Abstract

Bladder cancer (BICa) is the ninth most common cancer worldwide, associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Thus, understand the biological mechanisms underlying tumour progression is of great clinical significance. Vimentin (VIM) is (over)expressed in several carcinomas, putatively in association with EMT. We have previously found that VIM promoter methylation accurately identified BICa and VIM expression associated with unfavourable prognosis. Herein, we sought to investigate VIM expression regulation and its role in malignant transformation of BICa.

Analysis of tissue samples disclosed higher VIM transcript, protein, and methylation levels in BICa compared with normal urothelium. VIM protein and transcript levels significantly increased from non-muscle invasive (NMIBC) to muscle-invasive (MIBC) cases and to BICa metastases. Inverse correlation between epithelial CDH1 and VIM, and a positive correlation between mesenchymal CDH2 and VIM were also observed. In BICa cell lines, exposure to demethylating agent increased VIM protein, with concomitant decrease in VIM methylation. Moreover, exposure to histone deacetylases pan-inhibitor increased the deposit of active post-translational marks (PTMs) across VIM promoter. In primary normal urothelium cells, lower levels of active PTMs with concomitant higher levels of repressive marks deposit were observed. Finally, VIM knockdown in UMUC3 cell line increased epithelial-like features and decreased migration and invasion in vitro, decreasing tumour size and angiogenesis in vivo.

We demonstrated that VIM promoter is epigenetically regulated in normal and neoplastic urothelium, which determine a VIM switch associated with EMT and acquisition of invasive and metastatic properties. These findings might allow for development of new, epigenetic-based, therapeutic strategies for BICa.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer (BICa) is a leading cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality, being the 9th most incident tumour worldwide [1, 2]. Urothelial cell carcinomas (UCCs) are the most common form of BICa, arising along the urinary tract, mostly in the lower tract (bladder and urethra), but also in the
upper tract (renal pelvis and ureters) [3-7]. The gold-standard diagnostic techniques are mostly invasive and uncomfortable, which led us and others to develop non-invasive tests [8-13]. We have previously shown that a biomarker panel (VIM, GDF15 and TMEFF2 promoter methylation) accurately detected both lower and upper tract UCC in urine, and, more recently, that a multiplex panel (VIM and miR663a promoter methylation) accurately discriminated BlCa from inflammatory bladder conditions [8, 9, 11]. From these studies, Vimentin (VIM) promoter methylation surfaced as the most promising biomarker, detecting alone most samples, as reported for other malignancies [14-19]. Additionally, survival analysis showed that lower VIM promoter methylation levels independently predicted for poor disease-specific survival in upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) patients [9]. Similar findings have been previously reported in cell lines of other epithelial tumours, in which VIM expression/overexpression was associated with increased tumour growth, invasion and poor prognosis [20, 21]. Indeed, VIM is an intermediate filament characteristic of mesenchymal cells, usually not expressed in most normal epithelia (including urothelium) and epithelial tumours [22].

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a multistep process through which epithelial cells develop mesenchymal characteristics, such as motility and invasive properties [23, 24]. In vitro and in vivo studies indicate that EMT is associated with cancer cell invasion and metastasis in various malignancies [25-28]. Remarkably, EMT is a reversible phenomenon, as cells may return to their epithelial phenotype in a process known as mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET). Changes in expression of various molecular markers have been associated with EMT, including cadherin family and transcriptional repressors Zeb-1 and Zeb-2, Twist, Snail, Slug [29, 30]. VIM is usually upregulated in cells undergoing EMT, a feature that has been implicated both normal in development and neoplastic progression [31]. Nonetheless, the role of vimentin in EMT needs further clarification, and it is not clear how VIM expression is fine-tuned from its absence in normal cells to its (over)expression in invasive carcinoma cells. Moreover, the discovery of the molecular mechanisms that lead to this "switch" of VIM expression might identify novel therapeutic agents aiming to prevent cancer progression and metastatization.

Epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation and histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) dictate gene expression regulation, are reversible and its deregulation is common in cancer, [32, 33]. Considering our previous observations on VIM promoter methylation in BlCa and its association with disease aggressiveness, we sought to characterize in depth the epigenetic mechanisms putatively responsible for VIM switch in this tumour model and ascertain the relevance of VIM expression deregulation for BlCa progression.

**Results**

Protein, transcript, and methylation analysis of VIM in bladder cancer tissues

Immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR analysis of VIM in NB tissue samples demonstrated that protein and transcript levels were low or undetectable (Figure 1A and 1B). Moreover, in the same samples, no methylation levels were detected at the VIM promoter (Figure 1C). BlCa cases disclosed higher VIM transcript, protein, and methylation levels, compared with NB (Figure 1). Importantl, VIM protein and transcript levels were significantly increased in MIBC compared to NMIBC, although no significant difference was apparent concerning VIM promoter methylation levels (Figure 1). Comparatively to primary BlCa tissue samples, metastatic BlCa tissues disclosed a significant increase in VIM protein levels and a concomitant decrease in VIM promoter methylation (Figure S1).

Figure 1: VIM expression and methylation in normal urothelium and bladder cancer tissues. (A) VIM immunohistochemistry results for normal urothelium (NU), non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) tissues and muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) tissues, regarding the calculated immunoscore. (B) VIM transcript levels for NU, NMIBC and MIBC tissue samples by RT-qPCR. (C) VIM promoter methylation levels for NU, NMIBC and MIBC tissue samples by qMSP. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001.
Association between Cadherins and Vimentin expression in Bladder cancer tissues

To ascertain whether the increase of VIM expression in more invasive cases was related with EMT, CDH1, CDH2 and CDH3 (which encode for ECAD, NCAD and PCAD, respectively) transcript levels were first assessed in the same BlCa tissue samples. As expected, a significant decrease in CDH1 (the epithelial cadherin) and a concomitant increase in CDH2 (the mesenchymal cadherin) transcript levels were observed in MIBC, compared to NMIBC (Figure S2A and B). Moreover, no significant differences were observed for CDH3 (Figure S2C). When performing correlation coefficient analysis, significant weak inverse correlations between CDH1 and CDH2, and CDH1 and VIM expression levels were found, whereas a significant weak positive correlation was depicted between CDH1 and CDH3 and CDH2 and VIM transcript levels (Table S1; Figure S2D).

Modulation of Vimentin methylation in BlCa cell lines and its impact in expression

Considering the previous results in tissue samples, we investigated whether promoter methylation might regulate VIM expression in BlCa, using in vitro models. Firstly, VIM protein levels were assessed by WB and IF in the available BlCa and normal bladder immortalized cell lines, to determine which cells disclosed the lowest VIM expression levels (Figure 2). Then, using the same cell lines, VIM promoter methylation was assessed, and J82 and TCCSUP were chosen to perform subsequent treatment with epigenetic drugs DAC and TSA, as these two cell lines disclosed low VIM expression with concomitant high VIM promoter methylation levels (Figure 2A and 3A).

In J82 and TCCSUP cells, 1μM DAC exposure significantly increased VIM protein levels (p<0.01 and p<0.0001, respectively; Figure 3B), and the same was observed in TCCSUP cells treated with both drugs (p<0.001), compared to mock cells. Cell lines treated with TSA only, did not disclose variation of VIM expression levels. When VIM methylation levels were assessed in the same treated cell lines, for the same conditions, lower methylation levels were observed in cells treated with DAC and/or DAC+TSA (Figure 3C), supporting a role for promoter methylation in VIM expression regulation in BlCa cells.
**Figure 4:** ChIP-qPCR results for (A) J82 and (B) TCCSUP cell line concerning acH3, H3K4me3, H3K36me2 and H4K20me3 histones marks across VIM promoter, after treatment with epigenetic modulating drugs. (C) ChIP-seq representative results for NHU cells across VIM gene promoter and body. (D) ChIP-qPCR results for NHU cells concerning H3K9ac, H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 histones marks across VIM promoter. Results are normalized with the input of total sonicated chromatin.

