## **Supplementary Figure Legends**

Figure S1. CLCN3 was upregulated in human LUAD and facilitated tumor proliferation and migration. (a) The basic protein expression of CLCN3 was detected in human LUAD cell lines and human bronchial epithelial cell lines. (b) The protein expression of CLCN3 was suppressed when CLCN3 was knocked down in H1299 and A549 cells (n = 3). (c) The heatmap plot and KEGG pathway analysis of RNA-seq were constructed after CLCN3 knockdown in H1299 cells. (d) The representative cell images showed that CLCN3 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation at 24 h. (e) Knockdown of CLCN3 suppressed the proliferative ability of A549 and H1299 cells at 24 h (n = 3). (f) Knockdown of CLCN3 inhibited the migration of A549 and H1299 cells (n = 3). \*P < 0.05

Figure S2. HNRNPK was identified and validated as a CLCN3 promoter-binding transcription factor. (a) The fragmented DNA was extracted, and the ChIP-seq DNA libraries were successfully constructed. The standard pie chart of peak annotation illustrated that over half of the peaks were located within the enhancer regions (intronic and intergenic regions) whereas 6.71% of the peaks were located in the promoter regions. (b) The typical transcription start site (TSS) enrichment plot showed that the IP fragments are mainly enriched at TSS, compared to the input fragments. (c) The ChIP-qPCR data showed that the binding of HNRNPK to the CLCN3 gene promoter (-538/-248 bp) was significantly increased in the IP group (n = 3). (d, e) GSEA demonstrated that the growth and locomotory behavior significantly correlated with HNRNPK knockdown. \*\*P < 0.01

Figure S3. HNRNPK knockdown inhibited the expression and function of CLCN3 in vitro. (a, b) To precisely monitor cell proliferation, an analysis based on IncuCyte was conducted. The analysis of the results showed that the level of cell proliferation was reduced following HNRNPK knockdown and that this reduction could be restored by increasing CLCN3 expression levels. (c, d) The cell migration was suppressed after knocking down HNRNPK, however, this inhibition was abrogated by CLCN3 overexpression (n = 3). (e) IncuCyte data showed that the proliferation of LUAD cells was promoted following HNRNPK overexpression but this effect was attenuated by CLCN3 knockdown. \*P < 0.05

Figure S4. HNRNPK overexpression promoted the expression and function of CLCN3 *in vitro*. (a) After HNRNPK was overexpressed, there was an elevation in the expression level of CLCN3, and knocking down CLCN3 levels had the effect of reversing the elevation. (b, c) Following HNRNPK overexpression, LUAD cells exhibited enhanced levels of clonogenicity and proliferation, but these enhanced levels were abrogated by CLCN3 knockdown. (n = 3). (d, e) Cell invasion and migration were enhanced following HNRNPK overexpression, however, the consequences of this enhancement were reversed when CLCN3 was knocked down (n = 3). \*P < 0.05

Figure S5. HNRNPK/CLCN3 axis facilitated LUAD progression through interaction

between tumor cells and CAFs. (a, b) The supernatants of inhibited CAFs markedly attenuated the cell clonogenicity of LUAD, and this phenomenon was rescued after the addition of TGF- $\beta$ 1 (n = 3). (c) The supernatants of inhibited CAFs markedly attenuated the cell invasion of LUAD, and this phenomenon was rescued by the addition of TGF- $\beta$ 1 (n = 3). (d, e) The supernatants of inhibited CAFs markedly attenuated the cell migration of LUAD, and this phenomenon was rescued after the addition of TGF- $\beta$ 1 (n = 3). (e) The supernatants of inhibited CAFs markedly attenuated the cell migration of LUAD, and this phenomenon was rescued after the addition of TGF- $\beta$ 1 (n = 3). (f) TGF- $\beta$ 1 (n = 3).

Figure S6. HNRNPK regulated CLCN3 *in vivo*, and both were upregulated in human LUAD. (a) In paraffin sections of nude mouse lung metastases, we found that HNRNPK knockdown caused a reduction of lung metastasis area, which was rescued by CLCN3 overexpression (n = 7). (b) HNRNPK knockdown effectively decreased the average radiance of lung metastatic lesions, and the reduction was abrogated by CLCN3 overexpression (n = 7). (c) In the GEPIA database, CLCN3 and HNRNPK positively correlated at the RNA level. (d) LUAD tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected (16 cases), and the increased expression of CLCN3 or HNRNPK was confirmed in LUAD tissues (n = 16). (e, f) The relationship between CLCN3 or HNRNPK and clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients was analyzed. We found that higher HNRNPK expression correlated with deeper tumor invasion, and higher CLCN3 expression

correlated with enhanced lymph node metastases. \*P < 0.05











D

genes 2.2 2.0 1.8 -3.0 TSS TES 3.0Kb



С



Е



Enrichment plot: LOCOMOTORY\_BEHAVIOR











В

А

С







shHNR-2



shHNR-2 +Vector



GEPIA (NULL MAUNINH) Control (NULL MAUNINH) Control







E

		HNRNPK					CLCN3		
Variables	N	low expression	high expression	P value	Variables	Ν	low expression	high expression	P value
Depth of invasion					Depth of invasion				
T1/T2	10	8	2	0.019*	T1/T2	10	3	7	0.694
T3/T4	20	6	14		T3/T4	20	9	11	
Lymph node metastases					Lymph node metastases				
N0	8	5	3	0.427	N0	8	6	2	0.027*
N1/N2/N3	22	9	13		N1/N2/N3	22	6	16	
Distant metastasis					Distant metastasis				
M0	19	8	11	0.707	M0	19	7	12	0.712
M1	11	6	5		M1	11	5	6	
TNM stage					TNM stage				
I/II	14	9	5	0.141	I/II	14	5	9	0.722
III/IV	16	5	11		III/IV	16	7	9	

F