Abstract

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is the most common cause of chronic liver-related morbidity and mortality. Though high fructose intake is acknowledged as a metabolic hazard, its role in the etiology of MASLD requires further clarification. Here, we demonstrated that high dietary fructose drives MASLD development and promotes MASLD progression in mice, and identified Usp2 as a fructose-responsive gene in the liver. Elevated USP2 levels were detected in the hepatocytes of MASLD mice; a similar increase was observed following fructose exposure in primary hepatocytes and mouse AML12 cells. Notably, hepatocytes overexpressing USP2 presented with exaggerated lipid accumulation and metabolic inflammation when exposed to fructose. Conversely, USP2 knockdown mitigated these fructose-induced changes. Furthermore, USP2 was found to activate the C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling, which further impacted the equilibrium of cortisol and cortisone in the circulation of mice. Collectively, our findings revealed the role of dietary fructose in MASLD pathogenesis and identified the USP2-mediated C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling as a potential target for the management of MASLD.
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Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), which is the replacement term for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), represents a predominant chronic hepatic disorder and affects an estimated two-fifths of the world population. The trajectory of MASLD spans from benign steatosis to a more aggressive form, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis (MASH), and may progress to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Recently, the FDA approved Resmetirom as a pharmacologic choice for non-cirrhotic MASH patients with fibrosis at moderate to advanced stages. Still, lifestyle modification remains the principal therapeutic strategy for MASLD. Nutritional composition, particularly the interplay of carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins, is fundamentally linked to hepatometabolic integrity. Notably, high fructose intake is increasingly recognized as a major dietary contributor to MASLD. The link between excessive fructose consumption, enhanced hepatic fat deposition, and insulin resistance (IR) has been well-established. A notable cohort study reported that high fructose intake elevated the risk of MASLD threefold, raising concerns about its significant impact on disease pathogenesis. Conversely, strategic inhibition of fructose metabolism has shown therapeutic potential, as seen in a phase 2a clinical trial where PF-0683591 improved liver steatosis and...
inflammation in affected individuals. Moreover, fructose penetrates adipose tissue metabolism, as evidenced by altered insulin sensitivity, increased high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels, and augmented lipid oxidation, potentially aggravating MASLD-related complications. These findings advocate for a holistic approach in addressing fructose-related metabolic disruptions.

Within the alimentary tract, dietary fructose is swiftly assimilated through intestinal uptake and directed towards hepatic metabolism. Unlike glucose, which is readily converted into glycogen for storage in the liver, fructose preferentially fuels de novo lipogenesis (DNL), culminating in lipid deposition in hepatic cells. Excessive fructose exposure could also inhibit fatty acid oxidation in the liver, intensify IR, and elevate serum uric acid (UA) concentrations. Nevertheless, the exact mechanisms by which fructose incites MASLD remain to be fully elucidated.

Recent investigations have highlighted the complex role of ubiquitin-specific peptidase 2 (USP2) in liver metabolic homeostasis. USP2 modulates glucose and lipid metabolism, insulin sensitivity, and inflammatory processes. Enhanced USP2 expression boosts glucose production and aggravates glucose intolerance in obese mice. In macrophages, USP2 promotes inflammation by activating the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) pathway. Moreover, USP2 encourages lipid absorption by enhancing low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) stability and compromising the actions of an inducible degrader of the LDLR (IDOL). Importantly, by deubiquitinating CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha (C/EBPα), USP2 also regulates 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1), thereby playing a vital role in the homeostasis of glucocorticoids within liver cells.

