**LRP1B** mutation is associated with tumor HPV status and promotes poor disease outcomes with a higher mutation count in HPV-related cervical carcinoma and head & neck squamous cell carcinoma
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**Abstract**

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and gene mutations were reputed as key factors in cervical carcinoma (CC) and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). However, the associations of HPV status and gene mutations remain to be determined. This study aims to identify molecular patterns of **LRP1B** mutation and HPV status via rewiring tumor samples of HNSCC (n=1478) and CC (n=178) from the TCGA dataset. Here, we found that **LRP1B** mutation was associated with HPV status in CC (\( P = 0.040 \)) and HNSCC (\( P = 0.044 \)), especially in HPV 16 integrated CC (\( P = 0.036 \)). Cancer survival analysis demonstrated that samples with **LRP1B** mutation showed poor disease outcomes in CC (\( P = 0.013 \)) and HNSCC (\( P = 0.0124 \)). In addition, the expression status of **LPR1B** was more favorable for prediction than **TP53** or **RB1** in CC and HNSCC. Mutation clustering analysis showed that samples with **LRP1B** mutation showed higher mutation count in CC (\( P = 1.76e-67 \)) and HNSCC (\( P < 10e-10 \)). Further analysis identified 289 co-occurrence genes in these two cancer types, which were enriched in PI3K signaling, cell division process, and chromosome segregation process, etc. The 289-co-occurrence gene signature identified a cluster of patients with a higher portion of copy number variation (CNV) lost in the genome, different tumor HPV status (\( P < 10e-10 \)), higher mutation count (\( P < 10e-10 \)), higher fraction genome altered value (\( P = 2.078e-4 \)), higher aneuploidy score (\( P = 3.362e-4 \)), and earlier started the smoking year (\( P = 2.572e-4 \)), which were associated with shorter overall survival (\( P = 0.0103 \)) in CC and HNSCC samples. Overall, **LRP1B** mutation was associated with tumor HPV status and was an unfavorable prognostic biomarker for CC and HNSCC.
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**Introduction**

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) infection was reported to be responsible for almost all cervical cancer (CC), more than 90% of anal cancer, almost 70% of vaginal and vulvar cancers, about 60% of penile cancer, 5% to 30% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), and 60% to 70% of oropharynx cancer [1-4]. According to the statistics of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), about 3% of all cancers in women and 2% in men are caused by high-risk HPVs, with 34,000 new cases per year. Worldwide, about 4.5% of all cancers are caused by high-risk HPVs, with 630,000 (570,000 women and 60,000 men) new cases each year [5].

In general, almost all sexually active people will be infected with at least one type of HPV, and around half of those infections are high-risk HPV types [6]. Most HPV infections become negative after a few months and about 91% of them become undetectable
after two years [7], but high-risk HPV infections persist longer time on average than low-risk HPV infections [8]. Persistent high-risk HPV infections were thought to lead to genomic instability and local immune suppression, leading to genomic alteration accumulation and viral genome integration [9]. It is increasingly appreciated that persistent high-risk HPV infections promote somatic mutation accumulation, which is responsible for the development of precancerous lesions or malignant carcinomas [10]. The evolution distance of subclones in cancer is variable, even though cancer was reported to arise from the acquisition of multiple mutations that synergistically transform normal cells [11]. Still, the associations between high-risk HPV infections and HPV-associated gene mutation remain to be determined.

Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1B (LRP1B) is reputed as a tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 2. Gene mutations in LRP1B are frequently detected in many cancers, with 12% in non-small cell lung cancer, 11% in head and neck cancer, 9% in cervical cancer, 8% in bladder cancer, and 8% in prostate cancer [12]. A previous melanoma study reported that LRP1B mutation was enriched in responders (34%) to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) compared with non-responders (3%) [13], which suggested LRP1B mutation may be an independent predictor for the response to ICI. Previous studies have led to the notion that the diverse clinical outcomes of CC and HNSCC are likely a reflection of the genetic heterogeneity of the tumor subclones from the gene expression profiles and the intratumor metabolic features [14, 15]. The identification of molecular patterns or biomarkers of CC and HNSCC that could not only predict the tumor biology but help to further classify HPV-related cancers. Patients with poor biological behavior could then be identified and treated with individual therapies [16]. In particular, LRP1B mutation was identified as a biomarker for ICIs in melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer [17], prostate cancer [18], and advanced biliary tract cancer [19].