**Regulation of VIM expression by histone posttranslational modifications**

To determine whether histones PTMs might also contribute to VIM expression regulation, ChIP-qPCR analysis for known repressive and activating PTMs was performed in the same cell lines exposed to epigenetic drugs. For TCCSUP cell line, treatment with TSA (alone or in combination) significantly increased the deposit of AcH3, H3K4me3 and H3K36me2 active marks across VIM promoter (Figure 4B), corroborating the previously observed increase in protein levels for the same conditions (Figure 3B). Although no significant increment in VIM protein levels was previously observed in J82 cells exposed to TSA or DAC+TSA, similar deposit of active marks across VIM promoter was depicted by ChIP (Figure 5A). For both cell lines, a decrease in H4K20me3 repressive mark was also observed, in all treatment conditions (Figure 4A and B).

Because the previous results suggested that PTMs might play a role in VIM regulation and considering that VIM methylation was not detected in normal urothelial cells, we hypothesized whether PTMs might be involved in VIM downregulation in normal urothelium. Thus, ChIP-qPCR for known repressive and activating PTMs was performed in SVHUC1 immortalized (normal) urothelial cell line. Interestingly, high levels of two active marks – H3K27ac and H3K36me2 – and lower levels of two repressive marks - H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 – were detected across VIM promoter in this cell line (Figure S3), which may explain the low/moderate VIM protein levels previously detected in these cells (Figure 3). Subsequently, ChIP-seq was performed in a primary normal human urothelial cell line, followed...
by validation with ChIP-qPCR for the most relevant PTMs. Remarkably, lower levels of active PTMs – H3K9ac and H3K27ac – with concomitant higher levels of repressive marks deposit - H3K4me3, H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 – was observed, suggesting that histones PTMs are indeed important for the repression of VIM expression in normal urothelium (Figure 4C and D).

**Phenotypic impact of VIM modulation in BlCa cells**

To assess the phenotypic impact of VIM deregulation in BlCa, UMUC3 cells were selected to perform VIM forced knockdown, as this cell line disclosed the highest protein expression (Figure 2). After CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene knockdown, a significant reduction in VIM protein levels (approximately 60%) was achieved (p = 0.0022; Figure 5A).

Morphological alterations were also observed in UMUC3KD cells, displaying cobblestone (i.e., epithelial-like) features with increased cell-cell contacts, whereas UMUC3CTRL cells depicted an elongated (mesenchymal-like) shape (Figure 5B). The observed morphological differences were corroborated by morphometric analysis: UMUC3KD cells disclosed significantly higher roundness and decreased (length/width) aspect ratio parameter (Figure 5C).

Although no significant differences in cell viability (Figure 5D) were found between UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL, cell invasion and migration were decreased in VIM knockdown cells (Figure 5E, F and G).

**VIM knockdown effect in In vivo tumor formation**

Overall, cells harboring VIM knockdown presented significantly reduced microtumors’ size in CAM, comparing with those originated from UMUC3CTRL cells (p = 0.0003, Figure 6A and B). These results were paralleled by the decreased number of formed blood vessels (p = 0.0003, Figure 6A and C).

**Discussion**

Although clinical management and molecular characterization of BlCa have progressed considerably over the past few years, it remains a foremost health concern, due to high incidence and recurrence rates, entailing significant patient morbidity and economic burden. Thus, identification of more accurate biomarkers which might perfect disease monitoring and prognostication are deemed to have significant clinical and societal impact. In our previous studies, VIM surfaced as potentially useful BlCa biomarker, especially quantitative promoter methylation, which disclosed diagnostic and prognostic value [8, 9, 11]. Herein, we sought to extend those findings, looking for a putative epigenetic regulation of VIM expression, impacting on BlCa aggressiveness.