In this study, we found that high dietary fructose consumption promotes MASLD development and progression in C57BL/6j mice, identified Usp2 as a specific fructose-responsive gene, and revealed that the USP2-mediated C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling is involved in disrupting cortisol homeostasis. Our results suggested that fructose-induced hepatic and metabolic disorders are intricately linked to the regulation of glucocorticoid homeostasis via the USP2/11β-HSD1 pathway.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male C57BL/6j mice, 8-12 weeks old, were anesthetized with 2% pentobarbital via intraperitoneal injection. Thoroughly perfuse the liver through the inferior vena cava with EGTA buffer (136.89mM NaCl, 5.37mM KCl, 0.64mM NaH2PO4·H2O, 0.85mM Na2HPO4, 9.99mM HEPES, 4.17mM NaHCO3, 0.5mM EGTA, and 5mM glucose), enzyme buffer (136.89mM NaCl, 5.37mM KCl, 0.64mM NaH2PO4·H2O, 0.85mM Na2HPO4, 9.99mM HEPES, 4.17mM NaHCO3, and 3.81mM CaCl2·H2O) containing 100CDU/mL collagenase (Sigma C0130-1G) sequentially, at a rate of 5mL/min for 3min and 7min, respectively. Tear up liver tissue and shake it thoroughly at 38°C for 15min, and filter it using a 100μM strainer. Then, repeatedly centrifuged liver tissue suspension at 50G for 2min to obtain fully dispersed hepatocyte particles. Plant these hepatocytes on the 6-well plates and routine cultivation with DMEM/F12(1:1) (Gibco C11330-010B) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Lonsera S711-001S), 10⁻⁸ M dexamethasone (Sigma d1756), 10⁻⁸ insulin (Macklin R917753), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco 15140-122).
Cell culture

Mouse primary hepatocytes and murine cell line AML12 cells (Shanghai Cell Bank, China) were cultured in DMEM/F-12 (1:1) (Gibco C11330500B) medium with 10% fetal bovine (Lonsera 5711-0015) and 1% Pen Strept (Gibco 15140-122) at 37°C in a Forma™II WATER JACKET incubator (Thermo Scientific, USA) with 5% CO₂. The cells were treated with FFA (PA 100μM: OA 200μM), Fr (fructose 88.8mM), or Cortisol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, 1μM), respectively.

Transient transfection

Overexpression (OE) and knockdown (KD) of Usp2 in cells were established with a plasmid (Genomeditech, China) (Table 1) via transient transfection. Transfection begins when the cell fusion rate is about 70%. Transfection solution is prepared according to the following steps: Firstly, the lipofectamine mixture, consisting of 20μL Opti-MEM (Gibco 31985-070) and 8μL Lipofectamine (Invitrogen 11668-019), was incubated for 5min. Next, the plasmid mixture, composed of 200μL Opti-MEM and 3.2ug plasmid, was prepared. Mix the above two for 20 minutes and add 400μL to each well. After 24 hours, the transfection solution was replaced with a regular culture medium for another 48 hours. The cells and their culture supernatant were harvested for the following detection.

Biochemical indicators

The serum biochemical indicators, including triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (CHOL), low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-c), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were detected by a TBA-40FR automatic biochemical analyzer (Toshiba, Japan) according to the testing procedures of manufacturers. Commercially Mlbio ELISA kits were used to measure the levels of cortisol (#m1001959-2), cortisone (#m1460921), interleukin (IL)-6 (#m1063159-2), IL-1β (#m1301814-2), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (#m1002095-2); and the TG assay kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute #A110-1-1) was purchased to test the cellular lipid content.

Histological examination

The histological examination was performed according to the established protocol23. Tissue samples: After conventional paraffin embedding, the tissue sections were cut evenly to 5μM thickness for H&E staining (Biosharp BL735B-2), and hematoxylin (Kohypath KH-HEMH-OT-500) was used to stain the nucleus. The middle part of the largest lobe of fresh liver were harvested and cut to 8μM thickness for oil red O (ORO) staining (Sigma Aldrich 00625), and hematoxylin was used to stain the nucleus. Paraffin-embedded blocks were cut into 3μM thickness for immunohistochemical (IHC) experiment: SABC immunohistochemical staining kit (Boster SA2002) was used, primary antibodies include F4/80 (CST 70076S, 1:300), CD68 (CST 97778, 1:300), and USP2 (Proteintech 10392-1-AP, 1:200). Photos were taken using Stratafaxes II microscopes and cell imaging system (Tissuegnostics). Cell samples: Fresh cells were used for ORO staining24, and photos were taken under an AXIO Vert.A1 microscope (Zeiss) immediately. Fresh cells were used for Lipi-Red staining (Dojindo LD03), DAPI (Beyotime C1006) was used to stain the nuclear, and the operation manual was strictly followed. Immunofluorescence assay was performed as reported25. The primary antibody USP2 (Protein 10392-1-AP, 1:200) and fluorescent secondary antibody (Invitrogen A11304, 1:1000) were used. All these photos were taken under the Image X press® Micro4 equipment (Molecular).