Here, we correlated HPV status, somatic mutations, disease outcomes, HPV expression, mutation gene expression, and clinical information of CC and HNSCC samples from TCGA to systematically unravel the HPV-associated mutation gene, that is LRP1B. In addition, gene expression of LRP1B was used to calculate the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve between normal and tumor, which was synchronously compared to TP53 or RB1. Furthermore, the co-occurrence mutation gene of LRP1B was performed to identify a co-occurrence mutation signature with different clinical characteristics in CC and HNSCC. These results suggested the associations of HPV status and LRP1B mutation, and the prognostic value of LRP1B in HPV-related CC and HNSCC.

Material and Methods

Cell culture

Cell resource and cell culture of SiHa and HeLa (human cervical cancer cell lines) were described previously [20]. Cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (12430054, Gibco) which contains 10% fetal bovine serum (SH30406.05, Hyclone) and penicillin-streptomycin (100 U/mL-100 μg/mL, 15410163, Gibco). Cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO2.

Immunofluorescence

According to the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) dataset, SiHa and MS751 were LRP1B wild type, Hela, Caski, and Me180 were LRP1B mutation cell lines (Supplementary Tables 1-3). Cells (1×10⁴) were seeded in glass coverslips in 24-well plates after 24 h and were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (30 min) at room temperature, then penetrated in 0.3% Triton X-100 (30 min) before the blocking step (5% Bovine serum albumin (B2064, Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min in 37°C) [21]. Antibodies used for incubation were LRP1B (SAB4200326, Sigma-Aldrich, 1:200) and Alexa Fluor 594 (ANT029, Antgene, 1:100). Phalloidin (A12379, Invitrogen) and DAPI (C1002, Beyotime) were used to stain microfilaments and the nucleus. Images were taken using a microscope (BX53, Olympus) equipped with FITC and Cy3 filters. The mean intensity of staining was calculated by ImageJ (1.48v).

Sample data acquisition

Integrated genomic and molecular data of 178 cervical carcinoma samples (169 HPV positive samples, 120 HPV-A9 positive samples, 103 HPV16 positive samples, and 78 HPV16 positive samples, and 7 HPV16 integration samples, Supplementary Table 4) were described as previously published as TCGA cohort 1 [22], including HPV status of the tumor, somatic (point mutation) mutation information of samples, days-to-last-follow-up information of samples, E6 normalized counts (status: spliced or un-spliced). HNSCC samples were downloaded and performed from HNSCC cohorts of cBioPortal tool (https://www.cbioportal.org/) with default settings [4, 23-26]. 21.9% of samples were with HPV status, 7.8% were with p16 status, 4.9% were with tumor HPV PCR, and 4.4% were with HPV in situ hybridization (ISH) status. Different research used different detection methods. 12.9% of samples with high-risk HPV were detected in RNA-Seq analysis [4]; 14% of all samples and 53% of
oropharyngeal tumors were found positive for HPV based on PCR analysis [23]; 12.5% of samples showed HPV positive by ISH [24]. Gene expression profiles of LRP1B and corresponding clinical information were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), including GSE107591, GSE9750, GSE7803, and GSE63514.

**HPV types and HPV status annotation**

According to the previous study [22], the HPV A9 subgroup includes HPV16, HPV31, HPV53, HPV35, HPV52, and HPV58 types. The HPV A7 subgroup includes HPV 16, HPV18, HPV39, HPV45, HPV59, HPV68, and HPV70 (Supplementary Table 4). HPV status of cervical carcinoma was described as previously published [22], including HPV A9 integration, HPV A9 non-integration, HPV 16 integration, and HPV 16 non-integration. Detailed statistics of CC was shown in Supplementary Table 4. HPV status of HNSCC performed in cBioPortal tool (https://www.cbioportal.org/) from HNSCC cohorts [4, 23-26] with default settings, corresponding LRP1B mutation status of samples was download from the dataset.

**E6 splices from RNA-Seq**

HPV-E6 splices from RNA-seq were conducted by the previous pipilines [22]. Transcript types included transcripts with the unspliced sequence of E6, transcripts spliced at E6 splice donor site (loci 226 of HPV16, loci 233 for HPV18).