---

**Figure 5: VIM downregulation impairs migration and invasiveness in bladder cancer cells** (A) Protein expression of VIM in UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD cells by Western Blot. (B) Representative phase-contrast images of UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD cells. (C) Cell morphometric parameters – roundness and aspect ratio (cell length/ cell width) – analysis in UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD cells. Effect of VIM knockdown in UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD cells at (D) cell viability by Resazurin assay, (E) cell migration by wound-healing assay and by (F) polycarbonate insert chambers, and (G) cell invasion by BD Biocoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001; results are representative of three independent experiments with mean±SD, each of them in triplicates.
Figure 6: Knockdown of VIM attenuates the malignant phenotype in vivo. (A) Macroscopic view of tumor formation (in ovo and ex ovo) and neo-angiogenesis UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD experimental conditions. Distribution of macroscopic (B) tumor size and (C) number of peri-tumor vessels in UMUC3CTRL and UMUC3KD experimental conditions; ***p<0.001.

**VIM** expression and methylation analysis of normal and cancerous (both primary and metastatic) urothelial tissues, confirmed our previous results concerning the specificity of **VIM** promoter methylation in BlCa vs. normal urothelium [8, 9, 11]. Nonetheless, normal urothelium disclosed very low or even absent levels of **VIM** expression, whereas BlCa tissues showed increased expression. Moreover, metastatic lesions disclosed higher **VIM** expression and lower methylation levels, compared to primary BlCa. **VIM** is an intermediate filament, characteristic of cells with mesenchymal phenotype, not expressed in most normal epithelia (including urothelium) nor carcinomas [34]. However, **VIM** de-novo expression or overexpression has been reported in various epithelial cancers, including those of prostate, breast, and lung, associating with increased tumor growth, invasion and poor prognosis [20, 21, 35]. Those findings have been related with EMT, a biological process underlying invasive and metastatic properties of malignant epithelial cells. Thus, **VIM** expression pattern in NB, primary and metastatic BlCa is consistent with the acquisition of EMT traits by invasive and metastatic neoplastic urothelial cells. As to **VIM** promoter methylation, our findings suggest that it develops during neoplastic transformation, eventually as a bystander alteration in primary tumors, but modulated in secondary lesions to accomplish more effective EMT in metastatic cells.

Although **VIM** promoter methylation and expression patterns in tissues were not fully consistent with a regulatory role, exposure of BlCa cell lines to demethylating agent DAC resulted in increased **VIM** expression, confirming that, indeed, **VIM** promoter methylation is involved in gene expression regulation. Nonetheless, additional regulatory mechanisms are required and characterization of histone PTMs across **VIM** promoter in those cell lines uncovered the importance of those epigenetic regulatory mechanisms in the same gene. Remarkably, in a primary normal urothelial cell line, ChIP experiments disclosed deposit of repressive marks at the expense of active PTMs, suggesting that this mechanism (but not promoter methylation) is determinant for maintaining low **VIM** levels in those normal cells. Thus, we may conclude that both promoter methylation and histone PTMs have important regulatory functions in **VIM** expression in urothelial cells, with histone PTMs playing the foremost role in **VIM** silencing in normal
cells, whereas they act in concert with promoter methylation in cancerous cells to modulate VIM expression accordingly to the intensity of invasive and metastatic behavior, orchestrated through EMT.

This hypothesis is further supported by the results of CDH1 and CDH2 expression in BlCa tissues and its significant, although weak, correlation with VIM transcript levels, which disclosed CDH1 downregulation and CDH2 and VIM upregulation in MIBC compared to NMIBC, a pattern which is consistent with ongoing EMT in invasive urothelial cells. The impact of VIM expression in cell phenotype was further demonstrated, as malignant urothelial cells with downregulated VIM expression (re)acquired a more epithelial-like morphology (denoting reversion of EMT, or MET) and impaired cell motility, decreasing both cell migration and cell invasion capabilities, although it did not affect cell viability. Importantly, the absence of VIM expression significantly diminished tumor growth in CAM, as well as of neoformed blood vessels. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study characterizing the “Vimentin switch” occurring in urothelial carcinogenesis, also demonstrating its biological relevance concerning migratory capabilities of neoplastic cells and tumor formation. Interestingly, we had previously shown that patients with NMIBC disclosing higher VIM expression endured poorer disease-free survival, with increased expression depicted along the sequence NB-NMIBC-MIBC-Metastases [36]. Furthermore, VIM expression has been also associated with BlCa grade and stage. Indeed, Baumgart et al. found that VIM expression was mainly detected in invasive BlCa (31% in MIBC vs. 7% in NMIBC) and positively associated with tumor grade and stage, whereas Paliwal et al. found that VIM immunoexpression correlates with BlCa stage and grade [37, 38]. Thus, VIM expression is closely associated with invasive and metastatic properties of malignant urothelial cells and may serve as a marker of disease aggressiveness.

