

Figure S1. Energy metabolism in ND mice.



Figure S2. Intestinal histology and mRNA expression.



Figure S3. Effect of intestinal CIDEC on tight junctions.



Figure S4. Gut microbiomes in mice of two genotypes fed a high-fat diet.

Figure S1. Energy metabolism in ND mice. (A–D) 21-week-old mice fed an ND from 8 weeks of age were used (both n = 4), data obtained during 2 days are shown. Each bar on the right was the mean during the light, night and whole day (light and night). (A) Whole-body oxygen consumption (mL/h). (B) CO₂ production (mL/h); (C) Respiratory exchange ratio (VCO₂/VO₂); (D) Energy expenditure (Kcal/h). Data are means \pm SEM; (E) Predicted metabolic rate (kcal/h/40g) was determined as previously described (21). Data are means \pm SEM; Differences were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Figure S2. Intestinal histology and mRNA expression. (A–D) 22-week-old mice fed high-fat (HFD) or normal (ND) diets (n=5–10) modeling from 8 weeks of age were used. (A) Representative photographs of histology (stained by Oil red O); (B–D) mRNA expression of enzymes involved in lipid absorption (*NPC1L1, SR-B1, CD36*), transportation (*FATP1, FATP4*), synthesis (*DGAT2*), secretion (*APOB*), lipolysis (*ATGL, HSL, MGL*), and oxidative metabolism (*AMPKa, PPARa*) in the (B) proximal, (C) middle and (D) distal intestine; SI-CIDEC^{-/-} and WT (n=3–4) on HFD were used; Data are normalized to *GAPDH*; Data are means \pm SEM. Differences were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p <0.001.

Figure S3. Effect of intestinal CIDEC on tight junctions. (A) CIDEC protein expression in the intestine of WT mice fed different diets. Intestinal tissues were obtained from 22-week-old mice fed normal or high-fat diets (n=3); (B) ZO-1 tight junction protein 1 (TJP1) protein expression in the intestine of two genotype mice fed an HFD. Intestinal tissues were obtained from 22-week-old mice fed an ND or an HFD (n=3); (C) Permeability assay in IPEC-J2 cells. FD-4 (FITC-Dextran 4kDa) fluorescence intensities of the culture medium in the basal compartment are shown. The methods have been previously described 40; Data are means \pm SEM. Differences were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Figure S4. Gut microbiomes in mice of two genotypes fed a high-fat diet. (A–H) Fresh feces from 22-week-old SI-CIDEC^{-/-} mice and WT mice fed HFDs were used for 16S rRNA sequencing, n=6, SI-CIDEC^{-/-}(SIHFD), WT-HFD (WTHFD) (A-C) alpha diversity of the gut microbiota in the two groups determined by the ACE, Chao1, and Shannon indices; (D) beta diversity of the gut microbiota between two groups indicated by principle coordinate analysis (PCoA). The PCoA plot was generated by OTU metrics based on the Bray-Curtis similarity. The values of PC1 and PC2 are shown in bar plots and expressed as means \pm SEM, significant differences were detected by Mann-Whitney U-tests; (E) Mean percentage of the total population at the phylum level; (F) *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* ratio; data were expressed as means \pm SEM, significant differences were detected by two-tail *t*-tests. (G) Taxonomic cladogram generated from LEfSe of 16S rRNA sequencing data. Enriched taxa of WT-HFD (green) and SI-CIDEC^{-/-}-HFD (red) groups were shown. Size of each circle is proportional to the taxon's abundance. (H) Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size method was performed to compare taxa between two groups. The bar chart listed the significantly differential taxa based on effect size (log₁₀ LDA score > 2). Enriched taxa in

SI-CIDEC^{-/-}-HFD (negative LDA score), and enriched taxa in WT-HFD (positive LDA score).

Gene Name Primer Sequence (5'-3') F-AGCCCTCCTCCTCCTC CIDEC (mus) **R-TCCTTGGTGCTGTGCTGT** F-GTCCTTCACCATCCGCTT ATGL (mus) **R-CTCTTGGCCCTCATCACC** F-TTCAGACAGCCCCGAGA HSL (mus) **R-TGACATCAGAGGGTGTGGA** F-GGCTGGACATGCTGGTATT MGL (mus) **R-TCGGGGTAGTCCTTCTGG** F-TGTTTCCTCGTCCCGTAGA GAPDH (mus) **R-ATCTCCACTTTGCCACTGC** F-AGATGGAGCCGAGTTGC NPC1L1 (mus) **R-CCAGAGAGGAGGGGACA** F-TGCTTTTATGAACCGCACA SR-B1 (mus) **R-CCCAACAAACAGGCCAA** F-TGGTGCTGTCATTGGAGCAGT CD36 (mus) **R-TGTCTGTAAACTTCCGTGCCTGT** F-TTCATCAAGACGGTCAGGCG FATP4 (mus) **R-AGACGGTGGCAGCGAATAAG F-AAATCGGGTAACCGTGGTAATAA R-AGGCAAACTAAGAATGGGTACTGA** MTP (mus) **F-CGGATTCAAGAAGCTCCACC** APOB (mus) **R-GGACATGCGGCAGCAAACT** F-ACCCGACCCAGAAAGACA DGAT2 (mus) **R-TTCACCTCCAGCACCTCA** F- GGACTTACTTGTTGGATTTCCG AMPKa (mus) **R-CCTTTGGCAAGATCGATGTTG** F-ATGCCAGTACTGCCGTTTTC **R-ACACGACCTGAAAGATTCGG** PPARa (mus)

 Table S1. RT-PCR Primer sets

	F-ACAGAAGGAGTGGCTAAGGA
IL-6(mus)	R-AGGCATAACGCACTAGGTTT
	F- CGCTGAGGTCAATCTGC
TNF-α(mus)	R-GGCTGGGTAGAGAATGGA
	F-GTGGTGACAAGCACATTTGG
Nos2(mus)	R-AAGGCCAAACACAGCATACC
	F-GGGATCATCTTGCTGGTGAA
Ccl2(mus)	R-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG
	F-TGCTTTGCCTACCTCTCC
Ccl5(mus)	R-CACACACTTGGCGGTTC
	F-TGCTTTGGTCCCTCCAC
Adipoq(mus)	R-AGTGCCATCTCTGCCATC
	F- GTGGCCCGTGTAACCTTC
CIDEC (sus) GAPDH (sus)	R-AAAGCAGCGCAGATCGTAG
	F- CTGTTCGGTTGTGGATCTGA
	R-TGACGAAGTGGTCGTTGAGG
	F- CTGACGGAGCAGGTGGAG
ATGL (sus) CD36 (sus)	R- CCCAGCGAGAGGCTGTT
	F- CCTTCACTGTTCTCAATCTGG
	R-TGTGGTAGGAATAGGGTATGG
	F- CAGCGGGATTCTGTCCT
NPC1L1 (sus)	R- CTGGTGTTGTGTGGGGTTG
MGAT2 (sus)	F-AAGCGGAAGGTGCTGAT
	R- CTCCAGAGGACGAAGCC
	F-TGCTGCGGGAGTACCTG
DGAT2 (sus) MTTP (sus)	R-TGCTGCGGGAGTACCTG
	F-AAATCGGGTAACCGTGGTAATAA
	RAGGCAAACTAAGAATGGGTACTGA
APOB (sus)	F-CGGATTCAAGAAGCTCCACC
	R-GGACATGCGGCAGCAAACT

F- GTTCGCCAAGTCCATCC R- GCATCCCGTCCTTGTTC