**HPV-LRP1B integration map**

LRP1B was detected as an HPV integration hotspot in CC [27]. We mapped HPV-LRP1B integrated samples in LRP1B gene with transcription regulation elements (DNAase cluster, Txn factor ChiP, DNAse 1 hypersensitive sites, and NHEK (a normal epithelium cell line) chromatin state discovery and characterization (ChromHMM)). Samples were downloaded from 135 samples with over 3,667 integration sites. HPV-LRP1B integrated samples were indicated by arrows. LRP1B gene and regulation elements were downloaded from the UCSC browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/).

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC) score of LRP1B**

IHC score of LRP1B in cervical carcinoma (n = 97) and normal cervix (n = 7) were downloaded from the published dataset [27], with HPV integration status information, including HPV breakpoint sites, integrated HPV type, human genome breakpoint sites. Tumor samples were clustered into three groups, including normal cervix, samples with HPV integration in LRP1B (n = 6), samples with HPV integration in other genes (n = 91). All integration sites and scores were annotated with default settings.

**Disease survival analysis**

The Kaplan-Meier method was used to assess the difference in the disease survival of patients. Overall survival analysis of cervical carcinoma samples was performed by Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test according to the follow-up information from TCGA cohort 1 [22], and samples were clustered into one group with LRP1B mutation and the other group with LRP1B wild type. Overall survival analysis of HNSCC was performed by cBioPortal (https://www.cbioportal.org/) via Log Rank test. Samples were clustered into one group with LRP1B mutation and the other group with LRP1B wild type. The Log Rank test gives greater weight to distant differences in outcome events, i.e., it is sensitive to distant differences, while the Wilcoxon test gives greater weight to near-term differences in outcome events.

**Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis**

ROC curve analysis was employed to demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity of genes by risk score. ROC curves of LRP1B, TP53, and RB1 were performed by GraphPad Prism (version 6.02) from GSE107591, GSE9750, GSE7803, and GSE63514. GSE107591 was expression profiling of 23 normal and 24 HNSCC tissues. GSE9750 was 24 normal cervical epithelium and 33 primary cervical tumors. GSE7803 was 21 invasive cervical squamous cell carcinomas, 7 high grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) and 10 normal squamous cervical epithelial samples. GSE7803 included 24 normal, 62 HSIL lesions, 40 CIN3 lesions, and 28 cancers.

**Co-occurrence mutation genes of LRP1B**

Co-occurrence mutation genes of LRP1B were performed by cBioPortal (https://www.cbioportal.org/), the bioinformatic tool of TCGA, with default settings. Briefly, mutation genes of samples were performed in CC and HNSCC, respectively. Co-occurrence mutation genes and mutual exclusive genes were analyzed by Fisher’s Exact Test [28], samples were divided into groups with LRP1B mutation or not. Description of 289 genes was showed in Supplementary Table 5.

**Molecular characteristics of co-occurrence mutation genes**

Molecular characteristics of 289 co-occurrence mutation genes were performed in CC and HNSCC via cBioPortal (https://www.cbioportal.org/) with default settings, including clinical information, tumor type, tumor HPV status, patient smoking history,
mutation count, CNV status, aneuploidy score, et al. P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All significant results were showed in Supplementary Table 6.

Enrichment terms analysis

The enrichment terms analysis was performed to demonstrate the biological processes (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) pathways of 289 co-occurrence mutation genes of LRP1B in Metascape [29] with default settings, an online gene annotation tool with multiple authoritative data sources. Gene symbols of 289 co-occurrence mutation genes of LRP1B were imported, then “Homo sapiens” was selected for further analysis to perform the role of the input genes in biological processes and KEGG pathways. The top 20 pathways and process enrichment were showed.

Mutation count analysis of tumor samples

The cervical carcinoma samples of a TCGA cohort (n = 178) were downloaded [22] with WGS data. Samples were classified into one group with LRP1B mutation (n = 12) and the other group with LRP1B wild type (n = 166). Then, the top 255 mutation genes of all samples were displayed to analyze the mutation count of those two groups. Mutation count of CC (n = 605, TCGA-CC cohort 2), HNSCC (n = 1477) and pan-cancer studies (MSKCC) (n = 1676) were analyzed by clustering samples into LRP1B altered group and LRP1B unaltered group in cBioPortal tool (https://www.cbioportal.org/).

