. 39(6):563-9.
- Amagase H. Clarifying the real bioactive constituents of garlic. J Nutr, 2006. 136(3 Suppl):716S-725S.
- Nagini S. Cancer chemoprevention by garlic and its organosulfur compounds-panacea or promise? Anticancer Agents Med Chem, 2008. 8(3):313-21.
- Iciek M, Kwiecien I, and Wlodek L. Biological properties of garlic and garlic-derived organosulfur compounds. Environ Mol Mutagen, 2009. 50(3):247-65.
- Jones MG, Hughes J, Tregova A, et al. Biosynthesis of the flavour precursors of onion and garlic. J Exp Bot, 2004. 55(404):1903-18.
- Zhang CL, Zeng T, Zhao XL, et al. Protective effects of garlic oil on hepatocarcinoma induced by N-nitrosodiethylamine in rats. Int J Biol Sci, 2012. 8(3):363-74.
- Zeng T, Zhang CL, Song FY, et al. The modulatory effects of garlic oil on hepatic cytochrome P450s in mice. Hum Exp Toxicol, 2009. 28(12):777-83.
- Wardlaw SA, Nikula KJ, Kracko DA, et al. Effect of cigarette smoke on CYP1A1, CYP1A2 and CYP2B1/2 of nasal mucosae in F344 rats. Carcinogenesis, 1998. 19(4):655-62.
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, and Jakoby WB. Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem, 1974. 249(22):7130-9.
- Frei J. Multiplicity and specificity of UDP-glucuronyltransferase. I. Effect of divalent cations and EDTA on the activity of UDP-glucuronyltransferase assayed with bilirubin, 4-methylumbelliferone and p-nitrophenol. Enzymol Biol Clin (Basel), 1970. 11(5):385-401.
- Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods, 2001. 25(4):402-8.
- Zeng T, Zhang CL, Song FY, et al. PI3K/Akt pathway activation was involved in acute ethanol-induced fatty liver in mice. Toxicology, 2012. 296(1-3):56-66.
- Sheweita SA and Tilmisany AK. Cancer and phase II drug-metabolizing enzymes. Curr Drug Metab, 2003. 4(1):45-58.
- Kang JS, Wanibuchi H, Morimura K, et al. Role of CYP2E1 in diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in vivo. Cancer Res, 2007. 67(23):11141-6.
- Yamazaki H, Oda Y, Funae Y, et al. Participation of rat liver cytochrome P450 2E1 in the activation of N-nitrosodimethylamine and N-nitrosodiethylamine to products genotoxic in an acetyltransferase-overexpressing Salmonella typhimurium strain (NM2009). Carcinogenesis, 1992. 13(6):979-85.
- Liu YF, Zha BS, Zhang HL, et al. Characteristic gene expression profiles in the progression from liver cirrhosis to carcinoma induced by diethylnitrosamine in a rat model. J Exp Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 28:107.
- Degawa M, Miura S, Yoshinari K, et al. Altered expression of hepatic CYP1A enzymes in rat hepatocarcinogenesis. Jpn J Cancer Res, 1995. 86(6):535-9.
- Aiub CA, Gadermaier G, Silva IO, et al. N-nitrosodiethylamine genotoxicity evaluation: a cytochrome P450 induction study in rat hepatocytes. Genet Mol Res, 2011. 10(4):2340-8.
- Ioannides C and Lewis DF. Cytochromes P450 in the bioactivation of chemicals. Curr Top Med Chem, 2004. 4(16):1767-88.
- Liu LL, Gong LK, Qi XM, et al. Altered expression of cytochrome P450 and possible correlation with preneoplastic changes in early stage of rat hepatocarcinogenesis. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 2005. 26(6):737-44.
- Jahan MS, Vani G, and Shyamaladevi CS. Effect of Solanum trilobatum on hepatic drug metabolising enzymes during diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatocarcinogenesis promoted by Phenobarbital in rat. Hepatol Res, 2007. 37(1):35-49.

- Desai AA, Innocenti F, and Ratain MJ. UGT pharmacogenomics: implications for cancer risk and cancer therapeutics. Pharmacogenetics, 2003. 13(8):517-23.
- 41. Hariharan R, Babu JM, et al. Mutational analysis of Smad7 in human cervical cancer. Oncol Rep, 2009. 21(4):1001-4.
- Wattenberg LW. Inhibition of carcinogenesis by minor dietary constituents. Cancer Res, 1992. 52(7 Suppl):2085s-2091s.
- Nijhoff W, Groen G, and Peters W. Induction of rat hepatic and intestinal glutathione s-transferases and glutathione by dietary naturally-occurring anticarcinogens. Int J Oncol, 1993. 3(6):1131-9.
- Board PG, Baker RT. Chelvanayagam G, et al. Zeta, a novel class of glutathione transferases in a range of species from plants to humans. Biochem J, 1997. 328 (Pt 3):929-35.
- Saracino MR and Lampe JW. Phytochemical regulation of UDP-glucuronosyltransferases: implications for cancer prevention. Nutr Cancer, 2007. 59(2):121-41.
- Roberts BJ. Evidence of proteasome-mediated cytochrome P-450 degradation. J Biol Chem, 1997. 272(15):9771-8.
- Banerjee A, Kocarek TA, and Novak RF. Identification of a ubiquitination-Target/Substrate-interaction domain of cytochrome P-450 (CYP) 2E1. Drug Metab Dispos, 2000. 28(2):118-24.
- Weerachayaphorn J, Chuncharunee A, Jariyawat S, et al. Protection of centrilobular necrosis by Curcuma comosa Roxb. in carbon tetrachloride-induced mice liver injury. J Ethnopharmacol, 2010. 129(2):254-60.
- Pan X, Hussain FN, Iqbal J, et al. Inhibiting proteasomal degradation of microsomal triglyceride transfer protein prevents CCl4-induced steatosis. J Biol Chem, 2007. 282(23):17078-89.