Western blot

The protein sample was prepared26 and quantified using a BCA kit (Beyotime P0012). Express Plus™ PAGE Gels 4-20% (Genscript M42015C) was used for protein electrophoresis. Western blot experiment was performed on a Mini-Protean® Tetra System electrophoresis apparatus (Bio-Rad, China) (60V) and a rapid film transfer instrument Electrophoresis (Genscript, China) (regular 10min), sequentially. After 30min of isolation in protein-free rapid blocking buffer (Epizyme, China), incubate the primary antibody (USP2, Protein 10392-1-AP, 1:500; C/EBP α, Abclonal A0904, 1:500; 11β-HSD1, Abclonal A1619, 1:500) overnight at 4°C. Incubation with the Goat anti-rabbit IgG (CST 7074s, 1:2000) at room temperature for 1 hour. Using an Omni-ECL™ Femto Light Chemiluminescence Kit (Epizyme, China), immunoblotting was visualized on the Tanon-5200 Chemiluminescent Imaging System (Tanon Science and technology).

Real-Time Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

The total mRNA was extracted using the Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) method. In short, RNA after concentration test on Nanodrop 2000...
spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) was reversely transcribed into cDNA using the reverse transcription kit (Accurate Biology, China). qPCR was performed on Quantstudio® Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the method of SYBR Green (Accurate Biology AG11701). Apply the 2^ΔΔCT method, the expression of target genes was related to β-actin. Relative sequences of the primers (Shanghai Shanjin Biotechnology, China) were shown in Table 2.

**RNA Sequence (RNA-Seq)**

Fresh liver samples (n=5/group) were collected for RNA-Seq[27]. RNA quality and concentration assessment were performed using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with a 2100 RNA nano 6000 assay kit (Agilent Technologies, USA), respectively. Post-quality control, eukaryotic mRNA with poly-A tails was enriched using the TIANseq mRNA Capture Kit (Tiangen, China). Subsequently, transcriptome sequencing libraries were constructed from the enriched RNA using the TIANseq Fast RNA Library Kit (Illumina, USA). Library quantification was conducted with a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies) and diluted to 1ng/µL, followed by insert size validation on Agilent 2100 and precise quantification through quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) (library activity > 2nM). The index-coded samples underwent clustering with a cBot Cluster Generation System using a TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumina, USA), as per manufacturer protocols. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina platform, yielding 150 bp paired-end reads.

Data analysis was conducted on high-quality reads, filtered through internal Perl scripts. Gene expression levels were estimated using FPKM. DESeq2 R package was employed for differential expression analysis between groups, adjusting p-values with Benjamini and Hochberg’s method to manage the false discovery rate. Genes were deemed differentially expressed with p<0.05 as per DESeq2. An adjusted p-value of 0.05 was the criteria for significant differential expression. The Cluster Profiler R package facilitated statistical enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes within KEGG pathways.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS software (version 26.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze all the data, the data were displayed as means ± SD. Differential analysis between two or more groups were performed by Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), respectively. Statistically significance was set as p<0.05.

**Results**

**High dietary fructose drives the development of MASLD**

To investigate the impact of dietary fructose on MASLD, we fed C57BL/6j mice CD with tap water (Con group), CD with HFCS in drinking water (Fr group) or WD with HFCS in drinking water (WDF group) (Fig. 1A). During the 20 weeks feeding period, mice in Fr group tended to consume more water but less food (Fig. S1A&B), which may partially explain the body weight decrease in mice (Fig. 1B). However, fructose exposure resulted in increased liver-to-body weight ratio (Fig. 1C). High fructose administration significantly increased lipid accumulation in the liver, as evidenced by H&E and ORO staining (Fig. 1D&E) as well as the quantification of liver CHOL content (Fig. S1C). Concomitantly, serum levels of LDL-c in fructose-exposed mice were increased (Fig. 1F). WDF mice exhibited a more pronounced increase in body weight and hepatic lipid accumulation compared to Fr mice (Fig. 1B-E; Fig. S1C), indicating fructose could amplify the lipid accumulation in the liver.