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism (version 6.02, GraphPad Software, Inc.) and SPSS 25.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). Data were expressed as the mean ± SD (standard deviation). Data were analyzed by Student’s t-test (E6 counts) one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (IHC score), Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test (Overall survival (OS) for CC), Log-rank test (OS for HNSCC), Chi-Square test (mutation count analysis, T (minimum) ≥5, N ≥40, Chi-square test; 1 ≤ T (minimum) ≤5, N ≥40, adjusted Chi-square test; T (minimum)<1 or N<40, Fisher’s exact test), and Kruskal Wallis Test (mutation count analysis, aneuploidy score), followed by least significant difference (LSD) testing, detail information was showed in Supplementary Table 6. P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

LRP1B mutation was associated with HPV status in CC and HNSCC

To explore the associations between gene mutation and tumor HPV status, we integrated 169 CC samples from the TCGA cohort to investigate the associations between tumor HPV status and gene mutation in CC, we then performed correlation analysis in HPV-A9 positive (Figure 1A) and HPV-A7 positive (Figure S1) samples. We found that LRP1B mutation was associated with HPV-A9 integration status (P = 0.040), and PTEN mutation (P = 0.040), TSC2 mutation (P = 0.040), SMARCB1 mutation (P = 0.049), and MSH2 mutation (P = 0.049) were preferred in HPV-A9 non-integration samples.

HPV 16 (73.8%) infections are the most prevalent type in cervical cancer patients [30]. We then performed the correlation analysis between HPV 16 status and gene mutation in the 103 samples (Figure 1B). LRP1B was found to be associated with HPV 16 integration status (P = 0.036) and TSC2 was preferred in HPV 16 non-integration group (P = 0.045). To further validate the correlation of LRP1B and TSC2 in HNSCC, we analyzed them in 1478 HNSCC samples and found that LRP1B was associated with tumor HPV status in HNSCC (P = 0.044, Figure 1C).

HPV integration status was associated with increased E6 expression and reduced LRP1B expression

Next, we assessed the effect of LRP1B mutation and E6 expression in CC (Figure 2A) and found that LRP1B mutation was associated with higher total E6 expression and spliced E6 expression, which was consistent with the E6 expression pattern in HPV 16 integration and non-integration samples (Figure 2B).

A previous study has reported LRP1B as an HPV integration hotspot in CC [27], with about 5.8% (6/104) frequency. By performing the HPV-LRP1B integration map in LRP1B genes (Figure 2C), we found that HPV was tended to integrate into the LRP1B gene region. Furthermore, when comparing samples with other HPV integration sites or normal samples, HPV-LRP1B integration was identified to decrease LRP1B expression in HPV-LRP1B integration samples (Figure 2D). HeLa cells with LRP1B mutation were found to show lower LRP1B staining than SiHa cells with LRP1B wild type (P=0.0048, Figure 2E, Figure S2A). Also, LRP1B expression of ME180 and Caski (LRP1B mutation) was lower than that of SiHa cells (P=0.0198, Figure S2B-D).

LRP1B mutation was associated with poor disease outcome and LRP1B was identified as a prognostic biomarker in CC and HNSCC

In CC, we performed survival analysis in 178 samples with LRP1B mutation status (N (mutation) =14, N (wild type) = 164) and identified that LRP1B mutation was associated with poor disease outcome
In HNSCC, we also found that \textit{LRP1B} mutation (N = 333) was associated with poor disease outcome in 1478 samples (N (wild type) = 913, \( P = 0.0124 \), Figure 3B).