A major limitation of our study is the limited number of cases analyzed. Thus, a larger and, ideally, multicenter study, is required to validate our findings. Moreover, the assessment of non-coding RNAs function in VIM regulation would further enlighten its implication in bladder carcinogenesis. Nonetheless, it should be emphasized that our findings are coherent, confirming and extending previous observations from our research team and others, concerning the role of VIM in BlCa progression.

In conclusion, our findings, based on the analysis of urothelial tissue samples and modulated cell lines, further support that VIM is implicated in acquisition of malignant urothelial phenotype. We propose a model in which VIM expression is repressed in normal urothelium, mostly through histone PTMs, whereas VIM promoter methylation is acquired during neoplastic transformation, most likely as a passenger alteration. Then, neoplastic cells undergo EMT with increased VIM expression achieved through decreased promoter methylation in concert with active histone PTMs acquisition, enabling tumor growth and favoring local invasion and systemic dissemination, fostering disease progression.

Materials and methods

Patients and Samples

Patients (n=108) with primary BlCa, treated with transurethral resection (TUR) or radical cystectomy, between 1991 and 2011 at Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto (IPO Porto), with available frozen and formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue at the Department of Pathology, were included in this study. A set of 36 morphologically normal bladder mucosa (NB) tissue samples was obtained from BlCa-free individuals (prostate cancer patients submitted to radical prostatectomy) and served as controls. Additionally, a set of FFPE tissue samples from 28 metastasis (Met) of BlCa patients were also collected. All primary specimens were fresh-frozen at -80°C and subsequently cut in a cryostat for confirmation of representativity and nucleic acid extraction. From each specimen, fragments were collected, formalin-fixed, and paraffin-embedded for routine histopathological examination, including grading and pathological staging, by a dedicated uropathologist [39]. Relevant clinical data was collected from clinical charts (Table 1). Patients and controls were enrolled after informed consent. This study was approved by the institutional review board (Comissão de Ética para a Saúde) of IPO Porto (CES015-2016). The CIT cohort (Carte d’Identité des Tumeurs) including 98 NMIBC, 101 MIBC and 4 normal urothelium was previously described in Rebouissou et al. and Biton et al., and the TCGA cohort including 412 MIBC in Robertson et al., 2017 [40-42].

Cell lines

BlCa cell lines (RT112, MGHU3, 5637, J82, T24, UMUC3 and TCCSUP) and normal bladder cell line SV-HUC1, available at our lab, were selected for this study. All cell lines were purchased from ATCC and grown using recommended medium (Biochrom-Merck, Berlin, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biochrom) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (GBICO, Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO2. Additionally, a primary cell line culture
from normal human urothelium (NHU), kindly provided by Dr. Isabelle Pierrot (Institut Curie, Paris, France) was also cultured for ChIP-qPCR assays. Establishment and culture conditions are described in Neyret-Kahn et al [43]. Mycoplasma testing was regularly performed (every two weeks) in all cell lines, using TaKaRa PCR Mycoplasma Detection Set (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, EUA).