High fructose consumption also resulted in increased infiltration of inflammatory cells in the liver and higher inflammatory scores (Fig. 1D&E). Highly activated macrophages are the typical feature of hepatic inflammation, we also observed an increase of positive staining of macrophage markers F4/80 and CD68 in the liver sections of HFCS-exposed mice (Fig. S1D&E). Furthermore, high fructose consumption promoted the secretion of inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the liver (Fig. S1E). When compared with Fr mice, the WDF mice exhibited more significant hepatic inflammation and increased NAS scores (Fig. 1D&E; Fig. S1D&E), suggesting an additive effect between fructose and WD in promoting MASLD. Additionally, serum levels of ALT, AST, and ALP were elevated in Fr mice and further amplified when combined with WD feeding (Fig. 1G). We also assessed the circulating cytokines, and found increased systemic inflammatory cytokines in the circulation of fructose-exposed mice (Fig. S1F). Together, these results revealed that high dietary fructose could drive the development of MASLD.

**High dietary fructose promotes MASLD progression**

To further elucidate the impact of high dietary fructose on MASLD, we fed the mice with 10-week WD to induce MASLD (WD group), and followed with a combination of HFCS and WD for another 10 weeks (WFr group) (Fig. 2A). Consistent with previous experiment, fructose-treated mice tended to consume more water and less food (Fig. S2A&B), and
the body weight was lower than WD mice but still higher than Con mice (Fig. 2B). Mice in the WD group exhibited the typical MASLD phenotype (Fig. 2C-E), whereas WFr mice demonstrated a more pronounced increase in liver-to-body weight ratio (Fig. 2C). We also observed that high fructose administration resulted in aggravated lipid accumulation in the liver, as evidenced by H&E and ORO staining (Fig. 2D&E) and the quantification of liver CHOL content (Fig. S2C). Additionally, serum levels of LDL-c and CHOL were elevated in fructose-exposed mice (Fig. 2F). Together, these findings implied that fructose could exaggerate lipid accumulation in the liver of mice with pre-existing fatty liver.

Table 2. Primer sequence for RT-qPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ubp2</td>
<td>CGATTGTGGCTACTGCTCTACAG</td>
<td>CAGCAAGTGCTCTACAC</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citbp</td>
<td>CAAGAACACAGACAGCAGCAGC</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTACCTACACAC</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsd11b1</td>
<td>GCCCTGAAGGACGAGGAGCAGC</td>
<td>TCGCAACAGGTCGAGTGTCG</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>TGACCTGGACATCCGAAAG</td>
<td>CTTGACCTGGACAGCGAGG</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. High dietary fructose drives the development of MASLD (A) The design of animal experiment; (B) Dynamic changes of body weight of mice; (C) Liver-to-body weight ratio; (D) Pathological staining of liver (magnification 400×): H&E staining and ORO staining of liver sections (magnification 400×); (E) NAS score; (F) Serum levels of TG, CHOL, and LDL-c; (G) Serum levels of ALT, AST, and ALP. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Con vs WDF: *; Con vs Fr: #. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; #p<0.05, ##p<0.01, ###p<0.001.
Although the hepatic scores of steatosis and ballooning were comparable between WD and WFr mice, high fructose consumption promoted liver inflammation (Fig. 2D&E), infiltration of macrophages (F4/80 and CD68) (Fig. S2D), and production of hepatic inflammatory cytokines (Fig. S2E). Furthermore, serum ALT, AST, and ALP levels in WD mice were further elevated by fructose supplementation (Fig. 2G). WD mice showed comparable levels of systemic inflammatory cytokines (serum IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α) with Con mice, while these indicators were raised in WFr mice (Fig. S2F).

Collectively, our results indicated that high dietary fructose might promote MASLD progression.