\textit{LRP1B} expression between tumor and normal in CC and HNSCC showed no statistical differences (Figure 3C), and there was no significant difference between \textit{LRP1B}-high expression and \textit{LRP1B}-low expression group in CC and HNSCC (Figure S3). However, \textit{LRP1B} expression was found to be a

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Study & Gene & HPV A9 integration \[ P \text{ value} \] \\
\hline
A & LRP1B & 0.040 \\
A & PK3CA & 0.441 \\
A & MUC4 & 0.808 \\
A & KMT2C & 0.708 \\
A & KMT2D & 0.853 \\
A & EP300 & 0.783 \\
A & FBSD7 & 0.765 \\
A & NAV3 & 0.560 \\
A & ZFHX3 & 0.553 \\
A & CREBBP & 0.460 \\
A & HLA-A & 0.769 \\
A & LRP2 & 0.985 \\
A & ARID1A & 0.273 \\
A & CHD4 & 0.399 \\
A & KRAS & 0.662 \\
A & CHD8 & 0.426 \\
A & HCN1 & 0.922 \\
A & MTHFR & 0.775 \\
A & EIF3A & 0.649 \\
A & CTNNB1 & Null \\
A & CDK8 & 0.775 \\
A & PTEN & 0.040 \\
A & TSC2 & 0.040 \\
A & SMARCAB1 & 0.049 \\
A & MSH2 & 0.049 \\
\hline
B & LRP1B & 0.036 \\
B & PK3CA & 0.971 \\
B & MUC4 & 0.599 \\
B & KMT2C & 0.826 \\
B & KMT2D & 0.945 \\
B & EP300 & 0.666 \\
B & FBSD7 & 0.632 \\
B & NAV3 & 0.133 \\
B & ZFHX3 & 0.472 \\
B & CREBBP & 0.966 \\
B & HLA-A & 0.759 \\
B & LRP2 & 0.572 \\
B & ARID1A & 0.180 \\
B & CHD4 & 0.323 \\
B & KRAS & 0.575 \\
B & CHD8 & 0.430 \\
B & HCN1 & 0.243 \\
B & MTHFR & 0.757 \\
B & EIF3A & 0.755 \\
B & CTNNB1 & Null \\
B & CDK8 & Null \\
B & SMARCAB1 & 0.057 \\
B & MSH2 & 0.057 \\
B & TSC2 & 0.045 \\
\hline
C & LRP1B & 0.044 \\
C & PTEN & 0.996 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Figure 1. LRP1B mutation was associated with HPV status in CC and HNSCC. Mutation status and tumor HPV status in HPV A9 positive cervical carcinoma (A), in HPV 16 positive cervical carcinoma (B) and in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (C). Each row should represent a gene while each column represents a sample, the orange column indicates mutation gene, top mutation genes in cervical samples are shown. \( P \) values were calculated by the Chi-square test.

http://www.ijbs.com
predictor in CC and HNSCC. ROC curve of LRP1B, TP53, and RB1 was performed to analyze the prognostic value of LRP1B between normal and neoplasm samples. In HNSCC, LRP1B was found to show a higher ROC area than TP53, and RB1 between normal and neoplasm samples (Figure 3D). In CC of GSE7803 and GSE9750, LRP1B was found to show a higher ROC area than TP53 and RB1 (Figure 3E). In GSE63514, LRP1B was found to show a similar ROC with TP3 and RB1 in CC and HSIL, with a slightly high trend (Figure 3F).

**LRP1B mutation was associated with a higher mutation count in CC and HNSCC**

CC and HNSCC were identified as the kind of tumor with high tumor mutational burden (TMB) and stable microsatellite instability (MSI) [31]. Tumor mutational burden was reported as an independent biomarker for immunotherapy response in diverse cancers [32]. To investigate the molecular basis of mutation count in samples with LRP1B mutation, we clustered the 178 CC samples according to LRP1B mutation status and found that LRP1B mutation status was associated with a higher mutation count in CC ($P = 1.76 \times 10^{-67}$, Figure 4A), and in the combined TCGA-CC dataset ($P = 1.40 \times 10^{-7}$, Figure 4B). In addition, LRP1B mutation status was identified to be associated with a higher mutation count in HNSCC ($P < 10^{-10}$, Figure 4C). Furthermore, LRP1B mutation status was also found to be associated with higher mutation count in pan-cancer studies ($P = 0.0206$, Figure 4D).