Table 1. - Clinical and histopathological parameters of Bladder Cancer patients, and gender and age distribution of control set individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological features</th>
<th>Bladder Cancer</th>
<th>Normal Urothelium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>78 (72)</td>
<td>23 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>30 (28)</td>
<td>13 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, yrs (range)</td>
<td>69 (45-91)</td>
<td>63 (48-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary, low-grade</td>
<td>37 (34)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary, high-grade</td>
<td>31 (29)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive, high-grade</td>
<td>40 (37)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological Stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTa/pT1 (NMIBC)</td>
<td>68 (63)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT2-4 (MIBC)</td>
<td>4 (37)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(n = number; yrs = years; pT = pathological stage; NMIBC = non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; MIBC = muscle invasive bladder cancer).

DNA isolation, Bisulfite Modification and Quantitative Methylation Specific PCR (qMSP) Analysis

DNA was extracted from frozen BlCa and NB tissues and cell lines using a standard phenol-chloroform protocol [45], and its concentration determined using a Qubit 3 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Bisulfite modification was performed through sodium bisulfite, using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold™ Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), according to manufacturer’s protocol. For this, 1000 ng of DNA were converted. Quantitative methylation levels were performed using Xpert Fast Probe Master Mix (GRiSP, Porto, Portugal), in 96-well plates using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Sequence Detector (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, CA, USA), with Beta-Actin (ACTB) as internal reference gene for normalization. Primer and probe sequences were designed using Methyl Primer Express 1.0 and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) (Table S2). Additionally, six serial dilutions (dilution factor of 5 ×) of a fully methylated bisulphite modified universal DNA control were included in each plate to generate a standard curve. In each sample and for each gene, the relative DNA methylation levels were determined using the following formula: \((\text{target gene/ACTB}) \times 1000\). A run was considered valid when previously reported criteria were met [8]. For TCGA cohort, 450k methylation array data available for 386 MIBC were analysed using Wanderer tool with a focus on VIM locus [46].

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed using the Novolink™ Max Polymer Detection System (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Three-µm thick tissues sections from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded BlCa, NU and Met samples were cut, deparaffinized and rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was accomplished by microwaving the specimens at
800W for 10 minutes in 10mM sodium citrate buffer, pH=6. After, endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked, primary monoclonal antibody for VIM (NCL-L-VIM-V9, Leica) was used in 1:100 dilution, and incubated at room-temperature (RT) for one hour. Then, 3, 3’diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich™) was used as chromogen for visualization and slides were mounted with Entellan® (Merck-Millipore, Burlington, MA, EUA). Normal tonsil tissue, showing intense VIM immunoreactivity was used as positive control. VIM immunoexpression was evaluated by a dedicated uropathologist and cases were classified using a semi-quantitative scale for both staining intensity (0—no staining; 1—intensity lower than normal urothelium; 2—intensity equal to normal urothelium; 3—intensity higher than normal urothelium) and percentage of positive cells (0—< 10%; 1—10-33%; 2—33-67%; 3—>67%), in each tumor. Staining intensity and percentage of positive cell results were then combined into a single score (Score S = staining intensity x percentage of positive cells) assigned to each tumor.

**Cell lines treatment with epigenetic drugs**

Cell lines TCCSUP and J82 were grown, until 20 to 30% confluence was reached, in 75cm³ cell culture flasks. Then, media containing the corresponding drug(s) - a pharmacologic inhibitor of DNMTs, 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (DAC) (Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany) and/or a pan-inhibitor of HDAC, Trichostatin A (TSA) (Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany) - were added at 1µM and 0.5µM concentrations, respectively. Culture medium and appropriate drug(s) were renewed every 24h, on a total of 72h. Mock cells served as controls as they were submitted to the medium change procedure but were only exposed to drug(s) vehicle(s). All treatment experiments were done in triplicate. On the fourth day of the treatment schedule, cells were harvested by trypsinization and centrifuged. Then, either pellets were washed in PBS 1x and stored at -80oC for DNA extraction or were immediately processed for protein extraction and subsequent western blot analysis for VIM expression.