High fructose intake upregulates hepatic USP2 expression

To explore the mechanisms of how dietary fructose impacts MASLD, we performed RNA-Sequence (RNA-Seq) on the liver tissues of the mice. We firstly compared the genetic profiles of mice between the Con and Fr groups, the partial least
Fructose increases lipid accumulation and USP2 expression in hepatocytes

As USP2 is increased in the liver of fructose-treated mice, we then tried to determine the target cells. To investigate the basic expression of the Usp2 gene in the liver of mice, we compared the two subtypes of primary cells (primary hepatocytes and Kupffer cells), and found that the primary hepatocytes expressed higher mRNA levels of Usp2 in comparison to Kupffer cells (Fig. S3B). Since primary hepatocytes are the dominant cell type of the liver, the higher expression of Usp2 upon fructose treatment might be the main contributor to MASLD. We cultured primary hepatocytes with fructose (Fr), free fatty acid (FFA) (oleic acid: palmitic acid =2:1), or the combination of Fr and FFA (FFr), respectively (Fig. 4A). We revealed that both fructose and FFA treatment increased the cellular TG levels in mouse primary hepatocytes compared with untreated Control cells (Fig. 4B), and enlargement of lipid droplets can be observed by Lipi-Red and ORO staining (Fig. 4C&D). Mouse primary hepatocytes that cultured with FFr showed more pronounced lipid accumulation than FFA-treated cells (Fig. 4B-D), indicating an additive effect of fructose and FFA on hepatocytes. The production of inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the medium was also significantly increased in hepatocytes exposed to fructose, while IL-6 and TNF-α levels in FFr-treated cells were higher than in FFA cells (Fig. 4E).

Fructose induces hepatocyte steatosis and inflammation via USP2

To confirm the role of USP2 in fructose-induced steatosis and inflammation, we overexpressed the Usp2 gene in primary hepatocytes, and subsequently treated these cells with FFr (Fig. 5A). The upregulation of Usp2 expression confirmed the success of gene transfection (Fig. 5B). Usp2 overexpressed primary hepatocytes showed comparable cellular TG content but increased IL-1β levels in comparison with vector-transfected cells when cultured in the conventional medium (Fig. S5A&B). Upon FFr treatment, the number and the size of lipid droplets were further increased (Fig. 5C&D), and cellular TG content was significantly augmented (Fig. 5E) in Usp2-overexpressed cells. Meanwhile, Usp2-overexpressed hepatocytes displayed aggressive inflammation, as evidenced by increased production of inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α (Fig. 5F). On the contrary, Usp2 knockdown (Fig. 5G&H) resulted in decreased size and number of lipid droplets (Fig. 5I&J), and reduced TG content (Fig. 5K) in FFr-exposed primary hepatocytes. Concurrently, Usp2 deficiency also decreased the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α, in mouse primary hepatocytes (Fig. 5L). While Usp2 knockdown showed comparable TG content but decreased the IL-1β levels with vector-transfected primary hepatocytes when cultured in the conventional medium (Fig. S5C&D). These findings were also confirmed by the results in AML12 cells (Fig. S5&6). Overall, the above results suggested that fructose induces hepatocyte steatosis and inflammation via USP2.
Figure 3. Fructose upregulates hepatic USP2 expression (A) Plot of PLSDA analysis between Con and Fr mice; (B) Heatmap of DEGs (p<0.05) between Con and Fr mice; (C) KEGG analysis between Con and Fr groups; (D) Plot of PLSDA analysis between WD and WDF groups. (E) Heatmap of DEGs (p<0.05) between WD and WDF groups; (F) KEGG analysis between WD and WDF groups; (G) Volcano plot of significant DEGs (p adj<0.05) of Fr vs Con, WDF vs WD, and WD vs Con, respectively; (H) Plot of co-responsive genes of Fr and WDF groups when compared to Con and WD groups, respectively; (I) Relative mRNA level of Usp2 gene in the liver; (J) Protein blotting of USP2 in the liver; (K) The immunohistochemical staining in the liver for USP2 protein (magnification 400×) and the quantification of the positively stained area. The quantification data are presented as mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
Figure 4. Fructose increases lipid accumulation and USP2 expression in primary hepatocytes. (A) The cell experiment flowchart; (B) The TG content of primary hepatocytes; (C) The Lipi-Red staining of primary hepatocytes (magnification 200×), the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (D) The ORO staining of primary hepatocytes (magnification 200×), the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (E) The levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the culture medium of primary hepatocytes; (F) The immunofluorescence for USP2 in primary hepatocytes (magnification 100×); (G) Relative mRNA level of Usp2 gene in primary hepatocytes; (H) Protein blotting of USP2 in primary hepatocytes. The quantification data are presented as mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
Figure 5. Fructose induces hepatocyte steatosis and inflammation via USP2 in primary hepatocytes. (A) The cell experiment flowchart of Usp2 overexpression in primary hepatocytes; (B) The mRNA expression of Usp2 gene in primary hepatocytes; (C) The Lipi-Red staining (magnification 200×) of Usp2-overexpressed primary hepatocytes, the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (D) The ORO staining (magnification 100×) of Usp2-overexpressed primary hepatocytes, the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (E) The TG content of Usp2-overexpressed primary hepatocytes; (F) The levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the culture medium of Usp2-overexpressed primary hepatocytes; (G) The cell experiment flowchart of Usp2 knockdown in primary hepatocytes; (H) The mRNA expression of Usp2 gene in primary hepatocytes; (I) The Lipi-Red staining (magnification 200×) of Usp2-knockdowned primary hepatocytes, the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (J) The ORO staining (magnification 100×) of Usp2-knockdowned primary hepatocytes, the bottom panel figures are amplification of the upper panel; (K) The TG content of Usp2-knockdowned primary hepatocytes; (L) The levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the culture medium of Usp2-knockdowned primary hepatocytes. The quantification data are presented as mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
The function of USP2 is dependent on C/EBPα/11β-HSD1