![Image](http://www.ijbs.com)

**Figure 2. HPV integration status was associated with increased E6 expression and reduced LRP1B expression.** (A) E6 (total/spliced/un-spliced) expression in LRP1B mutation samples and LRP1B wild type (WT) samples. E6 counts represented the numerical value from RNA-Seq data as following previous study [22]. (B) E6 (total/spliced/un-spliced) expression in HPV 16 integration samples and HPV non-integration samples. (C) Distribution of HPV-LRP1B integration samples in a cervical carcinoma cohort [39]. (D) IHC staining score of LRP1B in cervical carcinoma samples with different integration sites or normal samples from the same cohort [39]. P-value was performed by One-way ANOVA. (E) Representative images of immunofluorescence staining of LRP1B in SiHa and Hela cells. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$).
Figure 3. LRP1B mutation was associated with poor disease outcomes and LRP1B was identified as a prognostic biomarker in CC and HNSCC. Overall survival analysis of samples according to LRP1B mutation status in CC (A) and HNSCC (B). (C) LRP1B expression of tumor and normal in CC and HNSCC performed by GEPIA [40]. ROC curve analysis of LRP1B, TP53, and RB1 in GSE107591 (D), GSE9750, GSE7803 (E), and GSE63514 (F). CESE: cervical carcinoma, HNSCC: head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, HSIL: high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion.
**Co-occurrence mutation signature of LRP1B in CC and HNSCC**

To further explore the mutation characteristics of LRP1B mutation, we performed co-occurrence mutation analysis in CC and HNSCC, respectively. Several co-occurrence mutation genes were identified, such as TTN, MUC4, KMT2C, SYNE1, DMD, USH2A, ADGRV1, and SYNE2 in CC, TP53, TTN, CSMD3, MUC16, STNE1, FLG, and PCLO in HNSCC (Figure 5A-D).

To identify the co-occurrence mutation signature between CC and HNSCC, 289 common co-occurrence mutation genes were merged (Figure 5E, Supplementary Table 5), which were enriched in some cancer pathways, such as proteoglycans in cancer ($P = 3.55e-05$), positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling ($P = 9.77e-05$), microtubule-based movement ($P = 4.79e-05$), and chromosome segregation ($P = 0.0002$), et al. (Figure 5F). In particular, the protein-protein interaction network relating to chromosome
segregation pathway was enriched (Figure 5G), genes (ANK2, FN1, DYNC1H1, ANK3, CENPE, CENPF, PDS5B, BUB1, DDX18, MARS, and RAB1B) were co-occurred in LRP1B mutation samples of CC and HNSCC (Figure 5H).

Figure 5. Co-occurrence mutation signature of LRP1B in CC and HNSCC. Co-occurrence mutation analysis of LRP1B and top 10 mutation genes of each group in CC (A, B) and in HNSCC (C, D) from cBioPortal tool. (E) Venn diagram of LRP1B co-occurrence mutation genes in CC and HNSCC. (F) Pathway and process enrichment analysis of 289 LRP1B co-occurrence mutation genes were performed by Metascape [29]. (G) Protein-protein interaction network of chromosome segregation pathway. (H) Mutation frequency of genes relating the network in groups according to LRP1B mutation status. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.
Molecular characteristics of co-occurrence mutation signature of LRPIB in CC and HNSCC

In accordance with the above co-occurrence mutation signature of LRPIB in CC and HNSCC, we performed molecular analysis of such 289 genes in cBioPortal tool, including HPV status, cancer types, CNV status, et al (Supplementary Table 6). By using LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature, we identified the groups with different portion of HPV status (P < 10e-10), and different CNV status in genome. CNV lost as preferred in LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature group, especially in 3q (P = 1.07e-5), 18q (P = 1.69e-3), 13q (P = 0.0112), 9p (P = 0.0181), 5q (P = 0.0181), 8q (P = 0.0325), 21q (P = 0.0325), and 4q (P = 0.0446) (Figure 6A), which were showed in Figure 6B.

Also, higher mutation count (P < 10e-10), higher altered fraction genome (P = 2.572e-4), and longer smoking year (P = 2.572e-4), and higher aneuploidy score (P = 3.362e-4) were found in LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature group (Figure 6C). Furthermore, poor disease outcomes (overall survival, P = 0.0103) were found in LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature group (Figure 6D).

Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that HPV integration correlates with disease outcomes, host immune response signatures, cellular differentiation, dysregulation of the expression of viral E6 and E7 oncopgenes, and aberrant expression of the integrated cancer-related genes in CC and HNSCC [33]. While somatic mutations, such as PIK3CA, PTEN, TP53, STK11, and KRAS, and copy-number alterations in the pathogenesis of CC and HNSCC were significantly identified [2, 4, 22, 23]. However, the correlation between HPV integration and gene mutation remains to be determined. As suggested by our results, LRPIB mutation was identified to be associated with tumor HPV status in CC and HNSCC and be associated with higher total E6 expression and spliced E6 expression in CC, which might be responsible for the correlation between genomic alteration accumulation and viral genome integration.

LRPIB belongs to the gene family of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor, which plays multi-roles as a tumor suppressor gene in normal cell function and development [34]. Down-regulation of LRPIB was reported to promote cell growth and migration in colon cancer cells [35], and in renal cell cancer [36], and is associated with acquired chemotherapy resistance in high-grade serous ovarian cancer [37]. In accordance with our results that LRPIB mutation tended to down-regulated LRPIB expression and showed poor disease outcome in CC and HNSCC. In addition, LRPIB mutation serves as a good predictor for ICIs in multiple cancer types, largely due to the correlation with higher tumor mutation burden [12, 17]. In CC and HNSCC, our results demonstrated that LRPIB mutation, as well as LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature, were associated with higher mutation count. Higher tumor mutational burden shows distinct clinicopathologic features and is a biomarker for good efficacy and clinical response to ICIs in diverse cancers [38].

LRPIB was also detected as an HPV integration hotspot in CC [27], as suggested by our results, HPV-LRPIB integration was associated with the downregulation of LRPIB. Additionally, the LRPIB IHC staining score could serve as a sensitive biomarker for cervical lesion detection, with 0.801 area under curve (AUC), 90% sensitivity, and 56.76% specificity [39]. In our results, the expression status of LRPIB was identified as a biomarker for normal and neoplasm samples of CC and HNSCC, with AUC 0.8007 in HNSCC and 0.9024 in CC, which suggested that LRPIB could serve as a biomarker in CC and HNSCC. Furthermore, LRPIB co-occurrence mutation signature tended to identify a cluster sample with multiple molecular bases of CC and HNSCC. The identification of molecular patterns or biomarkers of CC and HNSCC that could not only predict the tumor biology but help to further classify HPV-related cancers.

Still, with multi-molecular analyses in CC and HNSCC, our studies had several limitations in LRPIB mutation characteristics. Firstly, the correlation between LRPIB mutation and tumor HPV status was lack of molecular evidence and underlying mechanisms in the interaction process. Secondly, the biomarker role of LRPIB in ICIs was showed in other cancer types, there was no ICIs treatment cohort of CC or HNSCC included for clinical analysis, making the biomarker role of LRPIB only focus on cancer survival, mutation count, AUC analysis, and molecular bases of CC and HNSCC. The only way to demonstrate the molecular function of LRPIB was cell biology tests, which need to be further explored in HPV-related CC and HNSCC.

Overall, by integrating molecular data of CC and HNSCC, including gene mutation, tumor HPV status, gene expression, and clinical information, we identified LRPIB mutation, as well as co-occurrence mutation signature of LRPIB, was associated with tumor HPV status, higher mutation count, and poor cancer survival in CC and HNSCC. Also, LRPIB was showed as a good biomarker between normal and neoplasm samples than TP53, and RB1 in CC and
HNSCC. These results provided molecular insight into the correlation between HPV status and LRP1B mutation, and the prognostic value of LRP1B in HPV-related CC and HNSCC.

Figure 6. Molecular characteristics of co-occurrence mutation signature of LRP1B in CC and HNSCC. (A) CC and HNSCC samples were clustered into two groups according to LRP1B co-occurrence mutation signature status, different HPV status of samples, CNV status of the chromosome, cancer types were showed by color. Color captions were shown at the bottom. (B) CNV alteration of samples with the signature mutation was showed. (C) Mutation count, fraction genome altered (FGA), started smoking year, aneuploidy score of samples in groups according to 289 mutation genes status. (D) Survival analysis of samples in groups according to 289 mutation genes status. The altered group represents samples with at least one of 289 mutation genes corresponding to the co-occurrence mutation signature of LRP1B.
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