**VIM gene knockdown**

*VIM* knockdown was performed through CRISPR/Cas9 system, delivered to cells through a plasmid including a specific guide RNA (gRNA) sequence targeting *VIM*, and puromycin-resistance gene (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ, EUA). Briefly, UMUC3 cells were seeded in 24 well/plates and let to grow until approximately 85% confluence. Then, transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, USA), and cells were incubated with the plasmid for 48h. Subsequently, 1µg/mL of puromycin dihydrochloride (Clontech Laboratories) was added to select stably transfected cells (UMUC3KD). Control cells were generated by transfecting UMUC3 cell line with an empty gRNA construct (GenScript) following the same above-mentioned transfection and selection conditions (UMUC3CTRL). Cells were then grown until confluence, and passed at least two times, until protein extraction and subsequent western blot analysis for VIM expression.

**Protein extraction and Western Blot (WB) analysis**

SVHUC1, UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cell lines were grown until 80% confluence and homogenized in Kinexus lysis buffer supplemented with proteases inhibitors cocktail. Then, cells were sonicated for 5 cycles of 30 seconds ON and 30 seconds OFF (Bioruptorâ, Diagenode, Liège, Belgium). After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected, and total protein was quantified according to the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), according to the manufacture procedure.

Thirty µg total protein were separated in 10% polyacrylamide gel by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto an immunoblot PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) in a 25mM Tris-base/ glycine buffer using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TBS/0.1% Tween (TBS/T pH=7.6) for 2h at RT. After incubation with VIM primary antibody (NCL-L-VIM-V9, Leica), membranes were washed in TBS/T and incubated with secondary antibody coupled with horseradish peroxidase, for 1h at RT. Binding was visualized by chemiluminescence (Clarity WB ECL substrate, Bio-Rad) and quantification was performed using band densitometry analysis from the ImageJ software (version 1.6.1, National Institutes of Health). β-Actin (A1978, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as loading control.

**Immunofluorescence (IF) and Morphometric analysis**

SVHUC1, UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cell lines were seeded on cover slips at 20,000 cells/well, overnight. Briefly, cells were fixed in methanol during 10min and then blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) during 30min. After overnight VIM (1:150, #3195, Cell Signaling Technology) incubation at room temperature, cells were incubated with secondary antibody anti-rabbit IgG-TRITC (1:500, T6778, Sigma-Aldrich) during 1h at RT. Finally, after washing in 1X PBS, cells were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (AR1176,
BOSTER Biological Technologies) in mounting medium. Pictures were taken in a fluorescence microscope Olympus IX51 with a digital camera Olympus XM10 using CellSens software (Olympus Corporation, Shinjuku, Japan). Cell morphometric parameters – roundness and aspect ratio (cell length/ cell width) - were calculated for UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cells using the ImageJ software, in three independent experiments.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was performed in J82, TCCSUP, SVHUC1 and NHU cells, following a previously published protocol [47]. ChIP-grade antibodies for specific PTMs (Table S3), positive control (RNA polymerase II) and negative control (mouse IgG), were used at assay dependent concentration. For qPCR, two pairs of primers for VIM promoter were designed, both for ~325bp (VIM A) before TSS (F—5’- TAGTGACGAGAGACAC-3’, R—5’-GGAAGATGCATGGAGGATG-3’) and for ~600bp (VIM B) before TSS (F—5’-CTGAACTGATACAGTGGCAAGTGA-3’, R—5’TACAGGATATGCATGCCAAAG-3’). RT-qPCR was performed as mentioned above, and the relative amount of promoter DNA was normalized using Input Percent method. ChIPseq was performed in NHU proliferating cells and analyzed as previously described in Neyret-Kahn et al [43].

**Cell viability assay**

Resazurin viability assay, (Canvax Biotech, Córdoba, Spain) was performed for UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cells at 24h, 48h and 72h. Briefly, cells were plated into 96-well plates in medium at density of 3000 cells/well and incubated overnight, at 37ºC in 5% CO2. For each time point, cells were incubated for 3 hours at 37ºC with 1:10 Resazurin solution in culture medium. Then, the solution was removed, and fluorescence measurement was carried out at wavelength 530-570 nm excitation and 585-590 nm emission in a microplate reader (Fluostar Omega, BMG Labtech, Germany). The ODs obtained for each time point were all normalized for the 0 hours-time point. All experiments were performed in biological triplicates, each with experimental triplicates.