Previous research indicates that USP2 stabilizes C/EBPα, potentially leading to the upregulation of 11β-HSD1 expression in hepatocytes, which catalyzes the regeneration of active glucocorticoids. C/EBPα is a potent transcriptional factor of 11β-HSD1 in the liver, and activation of the USP2/ C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling may account for fructose effects on MASLD (Fig. 6A). We detected the potential downstream molecules of USP2 in primary hepatocytes treated with fructose, the expression of C/EBPα (C/ebpα) and 11β-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) was significantly increased both at mRNA (Fig. 6B&C) and protein level (Fig. 6D). However, the expression of C/EBPα and 11β-HSD1 was not statistically different between FFA-treated cells and control cells (Fig. 6B-D), suggesting the activation of C/EBPα and 11β-HSD1 signaling specifically occurred in fructose-treated cells. Additionally, we observed the same trend of changes in AML12 cells (Fig. S7A-C). Hepatocytes with Usp2 overexpression showed aggressive lipid accumulation and secretion of inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 5C-F), and the expression of C/EBPα (C/ebpα) and 11β-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) was also increased (Fig. 6E&F) upon fructose challenge. In contrast, Usp2-knockdown induced improvement of steatosis and inflammation (Fig. 5I-L), and downregulation of C/EBPα (C/ebpα) and 11β-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) expression in these cells (Fig. 6G&H). Consistent results were obtained in FFr-challenged AML12 cells with Usp2 overexpression or knockdown (Fig. S7D-G). Hence, we concluded that USP2 regulates steatosis and inflammation depending on the C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 pathway.

C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling is activated in high fructose-induced MASLD mice

To validate that USP2 mediated the C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 pathway, we detected their expression in the liver of HFCS-drinking mice. Consistent with in vitro findings, the expression of C/EBPα (C/ebpα) and 11β-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) were significantly increased in Fr and WDF groups (Fig. 7A-C). 11β-HSD1 is a widely distributed reductase, it regulates energy metabolism and inflammation by converting inactive cortisone into active cortisol, and the liver is the most important source of visceral cortisol. Therefore, we assessed the level of hormones, and found that fructose administration significantly increased serum cortisol levels and reduced cortisone levels (Fig. 7D). Correspondingly, the cortisol/ cortisone ratio was decreased, whereas the cortisol and cortisone levels were comparable between Con and WD mice (Fig. 7D). To further confirm the impact of cortisol on MASLD, we treated hepatocytes with cortisol, and found that cortisol exaggerated lipid accumulation in FFA- and Fr treated primary hepatocytes and AML12 cells (Fig. S8). Collectively, the above results suggested that high fructose might promote MASLD formation and progression via USP2/ C/EBPα/11β-HSD1 signaling pathway in the liver.

Discussion

In the present study, we found that high fructose promotes MASLD development and progression in C57BL/6J mice, and identified that USP2-mediated 11β-HSD1 signaling in the liver is crucial for MASLD induced by high fructose exposure.