**Wound healing assay**

UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cells were seeded in 6-well plate at a density of 7.5x10⁶ cell/well and allowed reach confluence at 37ºC, 5% CO2. Then, a “wound” was made by manual scratching with a 200 µL pipette tip and cells were gently washed with 1X PBS. The “wounded” areas were photographed in specific wound sites (two sites for each wound) at 40x magnification using an Olympus IX51 inverted microscope equipped with an Olympus XM10 Digital Camera System every 12h until wound closure. The relative migration distance (5 measures by wound) was calculated with the following formula: relative migration distance (%) = (A–B)/C x100, where A is the width of cell wound at 0h incubation, B is the width of cell wound after specific hours of incubation, and C is the width mean of cell wound for 0h of incubation. For relative migration distance, the results were analyzed using the beWound-Cell Migration Tool (Version 1.5) (developed by A.H.J. Moreira, S. Queirós and J.L. Vilaça, Biomedical Engineering Solutions Research Group, Life and Health Sciences Research Institute- University of Minho; available at http://www. besurg.com/sites/default/files/beWoundApp.zip). At least three independent experiments were performed.

**Migration and Invasion assays with insert chambers**

Migration and invasion capacities were assessed for UMUC3KD and UMUC3CTRL cells using polycarbonate insert chambers (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and BD BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Biosciences), respectively. After rehydration of inserts in DMEM medium for 2 hours at 37ºC, cells were seeded at density of 4.5x10⁴ cells/insert and incubated 24h at 37ºC in 5% CO2. Then, non-migrating/non-invading cells were removed by swab and migrated/invaded cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 2 min and with cold methanol during 20min, followed by cell staining with Cristal Violet for 10min. Membranes were photographed in Olympus SZx16 stereomicroscope (10x magnification), and migrating/invading cells were counted using the Image J software (version 1.41; National Institutes of Health). At least three independent experiments were performed for each condition.

**Chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) Assay**

Fresh fertilized eggs (PintoBar, Lda, Portugal) were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified environment. After 6 days of embryonic development, a window was opened into the eggshell under aseptic conditions. On day 10, UMUC3KD or UMUC3CTRL cells suspensions in growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) were seeded on CAM. On day 17, microtumor images were obtained, and tumors were dissected, formalin fixed and included in paraffin. Relative perimeter in ovo was assessed using CellSens software (version V0116, Olympus). Ex ovo microphotographs were obtained for blood vessels counting, using Image J software.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using
IBM® SPSS® Statistic software version 23 (IBM-SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and graphs were built using GraphPad Prim 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Significance level was set at p<0.05, and Bonferroni’s correction was used when appropriate. Mann-Whitney U test (MW) was used to test for differences in VIM expression or methylation levels between NB and BlCa, pathological stages of cases divided into Ta-1 (non-muscle invasive BlCa, NMIBC) and T2-4 (muscle invasive BlCa, MIBC), and patients’ gender, and to assess differences in UMUC3CD and UMUC3TRL conditions. Kruskall-Wallis test (KW) was performed to test for differences among more than two groups of samples then followed by MW test when appropriate. Spearman’s rho was used to assess the correlation between VIM expression or methylation levels and age of the patients at diagnosis, and between VIM and CDH1, CDH2 or CDH3 transcript levels. Associations between clinical grade or pathological stage and immunoexpression results were assessed by chi-square or Fisher’s exact test, and Somers’ D directional measure was also computed.

Disease-specific and disease-free survival curves (Kaplan-Meier with log rank test) were computed for standard variables (tumor stage and grade) and for categorized VIM transcript and methylation levels separately for NMIBC and MIBC cases. A Cox-regression model comprising all significant variables (univariable and multivariable model) was computed to assess the relative contribution of each variable to the follow-up status.
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