High fructose consumption is widely recognized as a contributor to metabolic disorders. A cross-sectional survey among 283 Lebanese adults pinpointed an average fructose consumption of about 52g/day, comprising approximately one-tenth of total caloric intake. Human physiology, however, confronts challenges when metabolizing fructose beyond 25g/day, with industrially processed fructose, noted for its concentration and swift absorption, being particularly problematic. Research indicates that absorbed fructose can catalyze hepatic DNL both directly and indirectly, whereas unabsorbed fructose threatens metabolic homeostasis by forming glycation end products with proteins in the intestine. Subsequently, attempts to curtail fructose absorption and its metabolic effects have produced varied results in preventing or managing MASLD.

Natural fructose obtained from plants typically confers metabolic benefits due to its slower absorption rate and the presence of beneficial plant fiber and antioxidants. In contrast, industrial fructose sources such as HFCS and sucrose, particularly in liquid form, are rapidly absorbed and implicated in hepatic IR and MASLD. Notably, HFCS-rich drinks nearly triple the likelihood of developing MASLD. Animal models demonstrate that HFCS exposure heightens body adiposity, hepatic TG, and expression of DNL genes. Moreover, high-fructose feeding induces glucose intolerance, IR, steatosis, hypoadiponectinemia, and mitochondrial disruption in mice. At the cellular level, HFCS additionally raises lipid deposition, oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and disrupts intracellular calcium. Specifically, fructose triggers endoplasmic reticulum stress via the PIDDosome-sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP) cleavage activating protein (SCAP) axis in hepatocytes, potentially facilitating the shift from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis. Moreover,
fructose stimulates the secretion of inflammatory cytokines by disrupting cellular metabolic adaptation and activates the reactive oxygen species (ROS)/NF-κB signaling pathway in macrophages\(^ {49,50}\). Our observations in this study further expanded the previous findings, and demonstrated that high fructose intake not only instigates MASLD but also aggravates its progression in murine models.

Figure 6. The function of USP2 depends on C/EBP\(\alpha\) or 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 in fructose-stressed primary hepatocytes. (A) Hypothesis diagram for USP2/11\(\beta\)-HSD1 pathway in the liver; (B, C) Relative mRNA expression of C/EBP\(\alpha\) (Cebp\(\alpha\)) and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) in hepatocytes; (D) Protein blotting of C/EBP\(\alpha\) and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 in hepatocytes; (E) Relative mRNA expression of USP2 (Usp2), C/EBP\(\alpha\), and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 in Usp2-overexpressed hepatocytes; (G) Relative mRNA expression of USP2 (Usp2), C/EBP\(\alpha\) (Cebp\(\alpha\)), and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 (Hsd11b1) in Usp2-knockdowned hepatocytes; (H) Protein blotting of USP2, C/EBP\(\alpha\), and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 in Usp2-knockdowned hepatocytes. The quantification data are presented as mean ± SD. *\(p<0.05\), **\(p<0.01\), ***\(p<0.001\).
By scrutinizing the RNA-Seq data, we unveiled a plethora of fructose-responsive genes, potentially influencing energy metabolism, inflammation, and even carcinogenesis. A noteworthy finding was the consistent upregulation of Usp2 gene in the fructose-treated mice, irrespective of whether a CD or a WD feeding. USP2, a deubiquitinating enzyme widely expressed by mammalian cells, is implicated in various cellular processes. Studies indicate that USP2 fosters tumor growth and spread through regulating apoptosis, autophagy, and oncoprotein stabilization. Moreover, USP2 upregulation has been associated with increased liver gluconeogenesis and glucose intolerance, enhanced lipogenesis, and amplified inflammatory responses. Consistent with our in vivo observations, USP2 was found to be upregulated in fructose-exposed hepatocytes in vitro. Additionally, hepatocytes overexpressing Usp2 exhibited increased lipid accumulation and elevated secretion of inflammatory cytokines. Conversely, Usp2 depletion caused diminished steatosis and inflammation. These findings lead to the compelling conclusion that USP2 occupies a pivotal position in fructose-induced liver damage.

USP2 is also recognized for its role in amplifying 11β-HSD1 through the action on its substrate, C/EBPα. Research indicates that liver-specific deletion of 11β-HSD1 abrogates the gluconeogenic...
effects of USP2\textsuperscript{25}. 11β-HSD1, predominantly present in the liver, is crucial for converting inactive glucocorticoids into their bioactive forms, thus playing a critical role in maintaining glucocorticoid homeostasis \textsuperscript{59}. Deubiquitination of C/EBP\textalpha by USP2 does not simply affect the stability of C/EBP\textalpha, since ubiquitination of transcription factors also acts on transcriptional functions\textsuperscript{59}. Corresponding with these findings, fructose exposure was seen to boost C/EBP\textalpha mRNA levels in hepatocytes. Accumulating evidence implies that 11β-HSD1 activation could contribute to hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis\textsuperscript{29-31}. Decreased adiponectin levels, IR, hypercortisolism, and systemic inflammation are also linked to excessive 11β-HSD1 activity\textsuperscript{60, 61}. Clinical trials have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of 11β-HSD1 inhibition in ameliorating liver steatosis and IR\textsuperscript{29, 62, 63}. Furthermore, 11β-HSD1 inhibition mitigates hyperlipidemia, liver inflammation, and fibrosis in MASLD models\textsuperscript{30, 64-67}. And Hsd11b1 (11β-HSD1) gene deficiency shields mice against glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia, and obesity\textsuperscript{68}. Our research indicates that fructose exposure leads to the upregulation of C/EBP\textalpha and 11β-HSD1, unveiling a mechanism by which USP2-mediated activation of C/EBP\textalpha and 11β-HSD1 is implicated in the onset and progression of MASLD.

The liver is the central viscus for converting inactive cortisone into active cortisol via 11β-HSD1\textsuperscript{32-34}. Cortisol, the principal glucocorticoid, is widely recognized to cause various metabolic concerns. Elevated cortisol levels are closely associated with central obesity, IR, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and subsequently a heightened risk of cardiovascular complications\textsuperscript{69-71}. Notably, MASLD patients exhibit notably higher concentrations of cortisol, implicating the accentuated cortisol synthesis mediated by 11β-HSD1 contributing to MASLD\textsuperscript{72, 73}. In our investigations, fructose administration led to a substantial rise in circulating cortisol and a concomitant reduction in cortisone levels. Consequently, the cortisone-to-cortisol ratio is decreased in fructose-exposed mice, indicating heightened activation of 11β-HSD1 in this context. These observations underscore the notion that disruption in glucocorticoid metabolism by 11β-HSD1 is implicated in MASLD, especially in the context of excessive fructose consumption.

While our exploration elucidated significant aspects of fructose-induced MASLD and the USP2/11β-HSD1 signaling pathway, it is crucial to recognize certain limitations for a thorough comprehension of these findings. Firstly, our study focuses on hepatocytes; nonetheless, it is important to consider the potential role of macrophage activation in the development of fructose-induced MASLD. While hepatocytes do dominate liver cell populations and exhibit a higher USP2 expression which bolsters our results, further research is imperative to ascertain the contributions of other liver cell types to MASLD pathology. Secondary to this, the intestine is the initial site of fructose uptake and metabolism\textsuperscript{38}. Therefore, the effects of fructose on the intestinal milieu and its microbiota are important aspects warranting further exploration. Future research endeavors should aim to decipher the complex interactions between dietary fructose, intestinal health, and the gut microbiome. Thirdly, our study discusses the well-documented correlation between 11β-HSD1 activity and cortisol conversion - a notion that is broadly acknowledged within the field \textsuperscript{74}. Nevertheless, the validity of this association would be significantly reinforced by targeted pharmacological or genetic studies. Lastly, considering the widespread expression of USP2, the prospective impact of cross-talk between organs on MASLD onset and progression warrants further scrutiny. The systemic implications of these inter-organ interactions present another layer of complexity to MASLD etiology and pathology, which should be the focus of future research endeavors.

In summary, our findings indicate that high fructose consumption drives and exaggerates MASLD, pointing to the USP2/11β-HSD1 pathway as a key player. These insights into the relationship between diet and liver health highlight the multifaceted nature of MASLD's etiology. Notably, our findings identified USP2 as a pivotal molecular target, presenting novel therapeutic opportunities for MASLD intervention. Certainly, these findings have the potential to deepen our understanding of metabolic liver diseases and bolster progress in clinical management and drug development.
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